

TEXAS DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND COMMUNITY AFFAIRS
**TDHCA Governing Board Approved Draft of
2025 State of Texas Low Income Housing Plan and Annual Report**

Disclaimer

Attached is a draft of the 2025 State of Texas Low Income Housing Plan and Annual Report (SLHIP) that was approved by the TDHCA Governing Board on December 12, 2024. Notification of public comment for this document is scheduled to be published in the December 20, 2024, edition of the *Texas Register*.

Public Comment

**Public Comment Period: Starts: Friday, December 20, 2024 and Ends: 5:00 p.m. on Monday, January 20, 2025.
Comments received after 5:00 p.m. on Monday, January 20, 2025 will not be accepted.**

Tex. Gov't Code §2306.0723 requires that the Department consider the SLIHP to be a rule and in developing the SLIHP, the Department is required to follow rulemaking procedures required by Texas Government Code, Chapter 2001. The proposed repeal and proposed new rule will be made available for 30 days of public comment from Friday, December 20, 2024, through Monday, January 20, 2025.

Written comments may be submitted, in hard copy or electronic formats to:

Texas Department of Housing and Community Affairs
Attn: Housing Resource Center
P.O. Box 13941
Austin, Texas 78711-3941
Email: info@tdhca.texas.gov

Written comments may be submitted in hard copy or email formats within the designated public comment period. Those making public comment are encouraged to reference the specific draft rule, policy, or plan related to their comment as well as a specific reference or cite associated with each comment.

Please be aware that all comments submitted to the TDHCA will be considered public information.

TEXAS DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND COMMUNITY AFFAIRS

Street Address: 221 East 11th Street, Austin, TX 78701
Mailing Address: PO Box 13941, Austin, TX 78711-3941
Main Number: 512-475-3800 Toll Free: 1-800-525-0657
Email: info@tdhca.texas.gov Web: www.tdhca.texas.gov

TEXAS DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND COMMUNITY AFFAIRS
**TDHCA Governing Board Approved Draft of
2025 State of Texas Low Income Housing Plan and Annual Report**

Disclaimer

Se adjunta un borrador del Informe Anual y Plan de Vivienda para Personas de Bajos Ingresos (SLHIP) del Estado de Texas de 2025 que fue aprobado por la Junta Directiva de TDHCA el 12 de diciembre de 2024. La notificación de comentarios públicos para este documento está programada para publicarse el 20 de diciembre de 2024, edición del Registro de Texas.

Public Comment

Período de comentarios públicos: comienza: viernes, 20 de diciembre de 2024 y Finaliza: 5:00 p.m. del lunes 20 de enero de 2025. Comentarios recibidos después de las 5:00 p.m. el lunes 20 de enero de 2025 no se aceptará.

Tex. Gov't Code §2306.0723 requiere que el Departamento considere el SLIHP como una regla y, al desarrollar el SLIHP, el Departamento debe seguir los procedimientos de elaboración de reglas requeridos por el Código de Gobierno de Texas, Capítulo 2001. La derogación propuesta y la nueva regla propuesta estarán disponibles durante 30 días para el público. comentario desde el viernes 20 de diciembre de 2024 hasta el lunes 20 de enero de 2025.

Se pueden enviar comentarios escritos, en formato impreso o electrónico, a:

Texas Department of Housing and Community Affairs
Attn: Housing Resource Center
P.O. Box 13941
Austin, Texas 78711-3941
Email: info@tdhca.texas.gov

Los comentarios escritos se pueden enviar en formato impreso o por correo electrónico dentro del período designado para comentarios públicos. Se anima a quienes hacen comentarios públicos a que hagan referencia al borrador de regla, política o plan específico relacionado con su comentario, así como a una referencia o cita específica asociada con cada comentario.

Tenga en cuenta que todos los comentarios enviados al TDHCA se considerarán información pública

TEXAS DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND COMMUNITY AFFAIRS

Street Address: 221 East 11th Street, Austin, TX 78701
Mailing Address: PO Box 13941, Austin, TX 78711-3941
Main Number: 512-475-3800 Toll Free: 1-800-525-0657
Email: info@tdhca.texas.gov Web: www.tdhca.texas.gov



DRAFT 2025 State of Texas Low Income Housing Plan and Annual Report

Draft as submitted to the TDHCA Board on December 12, 2024

Prepared by:

Texas Department of Housing and Community Affairs

Housing Resource Center

PO Box 13941

Austin, TX 78711-3941

Phone: (512) 475-3800

www.tdhca.state.tx.us

Table of Contents

| | |
|---|-----|
| Section 1: Introduction _____ | 3 |
| Institutional Structure _____ | 3 |
| Agency Mission and Charge _____ | 4 |
| Administrative Structure _____ | 5 |
| 2024 State of Texas Low Income Housing Plan and Annual Report _____ | 8 |
| Section 2: Housing Analysis _____ | 10 |
| Data Sources and Limitations _____ | 10 |
| State of Texas Overview _____ | 15 |
| Special Needs Populations _____ | 19 |
| Housing Assessment _____ | 35 |
| Local Assessment of Need _____ | 46 |
| Section 3: Annual Housing Report _____ | 49 |
| Operating and Financial Statements _____ | 50 |
| Statement of Activities _____ | 50 |
| Statement of Activities by Uniform State Service Region _____ | 70 |
| Housing Sponsor Report Analysis _____ | 84 |
| Geographic Distribution of Housing Tax Credits _____ | 85 |
| Section 4: Action Plan _____ | 86 |
| 2024 TDHCA Programs _____ | 87 |
| TDHCA Allocation Plans _____ | 112 |
| Policy Initiatives _____ | 115 |
| Special Needs Populations _____ | 118 |
| Section 5: Pandemic Response and Other Initiatives _____ | 125 |
| Federal Funding _____ | 125 |
| Division Activities _____ | 130 |
| Section 6: Public Participation _____ | 140 |
| Community Involvement _____ | 141 |
| Participation in TDHCA Programs _____ | 144 |
| Public Participation in Program Planning _____ | 146 |
| Preparation of the SLIHP _____ | 146 |
| Section 7: 2024-2025 Colonia Action Plan _____ | 147 |
| Policy Goals _____ | 147 |
| Overview _____ | 147 |
| Population and Poverty _____ | 148 |

| | |
|--|-----|
| Housing _____ | 148 |
| Colonia Beneficiaries _____ | 149 |
| Colonia Self-Help Centers _____ | 150 |
| Office of Colonia Initiatives _____ | 151 |
| Section 8: Texas State Affordable Housing Corporation Annual Action Plan _____ | 152 |
| Appendix A: Legislative Requirements for the State Of Texas Low Income Housing Plan and Annual Report _____ | 153 |
| Appendix B: Housing Analysis Regional Tables _____ | 158 |
| Appendix C: Racial and Ethnic Composition of Households and Individuals Receiving Assistance through Community Affairs Programs or Homelessness Programs _____ | 174 |
| Appendix D: Bibliography _____ | 201 |
| Appendix E: Acronyms _____ | 204 |

SECTION 1: INTRODUCTION

The Texas Department of Housing and Community Affairs (TDHCA or the Department) is the State of Texas' lead agency responsible for affordable housing, community and energy assistance programs, colonia activities, homelessness activities and regulation of the state's manufactured housing industry. TDHCA offers a range of housing assistance programs for lower income Texans with services ranging from homelessness prevention to homeownership.

This section is organized as follows:

- Institutional Structure
- Agency Mission and Charge
- Administrative Structure
- 2025 State of Texas Low Income Housing Plan and Annual Report (SLIHP) Overview

INSTITUTIONAL STRUCTURE

In 1991, the 72nd Texas Legislature created the Texas Department of Housing and Community Affairs. The Department's enabling statute, Tex. Gov't Code Chapter 2306, combined programs from the Texas Housing Agency, the Texas Department of Community Affairs, and the Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) Program from the Texas Department of Commerce.

On September 1, 1992, two programs were transferred to TDHCA from the Texas Department of Human Services: the Low Income Home Energy Assistance Program (LIHEAP) and the Emergency Nutrition and Temporary Emergency Relief Program (ENTERP). LIHEAP remains at the Department, but ENTERP was discontinued in 2006. Effective September 1, 1995, in accordance with House Bill 785 from the 74th Texas Legislature, the regulation of manufactured housing was transferred to the Department. In accordance with House Bill 7 from the 77th Texas Legislature, effective September 1, 2002, the CDBG and Local Government Services programs were transferred to the newly-created Office of Rural Community Affairs, now the Trade and Business Development Division, within the Texas Department of Agriculture (TDA). TDHCA, through an interagency agreement with TDA, administers 2.5% of the CDBG funds to be used for colonia Self-Help Centers (SHCs) along the Texas-Mexico border. Effective September 1, 2002, in accordance with Senate Bill 322 from the 77th Texas Legislature, the Manufactured Housing Division became an independent entity that is administratively attached to TDHCA. Effective July 1, 2011, the CDBG Disaster Recovery Programs were transferred from TDHCA to the Texas General Land Office. In 2020, the Department was designated by Governor Greg Abbott to serve as the recipient agency for CDBG funds under the Coronavirus Aid, Relief, and Economic Security (CARES) Act. In 2021, TDHCA received significant COVID pandemic response funding through existing programs, as well as several new programs, which are outlined in Section 5.

AGENCY MISSION AND CHARGE

The mission of TDHCA is to administer its assigned programs efficiently, transparently, and lawfully, to invest its resources strategically, and to develop high quality affordable housing, which allows Texas' communities to thrive.

TDHCA accomplishes this mission by administering a variety of housing and community affairs programs primarily for households whose incomes are low to moderate as determined by either Area Median Family Income (AMFI), Area Median Income (AMI), or the federal poverty level. A major function of TDHCA is to act as a conduit for federal resources and grant funds for housing and community services, including serving as a Public Housing Authority (PHA). Since several major housing programs require the participation of private investors and private lenders, TDHCA also operates as a Housing Finance Agency (HFA). With a few limited exceptions, TDHCA does not assist individuals or households directly with its permanent programs (several of TDHCA's larger pandemic programs have assisted households directly). Rather, TDHCA awards funds and other assistance to local organizations, who utilize this assistance to meet local housing needs in accordance with applicable state and federal laws, rules, and regulations and contractual terms.

More specific policy directives are provided in Tex. Gov't Code §2306.002:

(a) The legislature finds that:

- (1) every resident of this state should have a decent, safe and affordable living environment;
- (2) government at all levels should be involved in assisting individuals and families of low income in obtaining a decent, safe, and affordable living environment; and
- (3) the development and diversification of the economy, the elimination of unemployment or underemployment, and the development or expansion of commerce in this state should be encouraged.

(b) The highest priority of the department is to provide assistance to individuals and families of low and very low income who are not assisted by private enterprise or other governmental programs so that they may obtain affordable housing or other services and programs offered by the department.

Agencies that provide the Department funds to meet legislative goals include: the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD), U.S. Department of the Treasury (Treasury), U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (USHHS), U.S. Department of Energy (DOE), and State of Texas General Revenue funds. TDHCA administers two federal Low Income Housing Tax Credit (LIHTC) programs, a competitive 9% Housing Tax Credit (HTC) Program and a non-competitive 4% HTC Program paired with Private Activity Bonds (PAB). TDHCA utilizes private sector financing mechanisms to assist in the acquisition, construction and rehabilitation of multifamily development across the state. With these resources, TDHCA strives to promote sound housing policies by leveraging state and local resources to ensure the stability and continuity of services through a fair, nondiscriminatory, and open process. TDHCA ensures that any programs it administers that are subject to the requirement to affirmatively further fair housing remain in compliance with applicable regulations. Due to the great amount of need in proportion to the federal and state funding

available, the Department strives to provide the most benefit to the people of Texas by effectively managing limited resources.

TDHCA is one organization in a network of housing and community services providers located throughout Texas. This document focuses on programs within TDHCA's oversight, which are intended to work either in cooperation with or as complements to the funding and services provided by other organizations.

ADMINISTRATIVE STRUCTURE

Department programs are grouped into the following divisions:

- The Community Affairs Division administers the Community Services Block Grant (CSBG) Program, the Comprehensive Energy Assistance Program (CEAP), and the Weatherization Assistance Program (WAP).
- The Community Development Block Grant Division (CDBG) was established in 2020 in response to the CDBG CARES Act (CDBG-CV) funds being directed to TDHCA for administration. CDBG has administered and successfully implemented the Texas Emergency Rental Assistance Program (TERAP), the Texas Emergency Mortgage Assistance Program (TEMAP), Food Bank Reimbursement Assistance (FBRA), Relief to Providers of Assistance for Persons with Disabilities, and the Legal Services for Persons with Disabilities. The two program activities still being administered are the Community Resiliency Program (CRP) and the Legal Services for Persons with Disabilities.
- The HOME American Rescue Plan (HOME-ARP) Division was established in 2021 to administer HOME-ARP funds to assist individuals or households who are homeless, at-risk of homelessness, and other vulnerable populations.
- The Housing Stability Services Division (HSS) was established in 2021 in response to the COVID-19 pandemic and administers the Housing Stabilization Services (HSS) Program. The program is funded by the U.S. Department of Treasury through the Emergency Rental Assistance (ERA1 and ERA2) which allows a portion of the funds to be utilized for housing stability services.
- The Manufactured Housing Division administers the Texas Manufactured Housing Standards Act. The Manufactured Housing Division is administratively attached to TDHCA, although it operates independently with its own executive director and governing board.
- The Multifamily Finance Division administers two federal Low Income Housing Tax Credit (LIHTC) programs, the competitive 9% Housing Tax Credit (HTC) Program and the 4% HTC program in conjunction with the Bond Finance Division. In addition, the division operates the Multifamily Direct Loan (MF Direct Loan) program, which is funded using several federal programs such as the HOME Investment Partnership Program and the national Housing Trust Fund.
- The Multifamily Bond Division administers the 4% HTC Program and the Multifamily Bond Program.

- The Section 811 Project Rental Assistance (Section 811 PRA) Program administers the Section 811 PRA Program which provides project-based rental assistance to multifamily properties for them to serve low-income persons with disabilities.
- The Single Family and Homeless Programs Division (SFHP) administers Single Family HOME Investment Partnerships (HOME) Program activities, including Contract for Deed (CFD), Single Family Development (SFD), Tenant-Based Rental Assistance (TBRA), Homeowner Reconstruction Assistance (HRA), and Homebuyer Assistance with New Construction (HANC). The SFHP Division also administers the Texas Housing Trust Fund (Texas HTF) programs, the Office of Colonia Initiatives (OCI) Colonia Self-Help Centers (CSHC) program, and the Neighborhood Stabilization Program (NSP). Texas HTF programs include the Amy Young Barrier Removal (AYBR) Program and the Texas Bootstrap Loan (Bootstrap) Program. The Division, through the OCI, includes a Border Field Officer (BFO) and the Administrator of the OCI, who act as liaisons between the Department and the nonprofit organizations and units of local government that administer the CSHC Program and other Department programs along the Texas-Mexico border, and may work in field offices. The OCI provides technical assistance to nonprofits, for-profits, units of local government, community organizations, and colonia residents along the 150-mile Texas-Mexico border region. Homelessness programs administered by this division include the Emergency Solutions Grants (ESG) Program, the Homeless Housing and Services Program (HHSP), and the Ending Homelessness Fund (EH Fund). The SFHP Division also oversees TDHCA's public housing authority, which receives funding for the Housing Choice Voucher (HCV) Program from HUD for counties included in TDHCA's Public Housing Authority (PHA) Plan. The HCV Program provides rental assistance payments on behalf of low-income individuals and families, including older Texans and persons with disabilities. TDHCA's allocation of Emergency Housing Vouchers (EHV) are also overseen in this area.
- The Homeowner Assistance Fund Program (HAF) Division was established in 2021 to administer the HAF funds for preventing mortgage delinquencies, defaults, foreclosures, loss of utilities and displacement. The closing of the program to new applications occurred October 10, 2023.
- The Homeowner Assistance Fund (HAF) Subrecipient Activities Division was established in 2022 in response to the COVID-19 pandemic, and administered a portion of the HAF funds that are provided to local organizations for intake centers, housing counseling, and legal services; all service delivery under this program is completed.
- The Texas Homeownership Division offers the My First Texas Home (MFTH) Program, My Choice Texas Home (MCTH) Program, Texas Mortgage Credit Certificate (TX MCC) Program, Texas Statewide Homebuyer Education Program (TSHEP), and Texas Homebuyer U (TXHBU).
- The Texas Rent Relief Division (TRR) was newly created in 2021 in response to the COVID-19 pandemic and administered Treasury Emergency Rental Assistance (ERA) funds for the State of Texas. TRR closed in the summer of 2023 after distributing over \$2.2 billion in temporary federal rent and utility assistance to more than 323,000 Texas households impacted by the COVID-19 pandemic.

TDHCA administers most of its programs and services through a network of local governments, organization administrators, property owners, and developers across Texas and, until the COVID-19 pandemic, generally had not provided assistance directly to individuals. Exceptions included the HCV and Section 811 PRA programs. Since the receipt of significant pandemic funds, the HAF and Texas Rent Relief Programs, also have served households directly. Detailed descriptions of these programs including eligibility information are available in Section 4, Action Plan and Section 5, Pandemic Response.

Additionally, several Divisions within TDHCA are involved in supporting program requirements and in the administration of the Department as a whole, but do not administer specific programs:

- The Asset Management Division is responsible for monitoring and processing all post-award activities for multifamily developments funded by the Department. The Asset Management Division also works with owners and with other divisions within the Department to resolve regulatory and financial issues on those properties.
- The Bond Finance Division finances the activities of the Texas Homeownership Division through the issuance of single family mortgage revenue bonds, and the forward sale of mortgage-backed securities.
- The Compliance Division monitors properties and subrecipients to ensure compliance with federal and state regulations by using various oversight measures including onsite monitoring visits and desk reviews. Key compliance monitoring requirements for housing activities include ensuring that units are leased to income qualified households, that rents are properly restricted and that developments funded through the Department are accessible to persons with disabilities and in compliance with property condition standards. Compliance on non-housing activities (such as CEAP) include ensuring household eligibility, adherence to program regulations, and appropriate expenditure of funds.
- The Division of Policy and Public Affairs disseminates Department information to the public and serves as the Department's liaison with industry stakeholders, advocacy groups, and the executive and legislative branches of local, state, and federal government.
- The Housing Resource Center is established by the Department's governing statute. The division assists the general public in locating appropriate service providers in their community. The division is also responsible for plans and reports that TDHCA is required to submit to receive funding from both the state and federal government. These policy documents are integral components of the strategic planning process that determines the direction of housing policy for the State of Texas. Fair Housing activities are coordinated and administered under the Housing Resource Center as well as the coordination of activities of the Texas Interagency Council for the Homeless and Housing and Health Services Coordination Council.
- The Loan Servicing Division performs loan servicing functions and provides support functions to other areas related to the Department's single family and multifamily loan portfolios. The Loan Servicing Division also performs loss mitigation functions related to

the single-family loan portfolio, excluding those loans originated through the Texas Homeownership Division.

- The Program Services Section is responsible for the adherence, processing and completion of cross-cutting federal and departmental requirements for programs administered by the Department, including environmental clearances, single-family loan closing, multifamily loan closing, and the commitment and disbursement of state and federal funds.
- The Real Estate Analysis Division provides the TDHCA Board and staff with comprehensive analytical reports necessary to make well-informed financial decisions for funding of affordable multifamily housing developments.
- Other divisions that are involved in TDHCA's internal management include Financial Administration, Human Resources, Information Systems, Internal Audit, and Legal. Additionally, the Department is responsible for the licensing of Migrant Labor Housing Facilities and performs this work in collaboration with the Texas Workforce Commission.

2025 STATE OF TEXAS LOW INCOME HOUSING PLAN AND ANNUAL REPORT OVERVIEW

The 2025 State of Texas Low Income Housing Plan and Annual Report (SLIHP) is prepared annually in accordance with Tex. Gov't Code §§2306.072-2306.0724, which require that TDHCA provide a comprehensive statement of activities in the preceding year, an overview of statewide housing needs, and a resource allocation plan to meet Texas' housing needs. The SLIHP is adopted by reference annually in 10 Texas Administrative Code (TAC) §1.23. The SLIHP offers policy makers, affordable housing providers, and local communities a comprehensive reference on statewide housing needs and housing resources. The format is intended to help these entities measure housing needs, understand general housing issues, formulate policies and identify available resources. As such, the SLIHP is a working document and its annual changes reflect changes in programs or funding amounts, policy changes, statutory guidance and input received throughout the year.

The SLIHP is organized into eight sections and appendices:

- Section 1: Introduction - An overview of TDHCA and the SLIHP;
- Section 2: Housing Analysis - An analysis of statewide and regional demographic information, housing characteristics, and housing needs;
- Section 3: Annual Housing Report - A comprehensive statement of activities for State Fiscal Year (SFY) 2024, including actual numbers served and a discussion of TDHCA's goals;
- Section 4: Action Plan - A description of TDHCA's program descriptions and plans, resource allocations, policy initiatives, special needs, and goals;

- Section 5: Pandemic Response and Other Initiatives - An overview of the federal funds allocated and corresponding TDHCA programs developed in order to prepare for and respond to the COVID-19 pandemic;
- Section 6: Public Participation - Information on the SLIHP preparation and a summary of public comment;
- Section 7: Colonia Action Plan - A biennial plan for 2024-2025 which discusses housing and community development needs in the colonias, describes TDHCA's policy goals, summarizes the strategies and programs designed to meet these goals, and describes projected outcomes to support the improvement of living conditions of residents of colonias;
- Section 8: Texas State Affordable Housing Corporation (TSAHC) Plan - This section outlines TSAHC's plans and programs for 2024 and is included in accordance with Tex. Gov't Code §2306.0721(g);
- Appendix A: TDHCA's enabling statute and Tex. Gov't Code Chapter 2306;
- Appendix B: Housing Analysis Regional Tables;
- Appendix C: Subrecipient Tables for Community Affairs Programs and Homelessness Programs;
- Appendix D: Bibliography; and
- Appendix E: Acronyms.

Tex. Gov't Code §§2306.072(c)(6), 2306.072(c)(8), and 2306.0724 is fulfilled through TDHCA's annually produced Housing Sponsor Report that provides property and occupant profiles of developments that have received assistance from the Department.

Tex. Gov't Code §2306.072(c)(1) is fulfilled through Basic Financial Statements and Operating Budget produced by TDHCA's Financial Administration Division.

Tex. Gov't Code §§2306.0721(c)(4) and 2306.0721(c)(10) is fulfilled via Help for Texans online database which offers a description of TDHCA's housing programs and other state and federal housing and housing-related programs.

SECTION 2: HOUSING ANALYSIS

This section of the SLIHP contains an overview of the affordable housing needs in the State and an estimate and analysis of the housing need in each of the state's thirteen service regions.

This section of the SLIHP includes the following information per Tex. Gov't Code §2306.0721:

- An estimate and analysis of the size and the different housing needs of special populations in each uniform service region as required by Tex. Gov't Code §2306.0721(c)(1)(A-G).
- An estimate of the number of federally assisted housing units available for individuals and families of low and very low income and individuals with special needs in each uniform state service region as required by Tex. Gov't Code §2306.0721(c)(3).
- An estimate and analysis of the housing supply in each uniform state service region as required by Tex. Gov't Code §2306.0721(c)(9).
- Information regarding foreclosures of residential property in this state, including the number and geographic location of those foreclosures as required by Tex. Gov't Code §2306.0721(c)(13-a).

This section is organized as follows:

- Data Sources and Limitations
- State of Texas Demographic Overview
- Special Needs Populations
- Housing Assessment
- Local Assessment of Need

DATA SOURCES AND LIMITATIONS

Major data sources for the SLIHP include the U.S. Census Bureau's decennial Census and American Community Survey (ACS) as well as the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development's (HUD) Comprehensive Housing Affordability Strategy (CHAS) data. The SLIHP also cites reliable, publicly accessible plans and reports to capture specific housing needs across the state's 13 uniform service regions. The following subsection discusses the advantages and limitations of using these data sources.

The decennial census, which is mandated by the U.S. Constitution, conducts a direct count of everyone in the United States every 10 years. Unlike the decennial census, the ACS derives demographic, social, housing, and economic estimates from a sample population, which represents only a fraction of the total US population. ACS 5-Year Estimates aggregate survey data from US households over a 5 year period. This increases the survey's sample size, which reduces the margin of error (the difference between an estimate and its upper or lower confidence bounds) for ACS estimates. By sampling the US population over five continuous years, the ACS 5-Year Estimates reflect recent, although not immediate, changes in the characteristics of various geographic areas.

The Census Bureau also conducts ACS 1-Year Estimates for each calendar year. Although ACS 1-Year Estimates more accurately predict year-to-year demographic changes, they also have larger margins of error due to smaller sample sizes. In order to eliminate large margins of error, the Census Bureau only publishes 1-Year estimates for geographic areas with a population of 65,000 or more. According to the 2018-2022 ACS 5-Year Estimates, 200 of Texas' 254 counties have a population less than 65,000 people, making them ineligible for ACS 1-Year Estimates. Since the SLIHP requires reliable, statewide housing data, ACS 5-Year Estimates provide the best method for approximating comparable demographic changes in the state of Texas.

The latest ACS 5-Year estimates cover the years from 2018-2022, while the latest 1-Year estimates cover the year 2022. For this reason, neither 5-Year nor 1-Year estimates reflect recent demographic and economic changes in relation to the COVID-19 pandemic. To address the possible effects of the COVID-19 pandemic on TDHCA's special populations, the SLIHP will cite additional reports from reputable public sources where appropriate.

Every year, HUD utilizes ACS 5-Year and ACS 1-Year Estimates to produce CHAS data. This data classifies ACS survey households into five relative income categories based on HUD Area Median Family Income (HAMFI). HUD develops HAMFI by estimating Median Family Income (MFI) for metropolitan areas and non-metropolitan counties (as defined by HUD). HUD then adjusts HAMFI figures to account for factors such as family size, rental rates, and high median incomes for each income classification. This new, adjusted measure is referred to simply as Area Median Family Income (AMFI). The five income classifications based on this measure are:

- Extremely Low Income (ELI): At or below 30% AMFI;
- Very Low Income (VLI): 31-50% AMFI;
- Low Income (LI): 51-80% AMFI;
- Moderate Income (MI): 81-100% AMFI; and
- Above 100% AMFI.

HUD uses these income levels to develop income limits which determine eligibility for HUD assisted housing programs, including the Section 8 Housing Choice Voucher (HCV) Program and Section 811 Project Rental Assistance (Section 811 PRA) Program. Some non-HUD housing assistance programs, such as the Internal Revenue Service's Low Income Housing Tax Credit (LIHTC or HTC) Program, also utilize HUD income limits to determine eligibility. Note that each program incorporates its own guidelines and adjustments to HUD income limits. Exact income limits may vary amongst different programs even when operated in the same geographic area.

HUD income limits not only provide a national reference for housing eligibility, they also establish a reliable, uniform method for estimating local and regional housing affordability. Generally, affordability is measured by comparing local housing cost to AMFI. HUD defines affordable housing as housing for which a household does not pay more than 30% of its income for gross housing costs including utilities. It is also important to compare the housing costs of families at each income level to determine where the state's housing resources should be utilized. Since CHAS contains micro-data, at the household level, on incomes and housing cost, it facilitates geographic comparisons of various housing trends.

Due to rounding required by the Census Bureau, some totals presented in tables using CHAS data may not match the sum of all rows or columns. HUD suggests using the largest geographies and summary levels where possible to ensure accuracy, so total lines may come from a higher level summary. For example, in a table reporting the breakdown of Texas households by CHAS AMFI income categories, the total number of households may be a pre-summed and pre-rounded figure supplied by HUD, whereas the figures for each AMFI category may be summed individually. The pre-summed and pre-rounded figures supplied by HUD may not match the sum of the income category figures.

The information provided in this section should be considered within the context of its limitations. For example, the most reliable data available on persons experiencing homelessness, particularly those who are unsheltered, is the annual Point in Time (PIT) count. The PIT count is conducted by Continuum of Care (CoC) Program organizations funded by HUD. Each CoC organization counts the number of persons experiencing homelessness (sheltered and unsheltered) within its assigned locality on a single night in January. CoC organizations that provide beds and housing units for persons experiencing homelessness gather data on the sheltered homeless population; however, to gather data on the unsheltered population, the PIT count relies on volunteers locating and interviewing or observing persons experiencing homelessness. This methodology may exclude individuals who do not wish to speak with volunteers or those who find informal shelter, such as staying on a friend's couch. In addition, PIT count data are not available at the county level. This is a common issue in regards to special needs populations. County level data are similarly not available for persons experiencing substance use disorders, and residents of colonias. For these populations, analysis is only available at the state or local level.

Many facets of housing need, especially those tied to localized conditions, are not captured when data are aggregated into statewide, regional, or even county totals. The Department recognizes that the most accurate assessment of housing need can best be found at the local level based on the direct experience of local households and those who work to assist low- and moderate-income households. Alternative methods, such as detailed on-location assessments by professionals skilled at reviewing such matters, might be used, but the Department lacks the resources to obtain such data through third parties or to compile it directly.


Rural areas also present challenges regarding data accuracy and reliability. In counties with a small population, the margin of error in ACS datasets can be very high. For example, according to the 2018-2022 ACS 5-Year Estimates, Loving County has a population of 96, but a margin of error of 76. This means that there is a 90% certainty that the population of Loving County is between 20 and 172. None of the counties with a population over 7,500 (181 counties) have a margin of error in the 2018-2022 ACS 5-Year Estimates total population figures, meaning those estimates are more precise.

Margins of error are less of a concern when analyzing data for larger geographies, such as county-level as opposed to census tract or place-level data. However, this can also distort the housing needs of rural communities. If a small, rural community has a particularly high rate of substandard housing (e.g. housing lacking plumbing or kitchen facilities), but a larger urban community in the same county has a particularly low rate of substandard housing, the need of the smaller, rural community could be masked when looking at the county level.

TDHCA SERVICE REGIONS AND URBAN/RURAL DESIGNATIONS

For the purposes of analysis in the SLIHP, urban and rural designations will be determined at the county level. County level data allow the affordable housing need data in the Housing Analysis chapter to be compared accurately to the Department's program reporting in the Annual Housing Report chapter. The Annual Housing Report chapter is based on county level data due to the reporting requirements of the Department's programs. In addition, county-level analysis aligns with TDHCA's 13 State Service Regions (regions). Each region is split into its rural and urban counties to create 26 urban and rural subregions.


TDHCA Service Regions with 2025 Urban and Rural Counties



Source: U.S. Census Bureau, TIGER Data

Disclaimer: This map is not a survey product, boundaries, distances, and scale are approximate only

Urban counties are defined as counties within Metropolitan Statistical Areas (MSAs) determined by the U.S. Office of Management and Budget (OMB) that also contain urban places. Rural counties are defined as counties that are non-MSA counties or MSA counties that contain only rural places per Tex. Gov't Code §2306.004(28-a). The Census Bureau defines a place as a concentration of population which has a name, is locally recognized, and is not part of any other place. This includes both incorporated places, which are legally defined, and Census Designated Places, which have recognizable names but no legal definition. For further detail regarding urban and rural definitions, please see the Regional Allocation Formula Methodology posted to the TDHCA public Web site's Annual or Biennial Plans and Reports page, available here: <https://www.tdhca.texas.gov/housing-resource-center-plans-and-reports>.



*The flowchart above depicts the process in which TDHCA designates a county as being either rural or urban

STATE OF TEXAS DEMOGRAPHIC CHARACTERISTICS

Urban and Rural Poverty

By using the Census Bureau’s 2018-2022 ACS 5-Year Estimates, it is possible to compare statewide and national population trends. This can help determine how housing need across the state may differ or correspond with housing need across the country. Currently, Texas has approximately 29,243,342 people, which is about 8.8% of the US population. Texas’ population primarily resides in urban counties (25,662,012 people), with the remaining people residing in rural counties. For additional context, the 2020 Decennial Census lists Texas’ population as 29,145,505.

Population Residing in Rural and Urban Counties, Texas

| State | Rural | Urban | Total |
|---------|-----------|------------|------------|
| Total | 3,581,330 | 25,662,012 | 29,243,342 |
| Percent | 12.25% | 87.75% | 100% |

Source: Population from 2018-2022 American Community Survey, Table DP05. 2025 RAF Urban-Rural Counties.

Population differences between the state’s urban and rural counties also affect the relative location of economic need. Currently, it is estimated that there are 3,990,326 Texans living under the federal poverty line of \$31,200 for a family of four. After accounting for ACS ineligible populations, the state’s poverty rate (persons in poverty/total population) equals 13.9%. Meanwhile, the poverty rate in the United States currently is 12.53% (40,521,584 people in poverty). In the state’s rural counties, there are 550,522 people below the poverty line for a rural poverty rate of 13.8%; there are 3,439,804 people living below the poverty line in the state’s urban counties for an urban poverty rate of 13.65%. Taken together, this indicates that, while a vast majority (86.2%) of Texans living under the poverty line reside in urban counties, rural Texans are still more likely to live under the poverty line than urban Texans.

Population under the Poverty Line in Urban and Rural Counties, Texas

| State | Rural | | Urban | | Total | |
|--------------|---------|--------|-----------|--------|-----------|------|
| Total | 550,522 | 12.67% | 3,439,804 | 87.33% | 3,990,326 | 100% |
| Poverty Rate | 16.16% | | 13.65% | | 13.94% | |

Source: 2018-2022 American Community Survey, Table DP05. 2025 RAF Urban-Rural Counties.

* Poverty Rate calculated using ACS population for which poverty status is determined. The above poverty rate should not be compared to raw or total population estimates.

Race, Ethnicity, and Poverty Status

Texas mirrors the US closely in terms of racial demographics, but differs according to ethnicity. The Census Bureau defines race as self-identification with one or more of 5 groups (white, Black or African American, American Indian and Alaskan Native, Asian, and Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander), while ethnicity is self-identification as Hispanic or Latino. The following table shows the racial breakdown of the Texas population compared with the US population.

Texas and US Population by Race

| Race | Texas Population | % of Texas Population | US Population | % of US Population |
|--|------------------|-----------------------|---------------|--------------------|
| White alone | 17,293,460 | 59.1% | 218,123,424 | 65.9% |
| Black or African American alone | 3,552,579 | 12.1% | 41,288,572 | 12.5% |
| American Indian and Alaskan Native alone | 169,576 | 0.6% | 2,786,431 | 0.8% |
| Asian alone | 1,511,069 | 5.2% | 19,112,979 | 5.8% |
| Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander alone | 27,350 | 0.1% | 624,863 | 0.2% |
| Some Other Race alone | 2,281,525 | 7.8% | 20,018,544 | 6.0% |
| Two or More Races | 4,407,783 | 15.1% | 29,142,780 | 8.8% |
| Total | 29,243,342 | 100.0% | 331,097,593 | 100.0% |

Source: 2018-2022 American Community Survey, Table DP05.

Texas and US Population by Ethnicity

| Ethnicity | Texas Population | % of Texas Population | US Population | % of US Population |
|------------------------|------------------|-----------------------|---------------|--------------------|
| Hispanic or Latino | 11,665,280 | 39.9% | 61,755,866 | 18.7% |
| Not Hispanic or Latino | 17,578,062 | 60.1% | 269,341,727 | 81.3% |
| Total | 29,243,342 | 100.0% | 331,097,593 | 100.0% |

Source: 2018-2022 American Community Survey, Table DP05.

Texas diverges from national trends in terms of ethnicity. While 18.7% of Americans identify as ethnically Hispanic, that percentage reaches to 39.9% of Texans, a 21.2% difference. In fact, Texans account for 18.9% of the Hispanic population in the United States. This is mirrored in the percentage of Non-Hispanic, White only persons in the U.S. and Texas; where 58.9% of Americans identify as Non-Hispanic and White, 40.1% of Texans identify as Non-Hispanic White, 18.7% fewer. Persons identifying as White only and Non-Hispanic are not the majority in Texas, which is the case in only Maryland (48.5% Non-Hispanic White); Texas (40.1%); New Mexico (35.6%); Nevada (46.4%); California (35.2%); and Hawaii (21.0%).

According to 2018-2022 ACS Estimates, poverty status varies significantly between ethnic and racial groups. In Texas, 59.2% of persons below the poverty line are White, while 66.0% of Americans below the poverty line are White. However, since White individuals make up a significant majority of the population in both Texas and the United States, this percentage is lower than would be expected if poverty rates were equal amongst all races. Similarly, Black or African American individuals comprise 21.5% of persons below the poverty line in the United States and 18.8% of persons below the poverty line in Texas, but since Black or African American individuals make up 12.5% of the US population and 12.1% of the Texas population these poverty numbers are disproportionately high. This shows that Black or African American individuals are more likely than White individuals to live below the poverty line in both Texas and the United States. Except for White and Asian Texans, this trend

also occurs across all other racial groups in Texas, as the poverty percentage for each racial group outpaces the group’s proportion to the general population.

For this reason, the poverty rate within each racial and ethnic group more clearly demonstrates inequalities in income. This rate (total individuals in poverty of group/total individuals in group) shows how some racial and ethnic groups are more likely to experience poverty than others. The poverty rates for each racial and ethnic group in Texas can be found in the tables below. In Texas, Non-white Hispanic individuals and individuals who identified as Some Other Race were most likely to live below the poverty line, while Asian individuals and White, Non-Hispanic individuals were the least likely to live below the poverty line.

Percentage of Each Racial Demographic in Poverty (Poverty Rate)

| Race | Texas Population | US Population | Difference |
|--|------------------|---------------|------------|
| White (alone) | 11.8% | 10.1% | 1.7% |
| Black or African American (alone) | 18.8% | 21.5% | -2.6% |
| American Indian and Alaska Native (alone) | 14.7% | 22.6% | -7.9% |
| Asian (alone) | 9.2% | 10.1% | -0.9% |
| Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander (alone) | 18.3% | 6.9% | 11.3% |
| Some Other Race (alone) | 19.9% | 18.6% | 1.4% |
| Two or More Races | 16.8% | 14.8% | 2.0% |

2018-2022 American Community Survey, Table DP05.

Percentage of Each Ethnic Demographic in Poverty (Poverty Rate)

| Ethnicity | Texas Population | US Population | Difference |
|--------------------------------------|------------------|---------------|------------|
| Hispanic or Latino | 18.9% | 17.2% | 1.7% |
| Not Hispanic or Latino | 10.6% | 11.4% | -0.8% |
| Not Hispanic or Latino - White Alone | 8.3% | 9.2% | -0.9% |

2018-2022 American Community Survey, Table DP05.


Within group poverty rates are important to note because housing challenges are both a cause and an effect of poverty (Public and Affordable Housing Research Corporation 2019). Lack of adequate housing can exacerbate existing economic inequalities between racial/ethnic groups. Research from the Department of Housing and Urban Development suggests that access to safe, affordable homes can lead to numerous positive outcomes for families, including improved health, education, incomes, and inter-generational wealth (HUD 2016). This means that access to adequate housing may help lessen the high rates of poverty experienced by certain racial and ethnic groups.

Age and Housing Need

Age is an important factor in the planning and construction of low-income housing. New families increase the demand for housing space, so an influx of young to midcareer adults could create housing shortages. Conversely, an aging population could both increase demand for senior living facilities and increase the supply of housing as older individuals move out of conventional housing units. Therefore, it is important to note that Texas has a larger percentage of children under 18 and a smaller percentage of persons over age 65 than the US as a whole. 25.3% of Texans are under 18

years old, compared with 22.1% for all Americans. While 16.5% of Americans are over the age of 65, only 12.9% of Texans fall into this age group. These demographic factors contribute to a median age of 35.6 years in Texas, while the median age of the national population is 39.0 years, a difference of 3.4 years.

As the baby boomer generation moves into retirement and the large millennial generation comes of age, Texas will serve as a prime example of wider demographic trends. With greater demand for housing and urban housing prices increasing, the Harvard Joint Center for Housing Studies predicts that households will struggle to find affordable housing in the nation’s largest metro areas (Harvard Joint Center for Housing Studies 2019). Since Texas contains three of the United States’ ten most populous cities, the Texas Tribune reports that Texas will face a similar housing affordability crisis in the coming years (Formby, Cameron, and Essig 2018). The following population pyramid of Texas shows that midcareer adults will outpace all other population groups by 2050, putting a strain on existing housing stocks.



Source: 2019 Texas Population Projections, Texas Demographic Center

SPECIAL NEEDS POPULATIONS

Tex. Gov't Code §2306.0721(c)(1) requires the Department to include in the SLIHP an estimate and analysis of the size and the different housing needs of the following populations in each uniform state service region:

- Individuals and families of moderate, low, very low, and extremely low income;
- Individuals with special needs;
- Homeless individuals;
- Veterans;
- Farmworkers;
- Youth who are aging out of foster care;
- Homeless youth, as defined by Section 2306.1101, and other individuals older than 18 years of age and younger than 25 years of age who are homeless; and
- Elderly individuals.

“Individual with special needs” is defined by §2306.511 as an individual who:

1. Is considered to be an individual having a disability under a state or federal law;
2. Is elderly;
3. Is designated by the board as experiencing a unique need for decent, safe housing that is not being met adequately by private enterprise; or
4. Is legally responsible for caring for an individual described by Subdivision (1), (2), or (3) and meets the income guidelines established by the board.

Following these guidelines, this section will provide the required population estimate and analysis of housing needs of Department-identified special needs populations as follows:

- elderly persons;
- farmworkers;
- Individuals and families of moderate, low, very low, and extremely low income;
- persons experiencing homelessness;
- youth experiencing homelessness (<25 years of age);
- persons living with HIV/AIDS and their families;
- persons with disabilities (mental, physical, and developmental);
- persons with substance use disorders;

- persons with Violence Against Women Act (VAWA) protections;
- residents of Colonias;
- residents of public housing;
- veterans and wounded warriors; and
- youth aging out of foster care.

As discussed in the Data Sources and Limitations subsection, some data for persons with special needs are only available at the state level, while others can only be assembled from local organizations. Like any data source, scale of analysis will affect what conclusions can be drawn from these sources. For information regarding TDHCA activities and programs addressing special needs populations, see the Action Plan section (Section 4).

Elderly Persons

For HUD programs, HUD defines an “elderly person,” according to 24 CFR §5.100, as an individual who is at least 62 years of age. Additionally, HUD’s Section 202 program defines “frail elderly” in 24 CFR §891.205 as a person who is 62 years of age or more and unable to perform at least three “activities of daily living, comprising of eating, bathing, grooming, dressing or home management activities.”

The Harvard Joint Center for Housing Studies predicts that the percentage of US households age 65 and over will grow from 26% percent in 2018 to 34% in 2038. This growth will also increase the number of households age 75-79 from 8.9 million to 10.7 million (Harvard Joint Center for Housing Studies 2019). This growth could have wide-ranging effects on the U.S. housing market, as aging homeowners increasingly choose to reside in their homes, rather than move to a multi-family unit or assisted living facility. In 2018, this phenomenon, referred to as ‘aging-in-place,’ kept about 1.6 million houses off the market, accounting for more than 60% of the United States’ current long-term shortfall of 3.8 million housing units (Freddie Mac, 2021). With the cost of new homes increasing and the supply of existing homes dwindling, many young adults have chosen to forego home ownership due to the high outstanding costs, creating a demographic inversion (meaning more housing stock is owned by older populations rather than younger) in rates of homeownership amongst different age groups (Freddie Mac, 2018).

Elderly populations have a range of unique housing needs. First, elderly populations have higher housing cost-burdens (expenditures including housing and utilities that exceed 30% of income) than the general population. Households experiencing cost burden are often forced to cut back sharply on other necessities. On average, severely cost burdened households aged 65 and over in the bottom expenditure quartile spent 47% less on food and 50% less on healthcare than non-cost burdened households (Harvard Joint Center for Housing Studies, 2019). Recent studies by the Harvard Joint Center for Housing Studies estimate that nearly a third (9.7 million) of all households age 65 or older faced cost-burdens (Harvard Joint Center for Housing Studies, 2018). Meanwhile, half of that number (4.9 million) live with severe cost-burdens, paying half of their income to rents and utilities. Although the percentage of people age 50-65 with cost-burdens has decreased to 19.9%, this percentage still accounts for over 10.9 million households.

Since many elderly individuals live on fixed incomes, it is common for cost-burdens to increase with age; however, ‘worst-case’ housing needs arise when elderly household incomes fall far below AMFI, especially in high-growth metropolitan areas. The US Census Bureau defines worst case needs as VLI renter households who do not receive government housing assistance and who pay more than one-half of their income for rent, live in severely inadequate conditions, or both. While other demographic groups have experienced declining worst case needs in recent years, HUD notes that the number of elderly households with worst case needs has continued to grow over the past decade. For this reason, HUD predicts that elderly households will be “a key demographic facing housing problems in the years to come” (HUD 2019)

Not only do excessive cost-burdens affect quality of life, but they also prevent elderly populations from accessing adequate housing. A recent report by the University of Pennsylvania’s Actionable Intelligence for Social Policy initiative discovered that the population experiencing homelessness above the age of 65 is expected to increase significantly by 2030, as younger baby boomers continue to face difficulties finding housing (Culhane et al. 2019). The authors note that if the housing needs of this population are not sufficiently met, then greater financial strain will be placed on existing shelters, hospitals, and nursing homes.

This need is reflected in the 2018-2022 ACS Estimates for the state of Texas. While 9.7% of Texans 18 to 64 years old have a disability, 35.6% of Texans 65 and older have a disability. In addition, the ACS estimates that older households tend to live in older homes: 26.9% of Texan householders aged 65 years and older lived in housing stock built before 1970 compared to 20.3% among householders younger than 65 years old.

Although HUD’s definition for ‘Elderly Person Household’ involves persons at least 62 years of age, definitions may vary across data sources and assistance programs available to elderly persons. Due to census data availability, the following analysis will be conducted looking at persons 65 years of age or older.

According to the table below, approximately 82.4% of Texans aged 65 and older live in urban areas. Texans aged 65 and older who live in rural areas may face difficulty accessing health and other services because they live at greater distances from health facilities, community centers, and other amenities. Additionally, the programs that serve them may not benefit from a concentration of an aging population and the efficiencies that can be realized from serving older adults in a centralized location (Viveiros, 2014).

Elderly Persons (aged 65 years old and over), Texas

| State | Rural Elderly Persons | Urban Elderly Persons | Total Elderly Persons | Total Population | Percent Elderly of Statewide Population |
|-------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|------------------|---|
| Total | 661,495 | 3,107,482 | 3,768,977 | 29,243,342 | 12.9% |

Source: 2018-2022 American Community Survey, Table DP05.

Regional Analysis

While the percentage population of individuals who are 65 years or older is higher in rural counties than in urban counties for all regions, the total number of individuals aged 65 years or older is higher in urban counties for most regions. The regions with the highest percentage population of individuals

65 years or older also happen to be the only regions where the majority of individuals aged 65 years or older reside in rural counties. In northeast Texas, Region 4's population is 18.4% persons over the age of 65, the highest among all regions, and 54.3% of those individuals 65 years or older reside in rural counties. Regions 2 and 5 have the second and third highest percentage population of individuals 65 years or older (17.4% and 17.2% respectively) and the first and third highest percentage of individuals 65 years or older residing in rural counties (52.3% and 53.9% respectively).

The subregion with the largest percentage of individuals 65 years or older is the rural subregion of Region 7 in central Texas, where 25.3% of rural residents are 65 years or older. As noted previously, rural elderly residents may face increased difficulty accessing services due to decreased development density and travel challenges.

Regions 3 and 6 together account for 48.5% of the states' elderly residents, or 1,828,476 individuals. The urban counties of Regions 3 and 6, a total of 20 counties encompassing the majority of the Houston-The Woodlands-Sugar Land and Dallas-Fort Worth-Arlington MSAs, account for 46.2% of the states' elderly population. However, the urban counties of Region 3 and Region 6 account for 51.0% of the population of the State of Texas. This means that proportionally the elderly population of these subregions is actually lower than expected. 11.8% of Region 6's residents are at least 65 years old, the lowest proportion of all regions followed by Regions 3 (12.0%) and 7 (12.1%).

Farmworkers

As one of the top five states in agricultural production, Texas leads the nation in the number of farms and ranches, with 230,662 farms and ranches covering over 125.4 million acres (USDA, 2022). According to the Texas Workforce Commission, the number of agriculture and forestry workers in 2022 declined by 4.2% since 2017 to 56,902 workers who were employed in the Ag, Forestry, Fishing & Hunting industries (Texas Workforce Commission, 2022). In Texas and across the nation, the agricultural industry has been using fewer and fewer workers in recent decades as farming methods have become more efficient. For this reason, Texas employs relatively few agricultural workers relative to the scale of its agricultural production; however, due to the food demands of a growing population, it is expected that demand for agricultural workers will continue to increase faster than many other professions. From 2020 to 2030, it is estimated that agricultural jobs in Texas will increase by 10.3% to 65,406 jobs total (Texas Workforce Commission, 2023).

Given that most farmworkers live in rural areas and many migrate between different farms over the course of several seasons, it is difficult to collect and aggregate farmworker data according to specific geographies. This means that most statewide and county data must be estimated from existing data sources, which may take organizations years to compile and analyze. For this reason, the following analysis at the state, regional, and county level may not reflect current trends.

The 2022 USDA Census, which is conducted every five years and surveys all US agricultural producers with annual sales over \$1,000, reports that 7,444 migrant farmworkers worked on Texas' farms in 2022. The USDA Census defines a migrant worker as "a farm worker whose employment required travel that prevented the migrant worker from returning to his/her permanent place of residence on the same day." Since the USDA does not report all of its county level farmworker data in order to preserve the identity of participating farm operations, it is not currently possible to provide a regional breakdown of farmworker populations.

Individuals and Families of Moderate, Low, Very Low, and Extremely Low Income

For some federal programs that the Department administers, the indicator of 125% of the poverty line is used to indicate need. The U.S. Census Bureau sets the poverty threshold by calculating the income needed for a family to buy necessary goods. The 2024 poverty income guideline for a family of four is \$31,200. In 2023, that amount was \$30,000 (USHHS, 2024).

According to the 2018-2022 American Community Survey, 5,285,809 individuals in Texas live below 125% of the poverty line. Urban counties tend to have higher numbers of people below 125% of poverty, but also tend to have lower poverty rates than rural counties.

Individuals Below 125% of Poverty, Texas

| Individuals | Rural | Urban | Texas |
|--|-----------|------------|------------|
| Individuals below 125% of poverty | 735,121 | 4,541,873 | 5,276,994 |
| Total Population for whom Poverty Status is Determined | 3,407,097 | 25,208,834 | 28,615,931 |
| 125% of poverty rate | 21.6% | 18.0% | 18.4% |

Source: 2018-2022 American Community Survey, Table S1701.

While federal poverty thresholds remain constant across the United States, HUD adjusts for local cost of living by calculating income groups based on AMFI. Since these income groups more accurately reflect local needs, HUD utilizes them to determine eligibility for numerous federal programs. This subsection will reference these income groups to evaluate income trends across the state. More information about HUD income levels can be found in the Data Sources and Limitations subsection.

Households by Income Group, Texas

| Area | ELI Households | VLI Households | LI Households | MI Households | Households >100% AMFI | Total Households |
|-------|----------------|----------------|---------------|---------------|-----------------------|------------------|
| Rural | 155,769 | 148,863 | 208,946 | 119,897 | 579,653 | 1,213,128 |
| Urban | 1,124,220 | 1,026,485 | 1,510,395 | 924,049 | 4,441,015 | 9,026,164 |
| Total | 1,279,989 | 1,175,348 | 1,719,341 | 1,043,946 | 5,020,668 | 10,239,292 |

Source: 2017-2021 CHAS, Table 8.

HUD’s definition for low income (LI) is less than or equal to 80% AMFI. According to the above table, 40.8% of all Texas households are in or below the LI category. Meeting the needs of this large portion of the State’s households is TDHCA’s primary focus.

Regional Analysis

In general, rural residents are more likely to have lower incomes than urban residents; whereas 42.3% of rural Texas households have incomes less than or equal to 80% AMFI, 40.6% of urban households and 40.8% of total Texas households have incomes less than or equal to 80% AMFI. All three percentages decreased one and a half percentage points or more compared to the previous year’s data. While rural/urban location does correlate with income level, an even stronger relationship can be found between income level and type of tenure. Renter households are far more likely to have lower incomes than owner households; whereas 58.5% of renter households in Texas have incomes less

than or equal to 80% AMFI, that percent drops by almost half to 30.1% for owner households. In every single region, the majority of renter households (>50% of total population) have incomes less than or equal to 80% AMFI.

Region 11 is the region with the largest proportion of total households in the ELI and VLI income groups at 19.1% and 14.9% respectively. Region 13 follows Region 11 with 14.9% of the region's households in the ELI category and the second largest proportion of VLI households with 12.8%. By comparison, 12.5% of the state's households are ELI and 11.5% are in the VLI category. Region 11 is the only region where the majority of its households have incomes less than or equal to 80% AMFI (50.7%). Region 13 has the next highest percentage at 45.1%. These percentages drastically increase when looking at renter households—66.5% of Region 11 renter households and 62.6% of Region 5 renter households have incomes less than or equal to 80% AMFI. Region 11 has the highest percentage of ELI and VLI renter households with 49.5%. Regions 11 and 13 contain the majority of Texas' border counties.

Regions 1, 3, 7, 8, 9, and 12 have a higher rate of households with incomes less than or equal to 80% AMFI in their urban counties. While all other regions have higher low-income rates in their rural rather than urban counties, Region 13 has the greatest difference between these two rates (44.8% of urban residents and 53.2% of rural residents). This indicates that rural households in Region 13 tend to be significantly lower income than the region's urban households. However, only 3.2% of Region 13's households reside in rural counties, meaning that the absolute number of rural low income households in Region 13 is relatively low.

Region 3 has the smallest proportion of ELI households to regional population at 11.0%. Only 11.0% of Region 3's urban households are ELI, which marks the lowest urban ELI rate amongst all regions. For rural households, Region 7 has the lowest ELI rate at 9.2% of rural households.

Region 6 has the largest number of ELI households of any region at 313,945 (24.5% of the states' total ELI population), followed by Region 3 (312,625, 24.4% of the states' total ELI population). The urban counties of Regions 3 and 6 alone account for 48.9% of the states' total ELI households.

The percentage of households in each region below 125% of poverty follows a similar pattern to that of ELI households. Region 11 has by far the highest rate of households below 125% of poverty at 33.8%. The next highest regional rate is in Region 13, 25.7%. Region 7 has the lowest rate at 13.1%, followed by Region 3 at 14.6%.

Persons Experiencing Homelessness

Homelessness is defined in a variety of ways. While the definitions of homelessness are intricate and varied, the federal HEARTH Act of 2009 expanded the definition of homelessness from persons lacking a nighttime residence to include persons who will imminently lose their housing and have no subsequent residence identified. HUD's definition of "homeless" is persons sleeping in emergency shelters, in transitional housing, on the streets, in campsites, under bridges, in abandoned lots and in other places not intended for human habitation.

According to the 2023 HUD Annual Homeless Assessment Report to Congress (AHAR), homelessness increased by 12% nationally between 2022 and 2023 (HUD, December 2023). HUD's point-in-time count estimates that 653,104 persons experienced homelessness over the course of one night in

January 2022. Of these individuals, 467,020 (71.5%) were in households without children, 186,084 (28.5%) in households with at least one adult and one child, and 3,240 (<1%) in households with only children under 18 years of age. The 2023 assessment was carried out in January of 2023.

Texas reported 27,377 persons, it continues to have a rate of homelessness that falls well below the national average of 18 per 10,000 people. The rate of homelessness in Texas is half the national average at nine per 10,000 people. Between 2022 and 2023, the number of persons experiencing homelessness in Texas increased by 12.1% between 2007 and 2023, Texas saw a 31.2% decrease in the number of persons experiencing homelessness (12,411 individuals) out of all states. In the same time period, Texas also had the second largest decrease in the number of persons experiencing homelessness as individuals (5,284 individuals or 20.1%) and the second largest percentage decrease in families with children experiencing homelessness (7,127 or 52.9%) (HUD, December 2023).

The table below is a count compiled by HUD of sheltered and unsheltered persons experiencing homelessness by subpopulation in Texas. Unlike previous year’s HUD’s 2023 AHAR did not include data on mental illness, HIV/AIDS, substance abuse or domestic abuse.

Homeless Populations, Texas

| Homeless Subpopulations | Sheltered | Unsheltered | Total |
|-------------------------|-----------|-------------|-------|
| Chronically Homeless | 1,656 | 3,230 | 4,886 |
| Veterans | 1,207 | 829 | 2,036 |

Source: HUD, 2023.

Youth Experiencing Homelessness

In 2019, the 86 (R) Texas Legislative Session passed House Bill (HB) 2564, which amended Tex. Gov’t Code §2306.0721 (c) to include homeless youth as a population with special housing needs within the State’s Low Income Housing Plan. HB2564 defines homeless youth as any individual younger than 25 years of age who is homeless. HUD’s PIT Count data shows that that there were 159,056 youth experiencing homelessness nationwide on a single night in January 2023.

According to HUD’s 2023 Annual Homeless Assessment Report to Congress, unaccompanied homeless youth “are persons in households without children who are not part of a family with children or accompanied by their parent or guardian during their episode of homelessness, and who are” under the age of 25 (HUD, December 2023). Under this definition, the PIT Count reported that there were 34,703 unaccompanied youth experiencing homelessness in the United States on a single night in January 2023.

Since PIT Counts cover only a single night in January, they represent a time-limited snapshot of homelessness in the United States. For this reason, it is useful to integrate a variety of sources into any report on youth homelessness. Each year, the National Center for Homeless Education, in conjunction with the Department of Education, aggregates Federal data on public school students who experienced homelessness during the school year. The most recent report estimates that 1,202,652 (2.4%) out of 49,634,110 public school students experienced homelessness in the United States during the 2021-2022 school year (NCHE, 2022). Of these students, 110,664 (9.2%) were unaccompanied, 15,831 (1.3%) were migratory, and 235,915 (19.6%) were students with disabilities.

This report does not include students who experience homelessness over school breaks, or youth who are not enrolled in public schools, which means that the report does not account for the entirety of this special population.

Of the 27,377 persons experiencing homelessness in Texas on a given night in January, 5,852 (21.4%) were reported to be youth (<25 years of age). 5,183 of these youth were sheltered in temporary or transitory housing, while 669 were unsheltered. The 2023 PIT Count also estimated that 1,358 (26.2%) of youth experiencing homelessness in Texas were unaccompanied, while 231 (3.9%) of youth experiencing homelessness were parenting other youth. The NCHES estimates that there were 97,279 students experiencing homelessness in Texas during the 2021-2022 school year. This represents an increase over the 93,096 students reported during 2020-2021 school year. Of the 97,279 students experiencing homelessness in Texas during 2021-2022, 15,995 (16.4%) were unaccompanied, 752 (0.8%) were migratory, and 15,312 (15.7%) were students with disabilities.

Homeless Youth Subpopulations, Texas

| Homeless Youth Subpopulations | Sheltered | Unsheltered | Total |
|-------------------------------|-----------|-------------|-------|
| Unaccompanied Youth | 927 | 431 | 1,358 |
| Under 18 years old | 136 | 9 | 145 |
| 18-24 years old | 791 | 422 | 1,213 |
| Parenting Youth | 223 | 8 | 231 |
| Children of Parenting Youth | 330 | 12 | 342 |

Source: HUD, 2023.

Persons Living with HIV/AIDS and their Families

Human Immunodeficiency Virus (HIV) is the virus that causes Acquired Immunodeficiency Syndrome (AIDS). HIV infects cells and attacks the immune system, which weakens the body and makes it especially susceptible to other infections and diseases. Due to increased medical costs and/or the loss of the ability to work, people with HIV/AIDS may be at risk of losing their housing arrangements.

Effective treatment has extended the lifespans of persons with HIV so that, despite increasing numbers of persons with HIV, fewer are dying from HIV-related causes (DSHS, 2020). As of the 2021 DSHS HIV Surveillance Report the rate of new HIV diagnoses in Texas has decreased from 15.7 in 100,000 people to 14.5 in 100,000 people in 2019 (DSHS 2021). There were approximately 5,231 new diagnoses of HIV in Texas from December 31, 2019 to December 31, 2021 (DSHS, 2022).

Persons with HIV/AIDS, Texas

| State | Persons with HIV/AIDS – 2021, Rural | Persons with HIV/AIDS – 2021, Urban | Total Persons with HIV/AIDS*, 2021 | 2018-2022 Total Population | Percent of Persons with HIV/AIDS to Statewide Population |
|-------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|------------------------------------|----------------------------|--|
| Total | 5,017 | 93,837 | 98,854 | 29,243,342 | 0.338% |

Source: DSHS, 2022; 2018-2022 American Community Survey, Table DP05.

*Does not include 3,946 people (4.0% of all persons living with HIV/AIDS in the State of Texas) counted in Texas Department of Criminal Justice (TDCJ) facilities, Federal Prison facilities, and Federal Immigration and Customs Enforcement (ICE) facilities that are not attributed to a geographic area.

Note: Figures do not include those unaware of their HIV infection or those who tested HIV positive solely through an anonymous HIV test. Cases are geographically associated with the individual's residence at HIV or AIDS diagnosis.

Regional Analysis

The 2021 HIV Surveillance Report (released in 2022) indicates that over two thirds (69.3%) of all persons in Texas with HIV diagnoses live in the urban counties of Region 3 and Region 6, which contain the Dallas-Ft. Worth and Houston-Sugarland-Galveston MSAs. Not including those with HIV diagnoses in TDCJ facilities, Federal Prison facilities, and ICE facilities, 0.45% of people in Region 3 and 0.48% of people in Region 6 have HIV/AIDS compared to Texas' 0.34%. Only Regions 3 and 6 surpass the state percentage of population with HIV/AIDS. The remaining regions' percentages of persons living with HIV/AIDS range from 0.05% in Region 13 to 0.32% in Region 7. Region 3 has the largest population of persons living with HIV/AIDS among all regions at 35,005 individuals, which is 35.4% of all persons living with HIV/AIDS in the State of Texas.

The vast majority (95.0%) of persons with HIV diagnoses who are attributed to a geographic region in Texas live in urban counties, where services including healthcare are more readily available. Of the total Texas population residing in urban counties, 0.38% have an HIV diagnosis, not including those with HIV diagnoses in TDCJ facilities, Federal Prison facilities, and ICE facilities. Only the urban subregions of Region 3 and Region 6 surpass this subregional rate with 0.44% and 0.48% of their urban population respectively. Over a quarter (26.5%) of all persons with HIV diagnoses that live in a rural region live in Region 4, followed by Region 5 with 15.1%. 0.24% of all residents of rural Region 4 counties are living with HIV/AIDS, the highest rate among all rural subregions followed by Region 5 (0.20%) and Region 6 (0.16%).

Persons with Disabilities (Mental, Physical, and Developmental)

According to the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990, 28 CFR §35.108, a disability means “a physical or mental impairment that substantially limits one or more of the major life activities of such individual.” The act describes many different major life activities, but they include activities like caring for oneself, performing manual tasks, interacting with others, working, or operating major bodily functions.

Persons with disabilities are more likely to live in urban areas due to an agglomeration of people, services, and economic activity in urban areas (Cruz, 2010). Despite this, the following table indicates that a higher percentage of rural Texans live with disabilities than urban Texans.

Persons with Disabilities, Texas

| State Population | Rural | Urban | Total |
|--------------------------------------|-----------|------------|------------|
| Persons with Disabilities | 552,343 | 2,806,039 | 3,358,382 |
| Total Civilian Non-institutionalized | 3,439,402 | 25,325,005 | 28,764,407 |
| Percent | 16.1% | 11.1% | 11.7% |

Source: 2018-2022 American Community Survey, Table S1810.

Persons with Disabilities as a percentage of Total Population, Texas

| Age | Population with a Disability | Total Civilian Non-Institutionalized Population | Persons with a Disability as a Percentage of Total Civilian Non-Institutionalized Population |
|----------------|------------------------------|---|--|
| Under 5 years | 13,890 | 1,959,098 | 0.71% |
| 5 to 17 years | 312,599 | 5,477,764 | 5.71% |
| 18 to 34 years | 425,792 | 6,787,130 | 6.27% |
| 35 to 64 years | 1,225,928 | 10,637,350 | 11.52% |
| 65 to 74 years | 595,579 | 2,210,207 | 26.95% |
| >75 years | 673,226 | 1,339,314 | 50.27% |
| Total | 3,247,014 | 28,410,863 | 11.43% |

Source: 2018-2022 American Community Survey, Table S1810.

Regional Analysis

Rural subregions have higher rates of disability than urban subregions in every TDHCA service region. Urban counties generally have more persons with disability overall. For example, 16.6% of civilian non-institutionalized individuals in the rural counties of Region 13 have a disability, the highest rate among any subregion, but that accounts for 3,679 individuals, the lowest number of persons with disabilities among any subregion (and less than 0.11% of the total state population of persons with disabilities).

Regions 2, 4, and 5 are the only regions that have a greater number of persons with disabilities in their rural counties than in their urban counties. Just over 17.7% of the population of Region 5 has a disability, the largest percentage in the State followed by Region 2 at 17.3%.

The urban counties of Regions 3 and 6 combined account for 43.5% of all persons with disabilities in the State. However, Regions 3 and 6 account for 53.1% of the state's civilian population, so this is a relatively low rate. In fact, Regions 3 and 6 has the lowest percentage population of persons with disability at 10.0%, followed closely by Region 7 at 10.1%. Regions 3, 6, and 7 contain the cities of Dallas-Fort Worth, Houston, and Austin respectively. Despite representing the greater number of persons with disabilities in Texas, these cities proportionally contain fewer of the State's persons with disabilities.

Persons with Substance Use Disorders

According to U.S. Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration's 2022 National Survey on Drug Use and Health (NSDUH), 9.4% of Americans over the age of 18 meet the criteria for drug use disorder. This is compared with 7.4% of Texans over the age of 18. Due to increasing rates of opioid misuse in the United States, the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services determined that opioid misuse constituted a public health emergency in 2017. The NSDUH reports that 1.97% of American adults and 1.94% of Texan adults abuse or are dependent upon painkillers, which are commonly associated with the opioid crisis.

Persons with Violence Against Women Act (VAWA) Protections

Persons with VAWA protections include survivors of domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault, or stalking. Many survivors of domestic violence who are living in poverty are often forced to choose between staying in abusive relationships and becoming homeless. For many survivors, concerns over their ability to provide housing for themselves and their children are a significant reason for staying in or returning to an abusive relationship. Access to resources that increase economic stability are essential in rebuilding a life after abuse. Housing is a constant need for survivors of domestic violence.

On September 7th 2023, the National Network to End Domestic Violence conducted its National Census of Domestic Violence Services, which collects information on the services provided by domestic violence programs across the United States each year. During the census, 1,626 domestic violence programs served a reported 76,975 people with 44,616 (58.0%) of those served receiving some form of housing assistance. 70% of programs provided some form of emergency shelter during the day and 27.5% of programs provided transitional and other housing assistance. Programs also reported 13,335 unmet requests for assistance, of which 54% were for housing (National Network to End Domestic Violence, 2024).

The Bureau of Justice Statistics (BJS) estimates that there were 951,930 cases of intimate partner violence committed nationally in 2022 for a rate of 3.4 per every 1,000 people over the age of 12 (Bureau of Justice Statistics, 2023). The BJS further estimates that only 51.5% of these crimes were reported and only 29.4% of intimate partner violence victims received assistance from a victim-service agency in 2022. Additionally, the BJS estimates that 531,810 cases of rape/sexual assault occurred in 2022. Sexual violence was the least reported criminal victimization in 2022, with the BJS estimating that only 21.4% of cases went reported.

According to the Texas Council on Family Violence's (TCFV) 2019 State Plan, Texas' 79 Health and Human Services funded programs served 71,500 survivors of domestic and family violence in 2018 (Texas Council on Family Violence, November 2019). In interviews with 150 survivors in seven different regions, TCFV found that 71.4% of survivors needed help looking for housing and 32.7% of survivors needed help keeping their current housing. Despite this, TCFV notes that 47% of persons calling for family violence shelter will hear that there is insufficient space to house them. HUD's 2019 PIT Count estimates that 9.7% of persons experiencing homelessness in Texas during one night in January were victims of domestic violence (2,513 people). TCFV interviews showed that 90.7% of survivors had experienced homelessness due to domestic violence at least once in their lives, over 45% had been homeless twice or more, and 34% had been homeless once or twice due to reasons unrelated to family violence.

The Texas Department of Public Safety reports that the total number of Texas family violence incidents in 2024 was 240,363. This represented a 9.6% increase when compared to 2023. The table below shows total incidents of domestic violence in Texas in calendar year 2022. One incident can involve multiple victims, and one victim can experience multiple incidents.

Incidences of Family Violence and Sexual Assaults, Texas

| Area | Family Violence Incidents* | Incidence Rate (per 1,000 people) |
|---------|----------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Rural | 17,437 | 4.87 |
| Urban | 199,951 | 7.79 |
| Texas** | 217,388 | 7.43 |

Source: Texas Department of Public Safety, 2024

**Detail data does not necessarily add up to DPS totals for the year

Residents of Colonias

Colonias are substandard housing developments mainly found along the Texas-Mexico border. These developments lack basic services such as drinking water and sewage treatment. Several state agencies, including TDHCA, work to address barriers in colonia communities.

The definition of colonia differs among the agencies working to address colonia issues. According to Tex. Gov't Code §2306.581, TDHCA's enabling statute, 'colonia' means a geographic area located in a county some part of which is within 150 miles of the international border of this state, consists of 11 or more dwellings that are located in close proximity to each other in an area that may be described as a community or neighborhood, and: "has a majority population composed of individuals and families with low income and very low income, based on the federal OMB poverty index and meets the qualifications of an economically distressed area under Section 17.921, Water Code; or has the physical and economic characteristics of a colonia, as determined by the department."

Since 1995, Tex. Local Gov't Code Chapter 232, Subchapter B has required that new subdivisions provide infrastructure, such as utilities, roads, and drainage to residents. Subchapter B currently applies to the 28 counties of which any part is located within 50 miles of the Mexican border and Nueces County. Subchapter B requires that counties to which it applies adopt and enforce the Model Subdivision Rules of the Texas Water Development Board (TWDB), and restrict the sale and advertising of lots that lack (or lack the guarantee of) water and sewer infrastructure, unless the seller resides on the lot.

Differing definitions make it difficult to estimate the population of the state's colonias. Oftentimes, reports utilize population figures for Economically Distressed Areas (EDAs) as estimates for colonia populations. This is because the TWDB also requires that counties adopt the model subdivision rules in order to qualify for their Economically Distressed Areas Program (EDAP), a program designed to assist local government in providing water and sewer facilities to needy residential areas such as colonias. EDAP eligible cities and counties must contain an EDA, as established by Tex. Water Code §17.921. The TWDB defines a colonia as a type of EDA with 11 or more dwellings that is located in a county any part of which is within 50 miles of an international border; or located in a county any part of which is within 100 miles of an international border; and that contains the majority of the area of a municipality with a population of more than 250,000 as per Tex. Gov't Code §775.001(2). The TWDB also utilizes a separate definition for 'nonborder colonias,' which applies to subdivisions located

greater than 150 miles from the international border of the state. Therefore, since the Tex. Water Code’s definition for a colonia (and EDAs more generally) do not set requirements for distance from the Texas-Mexico border, TWDB population estimates for EDAs or colonias do not necessarily align with the TDHCA definition of colonia, which is limited to counties that are 150 miles from the Texas-Mexico border.

As such, reporting agencies can arrive at different estimates for the number of people living in colonias depending on which definitions they utilize. A 2014 assessment by the Texas Office of the Secretary of State’s Colonia Initiatives Program, which utilizes a similar definition to TDHCA, found that the six Texas counties (El Paso, Maverick, Webb, Starr, Hidalgo, and Cameron) with the largest colonia populations contain 1,854 colonias with a population of 369,482. Population numbers in this assessment were validated in several ways: by 2010 census data, by city and county figures, and (in some cases) by colonia ombudsperson site visits.

Colonia Resident Population Estimates, Texas

| Region | County | Number of Colonias | Estimated Colonia Population |
|--------|----------|--------------------|------------------------------|
| 11 | Cameron | 196 | 56,005 |
| 11 | Hidalgo | 937 | 150,235 |
| 11 | Maverick | 74 | 23,295 |
| 11 | Starr | 256 | 34,143 |
| 11 | Webb | 62 | 15,222 |
| 13 | El Paso | 329 | 90,582 |
| | Total | 1,854 | 369,482 |

Source: Texas Office of the Secretary of State, 2014.

Conversely, a 2015 Federal Reserve Bank of Dallas report estimates that 500,000 people live in 2,294 colonias in Texas (Federal Reserve Bank of Dallas, April 2015). This is likely based on a previous 2003 Texas Water Development Board assessment.

Residents of Public Housing

Public housing authorities administer a variety of programs for low-income families, aging Texans, and persons with disabilities. These programs range from housing choice voucher administration to public housing construction and rehabilitation. While housing choice vouchers provide rental subsidies for households living in private rental units, public housing units offer affordable low-income housing that is managed directly by the public housing authority. According to HUD, the median income for a public housing resident in Texas is \$13,258 with 72% of all households being classified as extremely low income (HUD, 2018).

HUD estimated in 2010 that the existing capital need to repair and improve the nation’s public housing stock rests at \$25.6 billion, which includes funds for lead paint abatement and accessibility accommodations (HUD, 2010). The Fiscal Year 2019 HUD budget awarded \$2.78 billion to the public housing capital fund and \$4.65 billion to the public housing operating fund, representing modest increases from the previous year. Despite these increases, The Harvard Joint Center for Housing

Studies estimates that the public housing capital fund’s backlog rests at \$56.5 billion (Harvard Joint Center for Housing, 2019).

To begin to address this significant need for rehabilitation of its public housing stock, HUD created the Rental Assistance Demonstration (RAD). RAD allows PHAs to leverage public and private debt and equity to rehabilitate their properties while the units continue to remain affordable to low income households. According to HUD, Texas PHAs have converted 157 projects covering 20,136 housing units under the RAD program. It is not uncommon for RAD conversion properties to access TDHCA resources including the Housing Tax Credit Program.

Public Housing Authority Units, Texas

| State | Rural | Urban | Total Units |
|-------|--------|--------|-------------|
| Total | 14,273 | 24,980 | 39,253 |

Source: HUD, 2023.

*Seven PHA units did not have County designations and were therefore left out of the Rural and Urban analysis

Regional Analysis

The majority of the State’s PHA units are in urban counties, 63.6%. Region 9 has 17.7% of the State’s PHA units, the highest percentage of any region, followed by Region 3 at 13.8%. Region 13 has the smallest share of the State’s PHA units at 0.9%, followed by Region 12 at 2.7%. Additional regional analysis of subsidized multifamily units, including PHA units, is available in the Housing Assessment portion of this section, under the Statewide Assisted Housing Inventory.

Veterans

According to the Texas Veterans Commission, the two key factors which continue to increase the demand for veterans’ services in Texas are force reductions, which produce a surge of service members departing the military, and a large aging population of veterans, specifically from the WWII, Korea, and Vietnam eras. The current median age for veterans in the United States is 64 compared with 44 for all non-veterans (U.S. Department of Veteran’s Affairs, 2018). Age also contributes to the higher rate of veterans living with disabilities (30.1%) than the non-veteran population (14.8%). As these generations of veterans age, need for veterans services continues to grow (Texas Veterans Commission, 2014).

Veterans face a host of challenges when transitioning back to civilian life. Nationwide, about 1.5 million veterans live in poverty, and the veteran poverty rate is rising (US Department of Veteran Affairs, 2015). On a single night in January 2023, there were 35,574 veterans experiencing homelessness in the United States, and nearly all (98%) were homeless in households without children. Between 2022 and 2023, homelessness among veterans increased by 7.4% (or 2,445 individuals) nationwide. <January 2024 numbers expected to be released by early Jan 2025 and anticipated to be included in Feb 2025 final SLIHP edition>

The 2018-2022 ACS estimates that there are 1,426,641 veterans in Texas, representing 6.7% of the Texas civilian population over age 18. HUD’s 2022 PIT Count reported that there were 2,036 veterans

experiencing homelessness in Texas over a single night in January 2023 (HUD, January 2023). Veterans accounted for 7.4% of the homeless population in Texas. This is a slight increase from 2022, when 7.2% of the adult population experiencing homelessness counted on a single night in January consisted of veterans (HUD, December 2022). Between 2022 and 2030, Texas had an increase in veterans experiencing homelessness of 325 individuals or a 19.0% increase.

Veterans, Texas

| State | Rural Veterans | Urban Veterans | Total Veterans | 2018-2022 Civilian Population over 18 years | Percent Veterans of Population Over 18 Years |
|-------|----------------|----------------|----------------|---|--|
| Total | 212,212 | 1,204,761 | 1,416,973 | 21,737,391 | 6.5% |

Source: 2018-2022 American Community Survey, Table S2101.

Regional Analysis

11.1% of Region 8’s civilian population over 18 years old are veterans, the highest percentage among all regions followed closely by Region 9 at 10.2%. Region 8 contains Fort Hood in Killeen and Region 9 contains Joint Base San Antonio, which includes Fort Sam Houston, Lackland Air Force Base, and Randolph Air Force Base. While a greater number of veterans live in urban counties (85.0% of the State total), rural counties have a higher percentage of their population that are veterans (7.7% vs. 6.5%).

Region 3 has the largest veteran population of all regions, 356,159 or 25.1% of all Texas veterans. Region 6 has 272,546, or 19.2% of all Texas veterans. Considering that Regions 3 and 6 account for 27.8% and 24.9% of the state’s total civilian non-institutionalized population respectively, this is approximately the number of veterans you would expect to be present in these two regions.

Region 12 has the smallest share of the State’s veteran population at 2.0% while Region 11 has the lowest percentage of its regional population who are veterans at 3.5%.

Youth Aging Out of Foster Care

In Texas, youth in the foster care system age out at 18 years old (although under a variety of programs, youth may be able to receive ongoing assistance until age 24). In 2022, 1,227 Texas youth were emancipated from foster care, with some youth receiving assistance and services to help them transition to adulthood and some youth ceasing continued contact with the child welfare system once they left foster care (Texas DFPS, 2020). A recent study of youth who had been in foster care found that when asked where they went when they aged out, 26% went to a family home, 15% to a foster family home, 5% to a relative’s home, 15% to the home of a friend or boyfriend/girlfriend, 4% to a shelter, 5% to transitional living or my own place, 11% to a shelter, and 8% went to the streets (Narendorf et al., 2015). According to Mathematica Policy Research, “11 to 36 percent of youth who age out of foster care become homeless, and 25 to 50 percent experience unstable housing arrangements” (Mathematica, 2015). A study of homeless youth by the USHHS Family and Youth Services Bureau (FYSB) additionally reports that 50.6% of respondents had reported staying in foster care or a group home (USHHS FYSB, 2014).

Studies have found that youth aging out of foster care are less likely than their peers who have not been in foster care to graduate high school or a post-secondary school or be employed at a job that can support their basic necessities. Youth aging out of foster care are more likely to experience violence, homelessness, mental illness, incarceration, substance use issues and early parenthood out of wedlock (Casey Family Programs, 2016).

These factors combine to make homelessness a real possibility for many youth that age out of foster care. Foster care alumni may benefit most from housing tied with other services, such as education, financial literacy, and services to facilitate connections for emotional support. The Texas Department of Family and Protective Services (DFPS) has a program that may allow youth to stay in foster care until age 21 while they pursue an education or a job. DFPS provides various services to help these youth learn to live successfully on their own. Further, Texas provides healthcare to children in foster care and to youth who age out of care up to the month of their 26th birthday.

Youth Aging Out of Foster Care, Texas SFY 2023

| State | Rural | Urban | Total |
|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| Total | 166 | 704 | 870 |

Source: Texas Department of Family and Protective Services, 2024

Regional Analysis

Because the number of youth aging out of foster care is small compared with the population of the State, the percentage of each region’s population that are youth aging out of foster care vary by only thousandths of a percentage. 0.0085% of the population of Region 2 are youth aging out of foster care, the highest percentage among all regions. Region 13 has the lowest percentage—only 0.0018% of the region’s population are youth aging out of foster care.

Region 3 contains 20.8% of all youth aging out of foster care in Texas, the largest share of all regions. The urban counties of Regions 3 and 6 account for 39.1% of all youth aging out of foster care in the State of Texas. Regions 12 and 13 have the smallest portion of State youth aging out of foster care at 1.8%.

Despite only 9.5% of all Texas residents of urban counties being in Region 9, 15.2% of all youth aging out of foster care living in urban counties reside in Region 9.

HOUSING ASSESSMENT

The SLIHP’s annual housing assessment aggregates data on the adequacy, affordability, and availability of the state’s current housing supply. It does this by utilizing HUD’s most recent CHAS data to show various housing conditions by income category. While this section covers the entire state, a separate housing assessment for each of the state’s 13 uniform service regions can be found in the appendices.

Housing Needs

When analyzing local housing markets and developing strategies for meeting housing problems, HUD suggests that government agencies take several different factors into account. These factors include the physical condition of a housing unit, how much a household spends on housing costs (measured by Housing Cost Burden), and whether or not the unit is overcrowded. The following table reveals the number and percentage of households with at least one housing problem by income category and household type.

Households with One or More Housing Problems, Texas

| Income Categories | Renter At least one problem | Renter Total Households | Renter % with at least one problem | Owner At least one problem | Owner Total Households | Owner % with at least one problem | Total Households |
|-------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------|------------------------------------|----------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------------------|------------------|
| ELI | 628,877 | 792,519 | 79.4% | 351,316 | 487,470 | 72.1% | 1,279,989 |
| VLI | 543,910 | 637,259 | 85.4% | 285,754 | 538,089 | 53.1% | 1,175,348 |
| LI | 462,822 | 821,454 | 56.3% | 336,868 | 897,887 | 37.5% | 1,719,341 |
| MI | 112,560 | 425,732 | 26.4% | 158,412 | 618,214 | 25.6% | 1,043,946 |
| >100% AMFI | 111,782 | 1,171,289 | 9.5% | 300,162 | 3,849,379 | 7.8% | 5,020,668 |
| Total | 1,859,979 | 3,848,290 | 48.3% | 1,432,535 | 6,391,064 | 22.4% | 10,239,350 |

Source: 2017-2021 CHAS, Table 1 and Table 8.

Of renter households, those in the VLI category are the most likely to have at least one housing problem. Of owner households, those in the ELI category are the most likely to have at least one housing problem. Overall, renters are more likely than owners to have at least one housing problem.

Physical Inadequacy (Lack of Kitchen and Plumbing Facilities)

HUD’s CHAS data tracks the physical inadequacy of units by reporting on the number of units in each county that lack complete kitchen and/or plumbing facilities. Although there may be other ways to measure physical inadequacy, the lack of plumbing and/or kitchen facilities mark severely inadequate housing (HUD 2020). TDHCA’s Minimum Construction standards, which serve as the starting point for the rehabilitation of single-family homes, defines homes without kitchen or plumbing facilities as ‘substandard condition’ (TDHCA 2015). Specifically, substandard conditions, such as lack of plumbing and/or kitchen facilities “threaten the health and/or safety of the occupant.”

The following table shows the breakdown of households living in housing units that lack complete kitchen or plumbing facilities.

Number of Occupied Units Lacking Kitchen and/or Plumbing Facilities by Income Category, Texas

| Income Categories | Renter Households lacking kitchen or plumbing | Total Renter Households | % of renters lacking kitchen/plumbing in income category | Owner Households Lacking Kitchen or Plumbing | Total Owner Households | % of owner lacking kitchen/plumbing in income category |
|-------------------|---|-------------------------|--|--|------------------------|--|
| ELI | 21,158 | 792,519 | 2.7% | 10,652 | 487,470 | 2.2% |
| VLI | 13,087 | 637,259 | 2.1% | 5,800 | 538,089 | 1.1% |
| LI | 10,762 | 821,454 | 1.3% | 7,925 | 897,887 | 0.9% |
| MI | 4,036 | 425,732 | 0.9% | 3,596 | 618,214 | 0.6% |
| >100% AMFI | 11,290 | 1,171,289 | 1.0% | 14,127 | 3,849,379 | 0.4% |
| Total | 60,377 | 3,848,290 | 1.6% | 42,144 | 6,391,064 | 0.7% |

Source: 2017-2021 CHAS, Table 3 and Table 8.

Out of the total count of physically inadequate occupied housing units, 21.1% are occupied by ELI renter households and 10.4% are occupied by ELI owner households. A greater number of renters with incomes less than or equal to 100% AMFI lack kitchen or plumbing compared to owners, while a greater number of owners with incomes greater than 100% AMFI lack kitchen or plumbing compared to renters. However, the rate of households in each income category that lack plumbing or kitchen facilities decreases as income increases. While the percentage of ELI owner and renter households who lack complete kitchen or plumbing facilities are similar, for all other income categories rates of physical inadequacy are higher among renter households.

Regional Analysis

Region 10 has the highest rates of physical inadequacy among all regions with 1.8% of its households lacking kitchen or plumbing facilities. The region with the next highest rate of physical inadequacy is Region 11 at 1.5%. ELI renter households in Regions 10 have the highest rate of households lacking complete plumbing or kitchen facilities at 4.5%. Region 4 has the highest rates of physical inadequacy for rental households, at 2.9% followed by Region 10 at 2.7%.

Region 3, has the lowest rate of physical inadequacy at 0.8% while regions 6, 7, and 13 have the second lowest rate at 0.9%. All of these regions have low overall rates due to low levels of physical inadequacy in their urban areas. Overall, the rate of physical inadequacy is higher in rural counties than in urban counties (1.5% and 0.9% of total rural and urban households, respectively). Region 11 has the smallest difference between urban and rural rates of physical inadequacy with 1.5% of urban and 1.6% of rural households lacking a complete kitchen or plumbing. Region 13 has the largest difference between urban and rural rates of physical inadequacy; while 0.9% of urban households in Region 13 lack complete kitchen or plumbing, 1.7% of rural households have the same issues.

Housing Cost Burden

A household is defined as experiencing housing cost burden when a household pays more than 30% of its gross income for housing costs including utilities. When so much income is spent on housing, other basic household needs may suffer. The following table shows the breakdown of households experiencing housing cost burden and does not include data for households for which housing cost burden could not be calculated.

Number of Households with Housing Cost Burden by Income Category, Texas

| Income Categories | Renters with Cost Burden | Total Renter Households | % of Renter Households with Cost Burden | Owners with Cost Burden | Total Owner Households | % of Owners with cost burden |
|-------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------|---|-------------------------|------------------------|------------------------------|
| ELI | 611,980 | 792,519 | 77.2% | 340,112 | 487,470 | 69.8% |
| VLI | 522,557 | 637,259 | 82.0% | 264,759 | 538,089 | 49.2% |
| LI | 409,431 | 821,454 | 49.8% | 293,885 | 897,887 | 32.7% |
| MI | 82,683 | 425,732 | 19.4% | 131,598 | 618,214 | 21.3% |
| >100% AMFI | 47,463 | 1,171,289 | 4.1% | 207,547 | 3,849,379 | 5.4% |
| Total | 1,674,114 | 3,848,290 | 43.5% | 1,237,901 | 6,391,064 | 19.4% |

Source: 2017-2021 CHAS, Table 8.

VLI renter households have the highest rate of households experiencing cost burden at 82.0% of all VLI renter households. ELI renter households have the largest absolute number of households experiencing cost burden with 611,980 households. This is a larger population than renter and owner households with incomes greater than 100% AMFI experiencing cost burden combined, 255,010 households. While the absolute number of total cost burdened households increased from the previous 2016-2020 CHAS data, the number of cost burdened ELI and VLI households decreased. The percentage of housing cost burdened households decreased in all income brackets except for ELI households.

For renters, cost burden is heavily concentrated in the lowest income categories. Cost burdened renter households are 36.6% ELI, 31.2% VLI, 24.5% LI, and just 7.7% are MI and above. Cost burdened owners are 27.5% ELI, 21.4% VLI, 23.7% LI, and 27.4% MI and above. This could possibly be because there are more owner households in the higher income categories when compared with renting. As such, higher income groups comprise a larger portion of cost burdened homeowners.

For ELI, VLI, and LI households, renters are more likely to experience cost burden than owners. For MI households and households with incomes greater than 100% AMFI, owners are more likely to experience cost burden.

Regional Analysis

Region 13 has the highest rates of housing cost burden among all regions with 30.4% of households paying more than 30% of their income in housing costs. Regions 6 and 7 have the next highest rate at 29.7%. Regions 3, 6, 7, 8, and 9 all have rates between 28% and 30%.

Region 2 possesses the lowest rate of cost burdened households in the state at 22.6%. Region 12 has the next lowest rate at 22.9%. It is worth noting that these two regions share a border, which could mean that similar geographic and economic factors are lessening cost-burden across these two regions.

Region 7 has the highest rates of cost burden for ELI and VLI households. This is likely due to rapidly increasing housing costs in the Austin-Round Rock MSA (City of Austin 2020). Region 7 has the highest rate of cost burdened MI renter households at 27.1%; meanwhile, Region 6 has the highest rate of cost burdened households above 100% AMFI at 5.0%.

Very Low Income renter households in Region 3 has the highest rate of households experiencing cost burden at 85.9%. Renter households in Region 6 and Region 9 have the next highest rates at 84.5% and 84.2% respectively. In general, housing cost burden increased amongst all households in the latest CHAS data release.

Housing cost burden is more prevalent in urban areas than in rural; 29.3% of total urban households and 21.7% of total rural households experience cost burden. Regions 8 and 13 have the highest rates of cost burden among urban subregions; 31.9% of urban households in Region 8 and 30.7% of urban households in Region 13 experience cost burden. Regions 6 and 3 have the highest rates of cost burden among rural subregions; 26.2% of rural households in Region 6 and 24.2% of rural households in Region 3 experience cost burden.

Overcrowding

Overcrowded housing conditions occur when a residence accommodates more than one person per each room in the dwelling. Overcrowding may indicate a general lack of affordable housing in a community because households may choose to share space, rather than pay for expensive housing or move to areas with more affordable housing. The following chart shows the percentage of households experiencing overcrowding in each income category.

Number of Households Experiencing Overcrowding by Income Group, Texas

| Income Categories | Over-crowded Renters | Total Renter Households | % of Renters with Overcrowding | Over-crowded Owners | Total Owner Households | % of Owners with Overcrowding |
|-------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------|------------------------|-------------------------------|
| ELI | 72,776 | 792,519 | 9.2% | 19,692 | 487,470 | 4.0% |
| VLI | 63,319 | 637,259 | 9.9% | 28,643 | 538,089 | 5.3% |
| LI | 64,581 | 821,454 | 7.9% | 44,257 | 897,887 | 4.9% |
| MI | 28,675 | 425,732 | 6.7% | 25,581 | 618,214 | 4.1% |
| >100% AMFI | 56,854 | 1,171,289 | 4.9% | 81,800 | 3,849,379 | 2.1% |
| Total | 286,160 | 3,848,290 | 7.4% | 199,939 | 6,391,064 | 3.1% |

Source: 2017-2021 CHAS, Table 10 and Table 8.

Generally, lower income households experience overcrowding at a higher rate than higher income households. However, unlike households lacking complete plumbing or kitchen facilities, and similar to recent trends amongst cost burdened households, overcrowding peaks at the VLI income level. This is likely because VLI households are more likely to have more household members, increasing the likelihood for overcrowding.

Overcrowding also decreases more significantly as income increases beyond the 50% AMFI income level for both owner and renter households. This is likely because higher income households can more easily find and afford houses with sufficient space. For all income categories, renter households have higher rates of overcrowding than owner households.

Regional Analysis

Region 11 has the highest rate of overcrowding among all regions with 11.0% of total households experiencing overcrowding. Unlike rates of physical inadequacy and housing cost burden, Region 11 has the highest rates of overcrowding regardless of income category, owner or renter status, or urban or rural area. The total rate of overcrowding among all households in Region 11 (11.0%) is twice as high as the region with the next highest rate of overcrowding, Region 13 at 5.5%. It's worth noting that Regions 11 and 13 contain the vast majority of Texas' border counties, which seems to indicate that geography plays at least some role in rates of overcrowding. On the other hand, Region 2 has the lowest regional rate of overcrowding, 2.4% of all households. Region 2 also has the lowest rate of overcrowding and second lowest rate of overcrowding across all renter and owner income categories.

Rates of overcrowding are relatively close in urban and rural counties. The largest difference between the urban and rural rate is in Region 11, where 9.0% of rural and 11.4% of urban households experience overcrowding. While the statewide urban rate (4.8%) is slightly higher than the statewide rural rate (4.3%), there is not a distinguishable pattern regarding urban vs. rural overcrowding across regions.

Housing Supply

During the 5-year ACS estimate (2018-2022), approximately 67.7% of occupied housing units in Texas were single-unit homes, and nearly all of these single-unit homes (96.0%) were single family detached structures. Multifamily structures comprise roughly 32.3% of Texas’ housing units: 1.9% in developments of 2 units; 3.3% in developments with 3 or 4 units; 10.5% in developments with 5 to 19 units; and 9.6% in developments of over 20 units. The remaining 7.0% of units were manufactured homes and other units such as boats or RVs.

Physical Housing Characteristics for Occupied Units, Texas

| Housing Characteristics | Rural Units | Urban Units | Total Units | Percent of Total |
|-------------------------|------------------|-------------------|-------------------|------------------|
| 1 unit | 100,015 | 176,291 | 276,306 | 67.5% |
| 2 units | 34,340 | 181,045 | 215,385 | 1.8% |
| 3 or 4 units | 40,032 | 351,916 | 391,948 | 3.4% |
| 5 to 19 units | 58,951 | 1,152,790 | 1,211,741 | 10.4% |
| 20+ units | 37,308 | 1,131,327 | 1,168,635 | 10.0% |
| Mobile homes | 259,267 | 517,852 | 777,119 | 6.7% |
| Other types of housing | 7,356 | 19,196 | 26,552 | 0.2% |
| Total | 1,610,267 | 10,044,704 | 11,654,971 | 100.0% |

Source: 2018-2022 American Community Survey, Table DP04.

*The “Other types of housing” category is for living quarters occupied as housing units that do not fit in the previous categories. Examples that fit in the “other” category are houseboats, railroad cars, campers, and vans.

The table below shows occupied and vacant housing. In areas of high vacancy, this can create a problem if those units are substandard, contributing to blight and unsafe housing conditions. In areas of very low vacancy, this can create a high demand for units, driving up rental costs. Rural areas experienced lower levels of occupancy than urban areas. The statewide occupancy rate was 89.6%.

Housing Occupancy, Texas

| State | Renter Occupied Housing Units | Owner Occupied Housing Units | Vacant Housing Units | Percent of Total Units that are Occupied |
|--------------|-------------------------------|------------------------------|----------------------|--|
| Rural | 364,413 | 935,165 | 310,689 | 80.7% |
| Urban | 3,580,413 | 5,610,562 | 853,729 | 91.5% |
| Total | 3,944,826 | 6,545,727 | 1,164,418 | 90.0% |

Source: 2018-2022 American Community Survey, Table DP04.

Regional Analysis

Region 5 has the lowest regional occupancy rate of all regions at 81.2%. Regions 4 and 5 have the lowest occupancy rate among urban subregions (85.2%), but Region 7 has the lowest occupancy rate among rural subregions (73.4%). Region 7 has the highest overall occupancy rate of all regions at 93.0% of units occupied. Region 7’s urban counties have the highest occupancy rate of any subregion

(94.3%) followed closely by the urban counties of Region 3 (92.7%). Region 3 had the highest occupancy rate of all rural subregions at 85.0% occupancy.

Statewide Assisted Housing Inventory

The following table shows the number of units in Texas financed or subsidized through state and federal sources, including TDHCA, the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD), and the U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA).

TDHCA units include all program units, regardless of occupancy, in the active TDHCA Multifamily portfolio. HUD Units include units funded through the Section 202 Supportive Housing for the Elderly Program, the Section 811 Supportive Housing for Persons with Disabilities Program, the Section 236 Preservation Program, and the Section 8 Project-Based Rental Assistance Program administered by HUD’s Office of Multifamily Housing. PHA Units include units funded through the Moderate Rehabilitation Program and Public Housing Program administered by local PHAs. HCVs include both tenant- and project-based vouchers administered by local PHAs. USDA units include those funded through the Section 514 Farm Labor Housing Program and the Section 515 Rural Rental Housing Program.

Because some developments layer funding from multiple sources, there may be double counting. The table does not include local Housing Finance Corporations (HFCs), a category which encompasses the Texas State Affordable Housing Corporation (TSAHC). Detail on these units is available in the TSAHC Annual Action Plan (Section 7 of this document).

Because this is a count of subsidized units, the unit total only includes those units that have income restrictions, and does not include market-rate units that may incidentally have affordable rents available in some developments. Housing Choice Vouchers are included in the count as they can subsidize the rent of market rate units and voucher holders are required to meet income restrictions. TDHCA units represent the active multifamily units as taken from TDHCA’s internal Central Database in November 2024 HUD units, Housing Choice Vouchers, and Public Housing Authority unit data were obtained from HUD’s 2024 Picture of Subsidized Households county-level dataset available on HUD’s Office of Policy Development and Research webpage. USDA subsidized unit data for active projects as of August 22, 2024, were taken from USDA’s Rural Development Datasets webpage.

Subsidized Units, Texas

| Multifamily Units | State | Percent of State Inventory |
|-------------------------|----------------|----------------------------|
| TDHCA Units | 317,072 | 51.39% |
| HUD Units | 59,667 | 9.67% |
| PHA Units | 39,253 | 6.36% |
| Housing Choice Vouchers | 179,583 | 29.10% |
| USDA Units | 21,465 | 3.48% |
| Total | 619,558 | 100.0% |

Source: TDHCA 2024; HUD 2024; U.S. Department of Agriculture 2024.

Regional Analysis

The urban counties of Regions 3 and 6 account for 44.3% of all assisted multifamily units in the State of Texas (274,706 units). Region 3 has the overall greatest share of the State’s subsidized units at 24.4%, followed by Region 6 with 21.9%. Region 12 has the smallest share of the state’s subsidized multifamily units at 2.0%, followed by Region 2 with 2.4%. Regions 2 and 4 have 48.6% and 45.7% of their total subsidized units located in their rural counties, the highest rural unit percentages of all regions. Overall, most regions had a majority of their subsidized units located in urban areas. 9.0% of all housing units in Region 13 are subsidized units, the highest percentage of any region. 10.2% of all housing units in the urban counties of Region 5 are subsidized units, the highest percentage among all subregions. 9.0% of the rural housing units in Region 11 are subsidized, the highest percentage amongst all regions. Overall, 5.4% of all housing units in the state are subsidized with 5.5% of urban housing units being subsidized and 4.6% of rural housing units being subsidized.

Foreclosures

Foreclosures can affect the availability and affordability of local housing stocks. Foreclosures, particularly if concentrated in one area or in a weak market neighborhood, can lead to declining property values and physical deterioration from long periods of vacancy or lack of maintenance (Lincoln Land Institute, 2014). Foreclosed homes may be in worse condition than owner-occupied properties, which may suggest higher rates of substandard housing in areas with large numbers of foreclosures. While foreclosures may increase the local available housing stock, rapid increases in housing stock can put downward pressure on local home prices. If foreclosures become a sizable share of home sales, that could affect the value of all available homes in one area, not just those that went through foreclosure (Immergluck, 2016). This can make additional households more susceptible to foreclosure as homes become more difficult to sell or refinance, a phenomenon known as foreclosure contagion.

Altogether, foreclosures were down from SFY 2023, a 17.8% decrease from 25,160 to 20,689. Last year there was an increase of foreclosures from SFY 2022 to SFY 2023, which was a 28.5% increase from 19,576 foreclosures to 25,160, which was a result of lifting the federal and local restrictions put in place due to COVID-19. In addition to federal CARES Act protections for FHA mortgages, numerous Texas counties had previously chosen to suspend or limit foreclosures on mortgages in line with Governor Abbott’s State Disaster Declaration for COVID-19 (Smith, Alonso, Gutierrez, and Miller 2020).

Foreclosures, Texas SFY 2024

| State | Quarter 1 | Quarter 2 | Quarter 3 | Quarter 4 | 2024 Total | 2023 Total | 2022 Total |
|-------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|------------|------------|------------|
| Rural | 527 | 465 | 567 | 129 | 1,688 | 1,866 | 1,587 |
| Urban | 4,893 | 5,214 | 7,166 | 1,728 | 19,001 | 23,294 | 17,989 |
| Total | 5,420 | 5,679 | 7,733 | 1,857 | 20,689 | 25,160 | 19,576 |

Source: RealtyTrac, 2024.

Regional Analysis

Regions 3 and 6 account for 56.3% of total State foreclosures in SFY 2023 (33.3% in Region 6, 23.0% in Region 3). The next highest regional share of State foreclosures is in Region 9, which had 12.0% of the State total. Each of the remaining regions range from 1.2% (Region 2) to 7.2% (Region 11) of all Texas foreclosures.

The rural counties of Region 3 account for 34.4% of all rural foreclosures (533 foreclosures). Region 4 (15.9%) and Region 5 (8.8%) both accounted for large portions of the state's rural foreclosures. Meanwhile, the largest percentages of urban foreclosures occurred in Regions 6 (33.3%) and Region 3 (23.0%).

Housing Affordability

The following tables compare demand and supply of affordable housing. They cross tabulate the number of households and housing units in different affordability categories by tenure (meaning whether the household is a renter or owner household). In the tables, rental unit affordability depends on gross rent and owner unit affordability depends on the home value. All units reported in the following tables have complete kitchen and plumbing facilities. Higher income households often reside in units that could be affordable to the lowest income households, so there are fewer units available at a cost that is affordable to lower income households. For example, 1,016,735 renter households in Texas with incomes greater than 80% AMFI occupy rental units that would be affordable to renter households with incomes less than or equal to 80% AMFI (see tables below). Renter households in this category can afford rental units in any of the defined affordability categories. Therefore, renter households that are not ELI, VLI, or LI, often limit the supply of affordable rental housing units available to those lower income renter households.

The following tables describe the housing market interaction of various income groups and housing costs. The tables illustrate the housing market mismatch between housing units and income groups. Owner households with incomes greater than 100% AMFI occupy 37.7% of homes affordable to ELI and VLI owner households. Only 21.8% of ELI renter households are living in rental units affordable to renter households in that income bracket, which implies that the remaining 778.2% of ELI renter households may be experiencing housing cost burden. This is supported by the cost burden statistics previously discussed, where 77.2% of ELI renter households were found to be experiencing cost burden. However, the mismatch displayed in these tables is not the only factor in cost burden. Only 14.0% of LI renter households (incomes of 50-80% AMFI) are in units that are affordable to households with incomes greater than 80% AMFI, above the LI income bracket. However, 49.8% of LI renter households experience housing cost burden. This indicates that other factors besides rental affordability can cause housing cost burden, such as utilities and fees. It also suggests that a number of LI renter households may reside on the lower end of the income category (50% AMFI) than near the top (80% AMFI).

Occupied Rental Units by Affordability and Income Group of Renter Household, Texas

| Unit Rent Affordability | ELI Renter Households | VLI Renter Households | LI Renter Households | MI Renter Households | Renter Households with incomes >100% AMFI | Total Renter Occupied Units |
|---|-----------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|---|-----------------------------|
| Rental Units Affordable to ELI HHs | 172,874 | 62,214 | 50,058 | 24,315 | 55,010 | 364,464 |
| Rental Units Affordable to VLI HHs | 192,924 | 159,388 | 147,595 | 53,823 | 89,915 | 643,735 |
| Rental Units Affordable to LI HHs | 331,386 | 343,376 | 497,787 | 254,580 | 539,092 | 1,966,142 |
| Rental Units Affordable to MI HHs and HHs with incomes greater than 100% AMFI | 74,310 | 59,143 | 115,244 | 88,965 | 475,920 | 813,557 |
| Total Renter Households | 792,519 | 637,259 | 821,454 | 425,732 | 1,171,289 | 3,848,290 |

Source: 2017-2021 CHAS, Table 15C.

Percent of Occupied Rental Units by Affordability and Income Group of Renter Household, Texas

| Unit Rent Affordability | % of ELI Renter Households | % of VLI Renter Households | % of LI Renter Households | % of MI Renter Households | % of Renter Households with incomes >100% AMFI |
|---|----------------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|--|
| Rental Units Affordable to ELI HHs | 21.8% | 9.8% | 6.1% | 5.7% | 4.7% |
| Rental Units Affordable to VLI HHs | 24.3% | 25.0% | 18.0% | 12.6% | 7.7% |
| Rental Units Affordable to LI HHs | 41.8% | 53.9% | 60.6% | 59.8% | 46.0% |
| Rental Units Affordable to MI HHs and HHs with incomes >100% AMFI | 9.4% | 9.3% | 14.0% | 20.9% | 40.6% |

Source: 2017-2021 CHAS, Table 15C.

Owner Occupied Housing Units by Affordability and Income Group of Owner Household, Texas

| Home Value Affordability | ELI Owner Households | VLI Owner Households | LI Owner Households | MI Owner Households | Owner Households with incomes >100% AMFI | Total Owner Occupied Units |
|---|----------------------|----------------------|---------------------|---------------------|--|----------------------------|
| Homes Affordable to ELI and VLI HHs | 269,329 | 305,754 | 432,900 | 250,206 | 762,072 | 2,020,204 |
| Homes Affordable to LI HHs | 108,777 | 132,156 | 269,576 | 211,717 | 1,144,342 | 1,866,576 |
| Homes Affordable to MI HHs | 35,841 | 40,085 | 80,978 | 68,169 | 619,977 | 844,892 |
| Homes Affordable to HHs with Incomes >100% AMFI | 62,887 | 54,339 | 106,522 | 84,636 | 1,308,856 | 1,617,199 |
| Total Owner Households | 487,470 | 538,089 | 897,887 | 618,214 | 3,849,379 | 6,391,064 |

Source: 2017-2021 CHAS, Table 15A and Table 15B.

Percent of Owner Occupied Housing Units by Affordability and Income Group of Owner Household, Texas

| Home Value Affordability | % of ELI Owner Households | % of VLI Owner Households | % of LI Owner Households | % of MI Owner Households | % of Owner Households with incomes >100% AMFI |
|---|---------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|---|
| Homes Affordable to ELI and VLI HHs | 55.3% | 56.8% | 48.2% | 40.5% | 19.8% |
| Homes Affordable to LI HHs | 22.3% | 24.6% | 30.0% | 34.2% | 29.7% |
| Homes Affordable to MI HHs | 7.4% | 7.4% | 9.0% | 11.0% | 16.1% |
| Homes Affordable to HHs with Incomes >100% AMFI | 12.9% | 10.1% | 11.9% | 13.7% | 34.0% |

Source: 2017-2021 CHAS, Table 15A and Table 15B.

LOCAL ASSESSMENT OF NEED

TDHCA acknowledges that the greatest understanding of housing needs is found at the local level. TDHCA continuously strives to improve the methods it uses to identify regional affordable housing needs.

Public Assistance Request Inventory

TDHCA compiles an inventory of communication from members of the general public using the following contact methods:

- calls made to TDHCA's Automated Call Distribution line (toll free 800-525-0657 or 512-475-3800);
- emails sent to TDHCA's general mailbox (info@tdhca.texas.gov);
- letters mailed to the agency's mailing address (PO Box 13941, Austin, TX 78711); and,
- web searches for assistance through the Department's Help for Texans website at <https://www.tdhca.texas.gov/help-for-texans>. The first three methods allow TDHCA staff to provide individualized assistance to members of the public. The fourth method is automated and does not entail individual attention for the requestor. This means that data collected through the fourth method may not accurately reflect assistance requests, as it could include miscellaneous or non-request related searches. For this reason, online search request data varies significantly from year to year.

Below are explanations of types of requests received:

1. Barrier Removal: modifications to improve accessibility for persons with disabilities.
2. Emergency Assistance: short-term rental payments, often used to prevent eviction and various social services for poverty-level households.
3. Foreclosure Prevention: addresses problems with banks or servicers or problems making mortgage payments. This type of request was only captured through calls, emails or direct mail and not through web requests. (Please note that TDHCA does not provide mediation with banks or servicers or mortgage assistance payments.)
4. Homebuyer Assistance and Education: down payment assistance, low-interest loans, mortgage credit certificates, and education for first-time homebuyers on the process and responsibilities for buying and owning a home. In the below tables and charts, Homebuyer Assistance and Education is shortened to Homebuyer.
5. Legal Assistance: landlord/tenant disputes, contract for deed issuances and other legal matters. This type of request was only captured through calls, emails, or direct mail and not through web requests. (Please note that TDHCA does not provide legal assistance to the public.)
6. Other Housing-Related Assistance: referrals to realtors, sewer connections, homeowner associations and other general questions about housing. This type of request was only

captured through calls, emails or direct mail and not through web requests. (Please note that TDHCA does not have jurisdiction over the issues in “Other Housing-Related Assistance.”)

7. Rental Assistance: longer-term rental assistance, such as subsidized rent in a market-rate apartment or lower rents in reduced-rent apartments.
8. Repair Assistance: owner-occupied home repairs.
9. Utility Assistance: utility payment needs, possibly to prevent utilities from being disconnected.
10. Weatherization: weatherization to increase energy efficiency and decrease utility use.

For all requests except Legal Assistance and Other Housing-Related Assistance, TDHCA usually responds by referring the requestor to local agencies funded through TDHCA that provide help with these services. For Legal Assistance and Other Housing-Related Assistance, staff refers the public to local Legal Aids, nonprofits, or other state agencies. While the majority of TDHCA’s programs do not typically serve individuals directly, there are two exceptions for non-pandemic response programs: the HCV and Section 811 PRA Programs, which TDHCA administers for certain areas in the state.

Personal Requests for Assistance, SFY 2024


| Type of Requests | Personal Requests for Assistance |
|-------------------|----------------------------------|
| Barrier Removal | 833 |
| Emergency | 5,726 |
| Homebuyer | 109 |
| Rental Assistance | 2,728 |
| Repair | 1,050 |
| Utility | 5,784 |
| Weatherization | 1,177 |
| Total | 17,407 |

Source: TDHCA Personal Requests for Assistance, 2024.

TDHCA’s Help for Texans page had 310,119 active users visit the page between 12/8/2023 and 8/31/2024. This start date was chosen due to the implementation of TDHCA’s new website.

Personal Requests for Assistance, SFY 2024

Overall, the most common requests, as seen in the chart above, are for utility assistance, followed by emergency assistance and rental assistance. For requests that require personal contact with TDHCA staff, as seen in the bar chart below, the most common request is emergency assistance, followed by utility and rental assistance. Due to the results of the COVID-19 pandemic, TDHCA saw increased requests for emergency, utility, and rental assistance compared to previous years.



Source: TDHCA Public Assistance Inventory, Personal Requests, 2024.

SECTION 3: ANNUAL LOW-INCOME HOUSING REPORT

This section of the SLIHP highlights TDHCA's activities and achievements during the preceding fiscal year and provides detailed analysis of funding and households or individuals served through TDHCA's programs. The analysis is provided at the State level and within each of the 13 State Service Regions (Regions) TDHCA uses for planning and allocation purposes.

This section of the SLIHP includes the following information per Tex. Gov't Code §2306.072:

- The Operating and Financial Statements for the Texas Department of Housing and Community Affairs (TDHCA or Department) for State Fiscal Year 2024 as required by Tex. Gov't Code §2306.072(c)(1).
- Description of TDHCA activities during the preceding year that served to address housing and community service needs as required by Tex. Gov't Code §2306.072(c)(2)(A-C).
- TDHCA activities described by region as required by Tex. Gov't Code §2306.072(c)(5).
- An analysis of the sources, uses and geographic distribution of housing tax credits as required by Tex. Gov't Code §2306.072(c)(7).
- Description of housing opportunities offered by TDHCA's multifamily development inventory as required by Tex. Gov't Code §2306.072(c)(6)(A-J), §2306.072(c)(8), and §2306.0724(a).
- The amount of funds allocated to state service subregions and allocation targets under the Regional Allocation Formula (RAF) as required by Tex. Gov't Code §§2306.111(e)(2) and 2306.111(f).

This section is organized as follows:

- Operating and Financial Statements
- Statement of Activities
 - Funding and Households and Individuals Served by Activity and Program
 - Funding and Households and Individuals Served by Income Group
 - Racial and Ethnic Composition of Households and Individuals Receiving Assistance
 - Progress in Meeting TDHCA Housing and Community Service Goals
- Statement of Activities by Uniform State Service Region
- Housing Sponsor Report Analysis
- Geographic Distribution of Housing Tax Credits

For general information about each region, including housing needs and housing supply, please see the Housing Analysis section of this document. Please note that statistics in this section are based on definitions used for the Department's legislative performance measures with two exceptions. Data reported in the Geographic Distribution of Housing Tax Credits section are based on Housing Tax Credit awards. Racial and ethnic data reported for Housing Tax Credit, Multifamily Direct Loan

and Multifamily Bond properties are based on the entire portfolio of active TDHCA-assisted properties.

OPERATING AND FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

TDHCA's Operating Budgets and Basic Financial Statements are prepared and maintained by the Financial Administration Division. Find these reports at:

<https://www.tdhca.texas.gov/programs/financial-administration-division>

Statement of Activities

The programs and dollar amounts discussed in this chapter do not include pandemic response funding. Please see the Pandemic Response and Other Initiatives Section (Section 5) for pandemic related programs and funding. This section does include funds that may have been reallocated from annual allocation amounts for pandemic response programs but does not include new pandemic funds. The Department has numerous housing programs that provide an array of services. Housing programs are split into renter and owner activities.

Included in the renter category are households participating in TDHCA's HOME Investment Partnerships Program (HOME) Tenant-Based Rental Assistance (TBRA) Program, Section 8 Housing Choice Voucher (HCV) Program, and Section 811 Project Rental Assistance (Section 811 PRA) Program, as well as households residing in TDHCA-funded or assisted multifamily properties. These multifamily properties have received funding or assistance through one or more of the following TDHCA programs: the 9% and 4% Housing Tax Credit (HTC) Programs, Multifamily Direct Loan (MF Direct Loan) Program, and/or Multifamily Bond (MF Bond) Program. The MF Direct Loan Program combines HOME funds, Tax Credit Assistance Program Repayment Funds (TCAP RF), Neighborhood Stabilization Program Round 1 Program Income (NSP1 PI), and National Housing Trust Fund (NHTF) funds to support the development of affordable rental housing. HOME funds utilized for renter new construction and rehabilitation activities available through MF Direct Loan are reported under MF Direct Loan throughout this section. Renter activities through these programs include:

- New construction activities that support multifamily development.
- Rehabilitation construction activities that support the acquisition, rehabilitation, and preservation of multifamily units.
- Tenant- and project-based rental payment assistance that supports lower income Texans.

TDHCA homeowner assistance is offered through several programs. The My Choice Texas Home Program, My First Texas Home Program, and Texas Mortgage Credit Certificate Program utilize private sector mechanisms and federally authorized resources to make homeownership more affordable to low- and moderate-income households. The HOME Program offers the Homeowner Rehabilitation Assistance Program, Homebuyer Assistance with New Construction or Rehabilitation Program (HANC), Contract for Deed Program (CFD), and Single Family Development Program. The State Housing Trust Fund (HTF) administers the Texas Bootstrap Program, and Amy Young Barrier Removal Program. Owner activities through these programs include:

- Single-family development that includes funding for Community Housing Development Organizations (CHDOs), nonprofit organizations, and other housing organizations to support the development of single-family housing.

- Single-family financing and homebuyer assistance that helps households purchase a home through such activities as mortgage financing and down payment assistance.
- Single-family owner-occupied assistance that helps existing homeowners who need home rehabilitation and reconstruction assistance, including accessibility modifications made for persons with disabilities.

Community Affairs programs include the Comprehensive Energy Assistance Program (CEAP), Community Services Block Grant (CSBG) Program, and Weatherization Assistance Program (WAP). Activities through these programs include:

- Energy related assistance such as utility payment assistance or weatherization assistance that decrease energy costs.
- Supportive and poverty prevention services.

Homelessness programs include the Emergency Solutions Grants (ESG) Program, Homeless Housing and Services Program (HHSP), and Ending Homelessness Fund (EH Fund). Activities associated with these programs are grouped together under “homeless services.”

Funding and Households/Individuals Served by Activity and Program


For the state and for each region, a description of funding and the actual number of persons or households served for each program is provided.

In FY 2024, TDHCA expended or issued \$2,090,219,691 in total funds and tax credit assistance. The vast majority of these funds derive from federal/federally-authorized resources or market-based loan mechanisms. Programs with state sources of funding, which include HTF programs and the HHSP, comprised 0.42% of total FY 2024 funding. In the following chart and tables, HCV Program data may include participants that have been ported to another Public Housing Authority (PHA), yet still receive TDHCA assistance. Figures for the HOME Investment Partnerships Program include only single family HOME activities. Multifamily HOME funds are included in the number for Multifamily Direct Loan.

TDHCA funding and assistance for activities predominantly benefited extremely low-, very low- and low-income individuals. The following chart and tables display the distribution of this funding and assistance by program:

Total Funding by Program 2024

Total Funds Expended: \$2,090,219,691



*The Programs and dollar amounts discussed in this chart do not include pandemic response funding. Please see the Pandemic Response and Other Initiatives section (section 5) for pandemic related programs and funding. This section does include funds that may have been allocated from annual amounts for pandemic response programs but does not include new pandemic funds.

Total Funding by Program, FY 2024

| Program | Funds | Percent |
|---|------------------------|----------------|
| Single Family Homeownership Program | \$1,485,400,404 | 71.06% |
| Housing Tax Credits 4% | \$88,042,012 | 4.21% |
| Housing Tax Credits 9% | \$43,580,093 | 2.08% |
| Multifamily Bond | \$138,504,000 | 6.63% |
| Comprehensive Energy Assistance Program | \$192,132,209 | 9.19% |
| HOME Investment Partnerships Program | \$28,192,876 | 1.35% |
| Community Services Block Grant | \$32,437,946 | 1.55% |
| Weatherization Assistance Program | \$25,982,743 | 1.24% |
| Section 8 | \$14,455,676 | 0.69% |
| Emergency Solutions Grants Program | \$14,505,581 | 0.69% |
| Homeless Housing and Services Program | \$6,573,118 | 0.31% |
| Housing Trust Fund | \$2,375,505 | 0.11% |
| Multifamily Direct Loan | \$9,500,000 | 0.45% |
| Section 811 PRA | \$8,537,528 | 0.41% |
| Total | \$2,090,219,691 | 100.00% |

Funding and Households/Individuals Served by Activity, FY 2024, All Activities

| Activity | Expended Funds | % of Total Committed Funds | Number of Households/Individuals Served | % of Total Households/Individuals Served |
|---------------------------------|------------------------|----------------------------|---|--|
| Rental Assistance | \$33,233,384 | 1.59% | 3,531 | 0.75% |
| Renter New Construction | \$180,664,491 | 8.64% | 8,449 | 1.80% |
| Renter Rehab Construction | \$98,961,614 | 4.73% | 4,805 | 1.03% |
| Owner Financing & Down Payment | \$1,485,400,404 | 71.06% | 6,084 | 1.30% |
| Owner Rehabilitation Assistance | \$18,786,081 | 0.90% | 203 | 0.04% |
| Single Family Development | \$1,542,120 | 0.07% | 16 | 0.00% |
| Energy Related Assistance | \$218,114,952 | 10.44% | 131,495 | 28.08% |
| Supportive Services | \$32,437,946 | 1.55% | 266,195 | 56.85% |
| Homeless Services | \$21,078,699 | 1.01% | 47,479 | 10.14% |
| Total | \$2,080,719,691 | 100.00% | 468,257 | 100.00% |

The following tables detail households served and expended funds by activity and program for all housing programs.

HOME and HTF administer programs that fall under multiple activity categories. The HOME TBRA Program falls under "Rental Assistance." HOME multifamily funds are expended through and reported under the MF Direct Loan program, falling under "Rental New Construction" and "Rental Rehabilitation." The HOME HANC Program falls under "Owner Financing and Down Payment." The HOME CFD and HOME HRA Programs fall under "Owner Rehabilitation Assistance." The HOME Single Family Development Program falls under "Single Family Development." HTF's Amy Young Barrier Removal Program falls under "Owner Rehabilitation Assistance," and the Texas Bootstrap Loan Program falls under "Single Family Development." HOME and HTF data reflect activities closed

during the fiscal year and the total funding associated with each household served through closed activities.

Most MF Direct Loan and all MF Bond-funded rental development units also receive tax credits. If a property was funded by multiple programs, the number of households served will only appear in the tax credit household columns. This prevents double counting the number of households served. The 9% HTCs refer to the annual per capita allocation of tax credits that Texas receives from the IRS. In addition to this annual per capita allocation, the IRS allows states to provide tax credits, with a somewhat lesser value, to developments financed with Private Activity Bonds (PAB) if the PAB developments meet HTC Program requirements; these tax credits are referred to as 4% HTCs.

Figures for housing programs are generally based on performance measures reported to the Legislative Budget Board (LBB) and generally mirror performance measure definitions. Due to timing SLIHP figures may not match those reported to the LBB.

Funding and Households Served by Housing Activity and Program, FY 2024

Households Served by Activity and Housing Program, FY 2024

| Activity | SF Homeownership | HOME | HTF | 9% HTC | 4% HTC | MF Bond* | MF Direct Loan* | Section 8 HCV | Section 811 PRA | Total |
|---------------------------------|------------------|--------------|------------|--------------|---------------|----------|-----------------|---------------|-----------------|---------------|
| Rental Assistance | 0 | 1,539 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,552 | 440 | 3,531 |
| Rental New Construction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2,003 | 6,446 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8,449 |
| Rental Rehabilitation | 0 | 0 | 0 | 774 | 4,031 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 4,806 |
| Owner Financing & Down Payment | 6,084 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6,084 |
| Owner Rehabilitation Assistance | 0 | 107 | 96 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 203 |
| Single Family Development | 0 | 9 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16 |
| Total | 6,084 | 1,655 | 103 | 2,777 | 10,477 | 0 | 0 | 1,552 | 440 | 23,089 |

*Note that all properties funded in FY24 through MF Bond and MF Direct Loan also received funding through the 9% or 4% HTC Programs. Households served will only be listed in the 9% or 4% tax credit household columns in order to prevent double counting.

Funding by Housing Activity and Program, FY 2024*

| | Rental Assistance | Rental New Construction | Rental Rehabilitation | Owner Financing & Down Payment | Owner Rehabilitation Assistance | Single Family Development | Total |
|------------------|-------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------|
| SF Homeownership | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$1,485,400,404 | \$0 | \$0 | \$1,485,400,404 |
| HOME | \$10,240,180 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$16,725,576 | \$1,227,120 | \$28,192,876 |
| HTF | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$2,060,505 | \$315,000 | \$2,375,505 |
| 9% HTC | \$0 | \$34,814,801 | \$8,765,292 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$43,580,093 |
| 4% HTC | \$0 | \$50,322,433 | \$33,442,322 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$88,042,012 |
| MF Bond | \$0 | \$81,750,000 | \$56,754,000 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$138,504,000 |
| MF Direct Loan | \$0 | \$9,500,000 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$9,500,000 |
| Section 8 HCV | \$14,455,676 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$14,455,676 |
| Section 811 PRA | \$8,537,528 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$8,537,528 |
| Total | \$33,233,384 | \$180,664,491 | \$98,961,614 | \$1,485,400,404 | \$18,786,081 | \$1,542,120 | \$1,818,588,094 |

*Note that this table only depicts funding for TDHCA housing activities and programs. It does not include TDHCA's Community Affairs and homelessness programs.

The following tables detail households and individuals served and funds expended by activity and program for Community Affairs programs and Homelessness programs.

ESG, CSBG, and HHSP report the number of individuals served; CEAP and WAP report based on the number of households served. Although each household can contain multiple individuals, the following totals are calculated by adding households and individuals served together. The number of individuals served through CSBG reflects the number of persons served directly with CSBG funding.

Figures for Community Affairs programs and homelessness programs are based on performance measures reported to the LBB and generally mirror performance measure definitions for those programs. Due to the different timing of these reports, SLIHP figures may not match those eventually reported to the LBB.

Funding and Households (HH)/Individuals (IND) Served by Community Affairs Programs and Homelessness Programs, FY 2024

Households/Individuals Served by Activity and Community Affairs Programs and Homelessness Programs, FY 2024

| Activity | ESG (IND) | CSBG (IND) | CEAP (HH) | WAP (HH) | HHSP (IND) | Total |
|---------------------------|-----------|------------|-----------|----------|------------|---------|
| Energy Related Assistance | 0 | 0 | 128,900 | 2,595 | 0 | 131,495 |
| Supportive Services | 0 | 266,195 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 266,195 |
| Homeless Services | 36,702 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10,777 | 47,479 |
| Total | 36,702 | 266,195 | 128,900 | 2,595 | 10,777 | 445,169 |

Funding by Activity Community Affairs Programs and Homelessness Programs, FY 2024

| Activity | ESG | CSBG | CEAP | WAP | HHSP | Total |
|---------------------------|--------------|--------------|---------------|--------------|-------------|---------------|
| Energy Related Assistance | \$0 | \$0 | \$192,132,209 | \$25,982,743 | \$0 | \$218,114,952 |
| Supportive Services | \$0 | \$32,437,946 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$32,437,946 |
| Homeless Services | \$14,505,581 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$6,573,118 | \$21,078,699 |
| Total | \$14,505,581 | \$32,437,946 | \$192,132,209 | \$25,982,743 | \$6,573,118 | \$271,631,597 |

Funding and Households and Individuals Served by Income Group

The SLIHP uses the following subcategories to refer to the needs of households or persons within specific income groups.

- Extremely Low Income (ELI): At or below 30% Area Median Family Income (AMFI)
- Very Low Income (VLI): Greater than 30% and less than or equal to 60% AMFI
- Low Income (LI): Greater than 60% and less than or equal to 80% AMFI
- Moderate Income (MI) and Up: Greater than 80% AMFI

The vast majority of households and individuals served through CEAP, CSBG, ESG, HHSP, and WAP earn less than or equal to 30% AMFI. However, some of the Department’s programs, including CEAP, CSBG, and WAP, utilize federal poverty guidelines to administer funds. These guidelines do not translate exactly to AMFI based income levels. For this reason, data from these programs are reported in the VLI category, resulting in the VLI category having a significantly larger amount of total expended funds and households/individuals served than other income categories.

HOME funds utilized through the MF Direct Loan program are reported under MF Direct Loan throughout this section. For 811 programs, payment schedule delays may result in inexact expenditure estimates at the time of data collection.

In the following tables, households and individuals have been added together for totals, though one household can contain multiple individuals. In total, TDHCA programs served 154,583 households in addition to 313,674 Individuals.

FUNDING AND HOUSEHOLDS/PERSONS SERVED BY INCOME CATEGORY, FY 2024

| Income Category | Expended Funds | % of Total Expended Funds *** | Number of Households/Individuals Served*** | % of Total Households/Individuals Served |
|-----------------------|-----------------|-------------------------------|--|--|
| ELI (≤30% AMFI) | \$46,645,319 | 2.2% | 2,300 | 0.5% |
| VLI (>30%, ≤60% AMFI) | \$939,076,661 | 44.9% | 461,011 | 98.5% |
| LI (>60%, ≤80% AMFI) | \$577,036,667 | 27.6% | 3,046 | 0.7% |
| MI (>80% AMFI) | \$527,367,294 | 25.2% | 1,896 | 0.4% |
| Total | \$2,090,125,941 | 100.0% | 468,253 | 100.0% |

Households Served by Income Category and Housing Program, FY 2024**

| Income Category | SF Home-ownership | HOME | HTF | 9% HTC | 4% HTC | MF Bond* | MF Direct Loan* | Section 8 HCV | Section 811 PRA | Total |
|-----------------------------------|-------------------|-------|-----|--------|--------|----------|-----------------|---------------|-----------------|--------|
| ELI ($\leq 30\%$ AMFI) | 86 | 1,069 | 0 | 306 | 399 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 440 | 2,300 |
| VLI ($>30\%$, $\leq 60\%$ AMFI) | 1,816 | 541 | 103 | 2,438 | 9,392 | 0 | 0 | 1,552 | 0 | 15,841 |
| LI ($>60\%$, $\leq 80\%$ AMFI) | 2,286 | 45 | 0 | 29 | 686 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3,046 |
| MI ($>80\%$ AMFI) | 1,896 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,896 |
| Total | 6,084 | 1,655 | 103 | 2,773 | 10,477 | 0 | 0 | 1,552 | 440 | 23,084 |

*Please note all properties funded in FY24 through MF Bond and MF Direct Loan also received funding through the 9% or 4% HTC Programs. Households served will only be listed in the 9% or 4% tax credit household columns in order to prevent double counting. Section 8 income categories are based on the household's current income. Income at move in will always be below 50% AMFI.

**Any variation in Household/Individual counts and/or funding amounts are due to subrecipient data reporting errors.

Funding by Income Category and Housing Program, FY 2024**

| Income Category | ELI (<30% AMFI) | VLI (>30%, <60% AMFI) | LI (>60%, <80% AMFI) | MI (>80% AMFI) | No AMFI | Total |
|------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|------------|------------------------|
| SF Homeownership | \$16,351,316 | \$373,987,048 | \$567,694,746 | \$527,367,294 | \$0 | \$1,485,400,404 |
| HOME | \$13,662,303 | \$11,279,102 | \$3,251,471 | \$0 | \$0 | \$28,192,876 |
| HTF | \$0 | \$2,375,505 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$2,375,505 |
| 9% HTC | \$4,752,644 | \$38,266,414 | \$355,091 | \$0 | \$0 | \$43,374,149 |
| 4% HTC | \$3,341,528 | \$79,077,318 | \$5,623,166 | \$0 | \$0 | \$88,042,012 |
| MF Bond | \$0 | \$138,504,000 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$138,504,000 |
| MF Direct Loan | \$0 | \$9,500,000 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$9,500,000 |
| Section 8 | \$0 | \$14,455,676 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$14,455,676 |
| Section 811 | \$8,537,528 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$8,537,528 |
| Total | \$46,645,319 | \$657,945,064 | \$577,036,667 | \$527,367,294 | \$0 | \$1,818,494,344 |

**Any variation in Household/Individual counts and/or funding amounts are due to subrecipient data reporting errors.

As previously discussed, the vast majority of households and individuals served through CEAP, CSBG, ESG, HHSP, and WAP earn less than or equal to 30% AMFI. Since federal poverty guidelines do not align exactly with AMFI-based income categories, assistance for these programs is reported as serving persons in the VLI category. Please note that due to federal exceptions provided in relation to receipt of CARES Act funding, CSBG’s eligibility threshold was temporarily increased to 200% of the federal poverty line. Many programs still serve households and individuals that reside well below 200% of the poverty line. In order to represent the households and individuals receiving assistance through Community Affairs programs and Homelessness programs more accurately, the ELI and VLI categories have been combined in the following tables.

Households and Individuals Served by Income Group and Community Affairs Programs and Homelessness Programs, FY 2024

| Income Category | ESG (IND) | CSBG (IND) | CEAP (HH) | WAP (HH) | HHSP (IND) | Total |
|----------------------------------|-----------|------------|-----------|----------|------------|---------|
| ELI and VLI ($\leq 60\%$ AMFI) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| LI ($>60\%$, $\leq 80\%$ AMFI) | 36,702 | 266,195 | 128,900 | 2,595 | 10,777 | 445,169 |
| MI ($>80\%$ AMFI) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total | 36,702 | 266,195 | 128,900 | 2,595 | 10,777 | 445,169 |

Funding by Income Group and Community Affairs Programs and Homelessness Programs, FY 2024

| Income Category | ESG | CSBG | CEAP | WAP | HHSP | Total |
|----------------------------------|--------------|--------------|---------------|--------------|-------------|---------------|
| ELI and VLI ($\leq 60\%$ AMFI) | \$14,505,581 | \$32,437,946 | \$192,132,209 | \$25,982,743 | \$6,573,118 | \$271,631,597 |
| LI ($>60\%$, $\leq 80\%$ AMFI) | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| MI ($>80\%$ AMFI) | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Total | \$14,505,581 | \$32,437,946 | \$192,132,209 | \$25,982,743 | \$6,573,118 | \$271,631,597 |

Racial and Ethnic Composition of Households and Individuals Receiving Assistance

As required by Tex. Gov't Code §2306.072(c)(5), TDHCA reports on the racial and ethnic composition of individuals and families receiving assistance. For most programs, these demographic categories are delineated according to the standards set by the U.S. Census Bureau. In the American Community Survey (ACS) data, race is broken down into the following categories: American Indian or Alaska Native, Asian, Black or African American, Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander, White, Some Other Race, or Two or More Races. As ethnic origin is considered to be a separate concept from racial identity, the Hispanic or Latino population is presented separately. Persons of Hispanic or Latino origin may fall under any of the racial classifications provided.

Regional analyses of this racial and ethnic data for housing programs are included in the Statement of Activities by Uniform State Service Region section that follows. Racial and ethnic data for Community Affairs and Homelessness programs are not available at a regional level because coverage areas for program subrecipients may cross multiple regions, but detailed information on Community Affairs subrecipients is available in Appendix C of this document. Note that the Census Bureau collects racial and ethnic data by individual, while many of the Department's programs collect this information by head of household. In addition, programs vary in the race details they collect and report. For instance, Bootstrap Loan and CEAP combine race and ethnicity into one category for reporting purposes. Demographics are not reported for some CSBG recipients. Households without reported data will be grouped under "unknown." For the purposes of program reporting, individuals identifying as Two or More Races are grouped under "other."

Racial Composition of the State of Texas

| Race | Individuals | Percent |
|---|-------------|---------|
| American Indian or Alaska Native | 169,576 | 0.6% |
| Asian | 1,511,069 | 5.2% |
| Black or African American | 3,552,579 | 12.1% |
| Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander | 27,350 | 0.1% |
| White | 17,293,460 | 59.1% |
| Some Other Race | 2,281,525 | 7.8% |
| Two or More Races | 4,407,783 | 15.1% |
| Total | 29,243,342 | 100.0% |

Ethnic Composition of the State of Texas

| Ethnicity | Individuals | Percent |
|------------------------|-------------|---------|
| Hispanic or Latino | 11,665,280 | 39.9% |
| Not Hispanic or Latino | 17,578,062 | 60.1% |
| Total Population | 11,732,834 | 40.1% |

Source: 2018-2022 American Community Survey 5-Year Estimates, Table DP05.

Housing Programs

This section groups racial and ethnic data on housing programs into two general categories: Renter Programs and Homeowner Programs.

Renter Programs

The following tables depict the racial and ethnic composition of households receiving assistance from all TDHCA renter programs, which include the HCV, HOME TBRA, and Section 811 PRA.

Racial and ethnic data for active TDHCA-funded and assisted multifamily properties are collected from the reported head of household data. Active properties are those properties that are still in their affordability period and therefore still monitored by TDHCA. A detailed breakdown of race and ethnicity by property for the HTC program can be found in the Housing Sponsor Report. It should be noted that household member data are based on voluntary reporting and will not reflect or represent all units financed or assisted by TDHCA. As a result, the following charts present a picture of race and ethnicity based on properties that may have reported at the time of data gathering and may not represent actual percentages.

Racial Composition Renter Program Households, FY 2024

| Race | HHs | Percent |
|--|----------------|---------------|
| American Indian or Alaska Native | 2,159 | 0.3% |
| Asian/ Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander | 15,934 | 2.4% |
| Black or African American | 205,707 | 31.5% |
| White | 295,421 | 45.3% |
| Other | 55 | 0.0% |
| Total | 652,815 | 100.0% |

Ethnic Composition of Renter Program Households, FY 2024

| Ethnicity | HHs | Percent |
|------------------------|----------------|---------------|
| Hispanic or Latino | 254,263 | 37.2% |
| Not Hispanic or Latino | 298,168 | 43.6% |
| Unknown | 130,956 | 19.32% |
| Total | 683,387 | 100.0% |

Homeowner Programs

The following charts depict the racial and ethnic composition of households receiving assistance from all TDHCA homeowner programs.

Racial Composition of HOME and HTF Programs-Assisted Owner Households, FY 2024

| Race | HHs | Percent |
|----------------------------------|------------|---------------|
| American Indian or Alaska Native | 0 | 0.0% |
| Asian/Pacific Islander | 1 | 0.5 |
| Black or African American | 38 | 17.4% |
| White | 158 | 72.1% |
| Other | 22 | 10.0% |
| Unknown | 0 | 0.0% |
| Total | 219 | 100.0% |

Ethnic Composition of HOME and HTF Programs-Assisted Owner Households, FY 2024

| Ethnicity | HHs | Percent |
|------------------------|------------|---------------|
| Hispanic or Latino | 137 | 62.6% |
| Not Hispanic or Latino | 82 | 37.4% |
| Total | 219 | 100.0% |

Racial Composition of Single Family Homeownership Assisted Households, FY 2024

| Race | HHs | Percent |
|---|--------------|---------------|
| American Indian or Alaska Native | 38 | 0.6% |
| Asian | 92 | 1.5% |
| Black or African American | 608 | 10.0% |
| Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander | 16 | 0.3% |
| White | 4,251 | 69.6% |
| Other | 257 | 4.2% |
| Unknown | 822 | 13.5% |
| Total | 6,084 | 100.0% |

Ethnic Composition of Single Family Homeownership Assisted Households, FY 2024

| Ethnicity | HHs | Percent |
|------------------------|--------------|---------------|
| Hispanic or Latino | 3,313 | 54.5% |
| Not Hispanic or Latino | 1,936 | 31.8% |
| Unknown | 835 | 13.7% |
| Total | 6,084 | 100.0% |

The available data demonstrates that TDHCA’s housing programs serve higher percentages of minority populations compared to the general racial and ethnic composition of the State of Texas. This is accurate even though racial composition data previously discussed for the State of Texas is reported by individuals and many of TDHCA’s programs report by household. For instance, those TDHCA programs which serve renters and homeowner programs serve higher percentages of Black or African American and Hispanic or Latino households than the percentage of those populations in the State of Texas.

Community Affairs Programs

While Community Affairs programs allocate funding to subrecipient entities covering all 254 counties in Texas, their service areas differ from the TDHCA state service regions, covering only part of a region or spanning across two or more uniform TDHCA state service regions. Racial data for these programs are reported by entity rather than by region. Racial and ethnic composition for the state is available, but because this data does not align with regional boundaries, regional and subregional data are not available. Racial and ethnic composition of all households in the state served by Community Affairs programs in FY 2024 is reported in this section. Detailed information on subrecipients by allocation and county, including maps of subrecipient service areas, is available in Appendix C of this document. Due to the data reporting techniques of WAP and CEAP, race and ethnicity are combined into one category, and Asian and Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander are also combined into one category. Note that some entities may have served a slightly different set of counties under different contracts and may have served the same county in different periods within the fiscal year.

For the following WAP data, performance figures represent the number of weatherization units from the Department’s Department of Energy (DOE) and Low Income Housing Energy Assistance Program (LIHEAP) Weatherization programs. Units receiving both DOE and LIHEAP funding may be double counted.

The following data is reported by TDHCA subrecipients. Delays in reporting can lead to increased or decreased totals compared to previous state fiscal years.

Racial and Ethnic Composition of WAP-Assisted Households, FY 2024

| Ethnicity | Race | HHs | Percent |
|--------------------|--------------------------------|-------|---------|
| Hispanic or Latino | - | 999 | 38.5% |
| - | American Indian/Alaskan Native | 7 | 0.3% |
| - | Asian or Pacific Islander | 36 | 1.4% |
| - | Black or African American | 670 | 25.8% |
| - | White | 812 | 31.3% |
| | Other/Unknown | 71 | 2.7% |
| | Total | 2,595 | 100.0% |

Racial and Ethnic Composition of CEAP-Assisted Households, FY 2024

| Ethnicity | Race | HHs | Percent |
|--------------------|----------------------------------|---------|---------|
| Hispanic or Latino | - | 39,525 | 30.7% |
| - | American Indian or Alaska Native | 380 | 0.3% |
| - | Asian or Pacific Islander | 2,498 | 1.9% |
| - | Black or African American | 44,318 | 34.4% |
| - | White | 38,781 | 30.1% |
| - | Other | 3,398 | 2.6% |
| | Total | 128,900 | 100.0% |

Racial Composition of CSBG-Assisted
Individuals, FY 2024

| Race | Individuals | Percent |
|----------------------------------|----------------|---------------|
| American Indian or Alaska Native | 1,206 | 0.5% |
| Asian or Pacific Islander | 2,800 | 1.1% |
| Black or African American | 65,455 | 24.6% |
| White | 177,554 | 66.7% |
| Other | 16,648 | 6.3% |
| Unknown | 2,532 | 1.0% |
| Total | 266,195 | 100.0% |

Ethnic Composition of CSBG-Assisted
Individuals, FY 2024

| Ethnicity | Individuals | Percent |
|------------------------|----------------|---------------|
| Hispanic or Latino | 157,437 | 59.1% |
| Not Hispanic or Latino | 106,490 | 40.0% |
| Unknown | 2,268 | 0.9% |
| Total | 266,195 | 100.0% |

Homelessness Programs

TDHCA’s Homelessness programs allocate funding to subrecipients with service areas that span two or more uniform TDHCA state service regions, so racial data for these programs are reported by entity rather than by region. Racial and ethnic composition for the state is available, but because this data does not align with regional boundaries, regional data are not available. The racial and ethnic composition of all households in the state served by Homelessness programs in FY 2024 is reported in this section. Detailed information on subrecipients by allocation and county, including maps of subrecipient service areas, is available in Appendix C of this document.

ESG and HHSP report race and ethnicity as two separate categories. Note that some entities may have served a slightly different set of counties under different contracts and may have served the same county in different periods within the fiscal year. These reporting differences mean that the race and ethnicity totals may not match for ESG and HHSP funds. Detailed information on subrecipients by allocation and county, including maps of subrecipient service areas, is available in Appendix C of this document.

The following data is reported by TDHCA subrecipients. Delays in reporting can lead to increased or decreased totals compared to previous state fiscal years. Additionally, individuals may be counted more than once if they access more than one service or request assistance from more than one subrecipient within the same state fiscal year.

Racial Composition of ESG-Assisted Individuals, FY 2024

| Race | Individuals | Percent |
|---|-------------|---------|
| American Indian or Alaska Native | 342 | .8% |
| Asian | 285 | 0.6% |
| Black or African American | 13,565 | 30.4% |
| Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander | 142 | 0.3% |
| White | 15,467 | 34.6% |
| Unknown | 7,034 | 15.8% |
| Total | 44,638 | 100.0% |

Ethnic Composition of ESG-Assisted Individuals, FY 2024

| Ethnicity | Individuals | Percent |
|------------------------|-------------|---------|
| Hispanic or Latino | 13,579 | 37.0% |
| Not Hispanic or Latino | 22,497 | 61.3% |
| Unknown | 626 | 1.7% |
| Total | 36,702 | 100.0% |

HHSP provides funds to large metropolitan areas to provide services to homeless individuals and families, including case management, housing placement and retention, and construction. Beginning in 2010, TDHCA distributed these funds to be administered in cities with populations larger than 285,500 persons and per the latest U.S. Census data this is currently the nine largest cities in Texas. Cities may either use these funds themselves or may elect to subgrant some or all of the funds to one or more organizations serving their community whose mission includes serving homeless individuals and families with appropriate services targeted towards eliminating or preventing the condition of homelessness. In the following tables, racial and ethnic totals may not match as totals are approximate.

Racial Composition of HHSP-Assisted Individuals, FY 2024

| Race | Individuals | Percent |
|---|-------------|---------|
| American Indian or Alaska Native | 137 | 1.3% |
| Asian | 74 | 0.7% |
| Black or African American | 4,360 | 40.5% |
| Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander | 32 | 0.3% |
| White | 4,464 | 41.4% |
| Unknown | 1,711 | 15.9% |
| Total | 10,778 | 100.0% |

Ethnic Composition of HHSP-Assisted Individuals, FY 2024

| Ethnicity | Individuals | Percent |
|------------------------|-------------|---------|
| Hispanic or Latino | 4,422 | 41.0% |
| Not Hispanic or Latino | 6,291 | 58.4% |
| Unknown | 64 | 0.6% |
| Total | 10,777 | 100.0% |

Progress in Meeting TDHCA Housing and Community Service Goals

The goals established in the Department's Legislative Appropriations Request, the Riders from the General Appropriations Act and Texas state statute collectively guide TDHCA's annual activities, either through the establishment of objective performance measures or reporting requirements.

The following five goals are established by the Department's performance measures:

1. Increase and preserve the availability of safe, decent and affordable housing for very low-, low-, and moderate-income persons and families.
2. Promote improved housing conditions for extremely low-, very low-, and low-income households by providing information and technical assistance.
3. Improve living conditions for the poor and homeless and reduce the cost of home energy for very low-income Texans.
4. Ensure compliance with the TDHCA's federal and state program mandates.
5. Protect the public by regulating the manufactured housing industry in accordance with state and federal laws.

Beyond these established reporting goals, the Department sets policy initiatives and efforts to address special needs populations and incorporates recommendations on how to improve the coordination of the Department services, also described in Section 4: Action Plan.

Performance in Addressing Housing Needs

The true need for safe, affordable housing for low-income Texans can be difficult to succinctly quantify. The U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) Comprehensive Housing Affordability Strategy (CHAS) data provide a snapshot of that need, as shown in Section 2 Housing Analysis. CHAS data indicate that there are approximately 1,635,609 renter households with incomes less than or equal to 80% AMFI with housing problems and 973,938 owner households with incomes less than or equal to 80% AMFI with housing problems, such as a cost burden, lack of kitchen or plumbing, and overcrowding. These 2,609,547 households equate to 25.5% of all households in Texas.

It should be noted that TDHCA's programs do not always result in a reduction in households with housing needs as defined by HUD, as TDHCA programs may target other housing needs, such as accessibility and utility concerns. Additionally, CHAS data estimates from HUD cover a five year period in the past, which means that these estimates do not always reflect current changes in housing need.

Looking across TDHCA's entire portfolio of programs that serve and collect data based on households, rather than individuals, TDHCA served 152,684 low income households below 80% AMFI. This accounts for nearly 3.7% of the state's low income households estimated in the 2017-2021 CHAS data.

TDHCA housing assistance programs are targeted to assist renter and owner households with incomes less than or equal to 80% AMFI with housing problems. In FY 2024 TDHCA's housing regularly allocated programs served 21,189, or .51% of Texas households with incomes less than or equal to 80% AMFI with at least one housing problem.

Community Affairs programs address a variety of needs through activities categorized as either energy assistance or supportive services. Total assistance provided through TDHCA Community Affairs Programs served 131,495 households and 313,674 individuals in FY 2024. Due to different eligibility and reporting requirements across CSBG, CEAP, and WAP funds, it's necessary to evaluate performance via different measures for each program. Although Community Affairs programs utilize various percentages of the federal poverty line to determine eligibility, households served by WAP and CEAP are placed in the VLI category in the SLIHP. According to this metric, WAP and CEAP served 131,495 VLI households in FY 2024, which represents 5.4% of the 2,455,337 ELI and VLI households in Texas. CSBG reports based on the number of individuals served and calculates income eligibility at 125% of the federal poverty line. According to the 2018-2022 ACS, there are 5,276,994 individuals living below 125% of the poverty line in Texas. In FY 2024, CSBG served 266,195 or 5.0% of these Texans.

Homelessness programs, which include ESG and HHSP, serve individuals at risk of or currently experiencing homelessness. A comprehensive dataset representing this population is not readily available, as HUD relies on Point-in-Time (PIT) counts to estimate the prevalence of homelessness. According to the 2018-2022 ACS, there are 3,990,326 individuals in Texas at or below 100% of the poverty level, which is a factor in homelessness program funding allocation formulas. Homelessness programs served 47,479 individuals or 1.2% of individuals below the poverty level. Not all persons below poverty are eligible for homelessness assistance.


STATEMENT OF ACTIVITIES BY STATE SERVICE REGION

This section describes TDHCA's FY 2024 activities by State Service region. The regional tables do not include information for WAP, CEAP, ESG, CSBG, and HHSP because, as noted previously, funds are provided to subrecipient organizations whose coverage areas do not align with regional boundaries. Additionally, for purposes of reporting, Office of Colonia Initiatives (OCI) data does not appear as an independent category, but rather the data is grouped under their respective funding sources. For example, Bootstrap, though administered by OCI, is funded and reported under HTF. HOME funding for new construction and rehabilitation of renter housing is funneled through and reported under MF Direct Loan.

As required by Tex. Gov't Code §2306.072(c)(5), TDHCA reports on the racial composition of individuals and households receiving assistance. Because TDHCA does not accept applications directly from applicants for a majority of its programs, the Department is unable to report on the racial and ethnic composition of applicants, but only those that receive assistance. The racial and ethnic composition reflects actual households served in FY 2024. Single Family Homeownership, HOME, HTF, Section 811 PRA, and HCV program awards are the same as the actual households served in FY 2024. HTC, MF Direct Loan, and MF Bond program awards represent a commitment made in FY 2024 to serve households. Racial and ethnic data for the latter programs represent the entire Department portfolio, meaning households served in FY 2024 with previous years' awards. Therefore, the racial and ethnic table totals may not correlate with the activity type or income group tables for each region.

Regional information has been organized into two broad categories of housing activity type: Renter Programs and Homeowner Programs. For more information on the housing activity types and racial reporting categories, please see the "Statement of Activities" section.

REGION 1



Funding/HH Served by Race and Ethnicity*

| | HOME and HTF Owner Programs | | SF Homeownership Programs* | |
|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------|------|----------------------------|-----|
| | HH | % | HH | % |
| by Race | | | | |
| American Indian or Alaskan Native | 0 | 0% | 2 | 1% |
| Asian or Pacific Islander | 0 | 0% | 16 | 10% |
| Black or African American | 0 | 0% | 13 | 8% |
| Other | 0 | 0% | 7 | 4% |
| Unknown | 0 | 0% | 122 | 76% |
| White | 3 | 100% | 0 | 0% |
| by Ethnicity | | | | |
| Hispanic or Latino | 3 | 100% | 88 | 55% |
| Not Hispanic or Latino | 0 | 0% | 63 | 39% |
| Unknown | 0 | 0% | 9 | 6% |

*Racial and ethnic breakdown of HTC properties can be found in the Housing Sponsor Report

FY 2024 Funding/HHs Served by Activity Type


| | SF Homeownership | | HOME | | HTF | | HTC 9% | | HTC 4% | | MF Bond** | | MF Direct Loan** | | Section 8 HCV | | Section 811 | |
|-----------------|------------------|-----|-----------|----|-------|----|-------------|----|--------|----|-----------|----|------------------|----|---------------|----|-------------|----|
| | Funds | HH | Funds | HH | Funds | HH | Funds | HH | Funds | HH | Funds | HH | Funds | HH | Funds | HH | Funds | HH |
| Owner Programs | \$28,498,838 | 160 | \$441,226 | 3 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 |
| Renter Programs | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$1,198,319 | 65 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$353,350 | 40 | \$88,025 | 13 |
| Total | \$28,498,838 | 160 | \$441,226 | 3 | \$0 | 0 | \$1,198,319 | 65 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$353,350 | 40 | \$88,025 | 13 |

FY 2024 Funding/HH Served by Income Category

| | SF Homeownership | | HOME | | HTF | | HTC 9% | | HTC 4% | | MF Bond** | | MF Direct Loan** | | Section 8 HCV | | Section 811 | |
|-------|------------------|-----|-----------|----|-------|----|-------------|----|--------|----|-----------|----|------------------|----|---------------|----|-------------|----|
| | Funds | HH | Funds | HH | Funds | HH | Funds | HH | Funds | HH | Funds | HH | Funds | HH | Funds | HH | Funds | HH |
| ELI | \$1,127,997 | 8 | \$142,489 | 1 | \$0 | 0 | \$129,050 | 7 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$313,980 | 32 | \$0 | 0 |
| VLI | \$9,529,828 | 63 | \$157,119 | 1 | \$0 | 0 | \$1,069,269 | 58 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$31,168 | 7 | \$0 | 0 |
| LI | \$9,738,811 | 53 | \$141,618 | 1 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 |
| >=MI | \$8,102,202 | 36 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$8,202 | 1 | \$0 | 0 |
| Total | \$28,498,838 | 160 | \$441,226 | 3 | \$0 | 0 | \$1,198,319 | 65 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$353,350 | 40 | \$88,025 | 13 |

**Please note all properties funded in FY24 through MF Bond and MF Direct Loan also received funding through the 9% or 4% HTC Programs. Households served will only be listed in the 9% or 4% tax credit household columns in order to prevent double counting.

REGION 2



Funding/HH Served by Race and Ethnicity*

| | HOME and HTF Owner Programs | | SF Homeownership Programs* | | |
|--------------|-----------------------------------|---|----------------------------|----|-----|
| | HH | % | HH | % | |
| by Race | American Indian or Alaskan Native | 0 | 0.0% | 1 | 1% |
| | Asian or Pacific Islander | 0 | 0.0% | 3 | 3% |
| | Black or African American | 0 | 0.0% | 7 | 7% |
| | Other | 0 | 0.0% | 2 | 2% |
| | Unknown | 0 | 0.0% | 81 | 85% |
| by Ethnicity | White | 0 | 0.0% | 1 | 1% |
| | Hispanic or Latino | 0 | 0.0% | 26 | 27% |
| | Not Hispanic or Latino | 0 | 0.0% | 66 | 69% |
| | Unknown | 0 | 0.0% | 3 | 3% |

*Racial and ethnic breakdown of HTC properties can be found in the Housing Sponsor Report

FY 2024 Funding/HHs Served by Activity Type


| | SF Homeownership | | HOME | | HTF | | HTC 9% | | HTC 4% | | MF Bond** | | MF Direct Loan** | | Section 8 HCV | | Section 811 | |
|-----------------|------------------|----|-----------|-----|-------|----|-----------|----|-------------|-----|-----------|----|------------------|----|---------------|----|-------------|----|
| | Funds | HH | Funds | HH | Funds | HH | Funds | HH | Funds | HH | Funds | HH | Funds | HH | Funds | HH | Funds | HH |
| Owner Programs | \$16,961,203 | 95 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 |
| Renter Programs | \$0 | 0 | \$536,089 | 106 | \$0 | 0 | \$947,321 | 72 | \$1,305,263 | 220 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$43,546 | 10 | \$0 | 0 |
| Total | \$16,961,203 | 95 | \$536,089 | 106 | \$0 | 0 | \$947,321 | 72 | \$1,305,263 | 220 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$43,546 | 10 | \$0 | 0 |

FY 2024 Funding/HH Served by Income Category

| | SF Homeownership | | HOME | | HTF | | HTC 9% | | HTC 4% | | MF Bond** | | MF Direct Loan** | | Section 8 HCV | | Section 811 | |
|-------|------------------|----|-----------|-----|-------|----|-----------|----|-------------|-----|-----------|----|------------------|----|---------------|----|-------------|----|
| | Funds | HH | Funds | HH | Funds | HH | Funds | HH | Funds | HH | Funds | HH | Funds | HH | Funds | HH | Funds | HH |
| ELI | \$285,993 | 2 | \$452,062 | 82 | \$0 | 0 | \$92,779 | 7 | \$195,789 | 33 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$39,807 | 9 | \$0 | 0 |
| VLI | \$4,761,812 | 31 | \$84,027 | 24 | \$0 | 0 | \$854,542 | 65 | \$1,109,474 | 187 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$3,739 | 1 | \$0 | 0 |
| LI | \$7,761,297 | 40 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 |
| >=MI | \$4,152,101 | 22 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 |
| Total | \$16,961,203 | 95 | \$536,089 | 106 | \$0 | 0 | \$947,321 | 72 | \$1,305,263 | 220 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$43,546 | 10 | \$0 | 0 |

*Please note all properties funded in FY24 through MF Bond and MF Direct Loan also received funding through the 9% or 4% HTC Programs. Households served will only be listed in the 9% or 4% tax credit household columns in order to prevent double counting.

REGION 3



Funding/HH Served by Race and Ethnicity*

| | HOME and HTF Owner Programs | | SF Homeownership Programs* | | |
|--------------|-----------------------------------|---|----------------------------|-----|-----|
| | HH | % | HH | % | |
| by Race | American Indian or Alaskan Native | 0 | 0% | 10 | 1% |
| | Asian or Pacific Islander | 0 | 0% | 331 | 21% |
| | Black or African American | 3 | 43% | 223 | 14% |
| | Other | 0 | 0% | 113 | 7% |
| | Unknown | 0 | 0% | 880 | 56% |
| by Ethnicity | White | 4 | 57% | 9 | 1% |
| | Hispanic or Latino | 1 | 14% | 656 | 42% |
| | Not Hispanic or Latino | 6 | 86% | 565 | 36% |
| | Unknown | 0 | 0% | 345 | 22% |

*Racial and ethnic breakdown of HTC properties can be found in the Housing Sponsor Report

FY 2024 Funding/HHs Served by Activity Type

| | SF Homeownership | | HOME | | HTF | | HTC 9% | | HTC 4% | | MF Bond** | | MF Direct Loan** | | Section 8 HCV | | Section 811 | |
|-----------------|----------------------|-------------|--------------------|------------|-----------------|----------|--------------------|------------|---------------------|-------------|---------------------|----------|------------------|----------|--------------------|------------|--------------------|------------|
| | Funds | HH | Funds | HH | Funds | HH | Funds | HH | Funds | HH | Funds | HH | Funds | HH | Funds | HH | Funds | HH |
| Owner Programs | \$434,537,462 | 1566 | \$594,292 | 4 | \$89,957 | 3 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 |
| Renter Programs | \$0 | 0 | \$4,471,982 | 561 | \$0 | 0 | \$8,395,824 | 538 | \$23,439,356 | 2646 | \$41,550,000 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$7,088,950 | 706 | \$1,489,258 | 142 |
| Total | \$434,537,462 | 1566 | \$5,066,275 | 565 | \$89,957 | 3 | \$8,395,824 | 538 | \$23,439,356 | 2646 | \$41,550,000 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$7,088,950 | 706 | \$1,489,258 | 142 |

FY 2024 Funding/HH Served by Income Category

| | SF Homeownership | | HOME | | HTF | | HTC 9% | | HTC 4% | | MF Bond** | | MF Direct Loan** | | Section 8 HCV | | Section 811 | |
|--------------|----------------------|-------------|--------------------|------------|-----------------|----------|--------------------|------------|---------------------|-------------|---------------------|----------|------------------|----------|--------------------|------------|--------------------|------------|
| | Funds | HH | Funds | HH | Funds | HH | Funds | HH | Funds | HH | Funds | HH | Funds | HH | Funds | HH | Funds | HH |
| ELI | \$4,803,815 | 20 | \$3,508,805 | 355 | \$0 | 0 | \$851,207 | 54 | \$583,380 | 59 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$6,507,260 | 632 | \$1,489,258 | 142 |
| VLI | \$102,893,161 | 433 | \$1,533,109 | 207 | \$89,957 | 3 | \$7,413,724 | 483 | \$21,880,104 | 2575 | \$41,550,000 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$510,659 | 65 | \$0 | 0 |
| LI | \$163,280,133 | 583 | \$24,361 | 3 | \$0 | 0 | \$130,892 | 1 | \$975,871 | 13 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$68,031 | 8 | \$0 | 0 |
| >=MI | \$163,560,353 | 530 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$3,000 | 1 | \$0 | 0 |
| Total | \$434,537,462 | 1566 | \$5,066,275 | 565 | \$89,957 | 3 | \$8,395,824 | 538 | \$23,439,356 | 2646 | \$41,550,000 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$7,088,950 | 706 | \$1,489,258 | 142 |

**Please note all properties funded in FY24 through MF Bond and MF Direct Loan also received funding through the 9% or 4% HTC Programs. Households served will only be listed in the 9% or 4% tax credit household columns in order to prevent double counting.

REGION 4



Funding/HH Served by Race and Ethnicity*

| | HOME and HTF Owner Programs | | SF Homeownership Programs* | | |
|--------------|-----------------------------------|----|----------------------------|-----|-----|
| | HH | % | HH | % | |
| by Race | American Indian or Alaskan Native | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| | Asian or Pacific Islander | 0 | 0% | 8 | 4% |
| | Black or African American | 21 | 46% | 26 | 14% |
| | Other | 2 | 4% | 1 | 1% |
| | Unknown | 0 | 0% | 151 | 81% |
| by Ethnicity | White | 23 | 50% | 0 | 0% |
| | Hispanic or Latino | 6 | 13% | 42 | 23% |
| | Not Hispanic or Latino | 40 | 87% | 139 | 75% |
| | Unknown | 0 | 0% | 5 | 3% |

*Racial and ethnic breakdown of HTC properties can be found in the Housing Sponsor Report

FY 2024 Funding/HHs Served by Activity Type

| | SF Homeownership | | HOME | | HTF | | HTC 9% | | HTC 4% | | MF Bond** | | MF Direct Loan** | | Section 8 HCV | | Section 811 | |
|-----------------|------------------|-----|-------------|-----|----------|----|-------------|-----|-------------|-----|-----------|----|------------------|----|---------------|----|-------------|----|
| | Funds | HH | Funds | HH | Funds | HH | Funds | HH | Funds | HH | Funds | HH | Funds | HH | Funds | HH | Funds | HH |
| Owner Programs | \$36,751,600 | 186 | \$6,410,313 | 42 | \$64,940 | 4 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 |
| Renter Programs | \$0 | 0 | \$372,095 | 121 | \$0 | 0 | \$4,018,015 | 252 | \$2,304,223 | 304 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$144,708 | 19 | \$0 | 0 |
| Total | \$36,751,600 | 186 | \$6,782,408 | 163 | \$64,940 | 4 | \$4,018,015 | 252 | \$2,304,223 | 304 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$144,708 | 19 | \$0 | 0 |

FY 2024 Funding/HH Served by Income Category

| | SF Homeownership | | HOME | | HTF | | HTC 9% | | HTC 4% | | MF Bond** | | MF Direct Loan** | | Section 8 HCV | | Section 811 | |
|-------|------------------|-----|-------------|-----|----------|----|-------------|-----|-------------|-----|-----------|----|------------------|----|---------------|----|-------------|----|
| | Funds | HH | Funds | HH | Funds | HH | Funds | HH | Funds | HH | Funds | HH | Funds | HH | Funds | HH | Funds | HH |
| ELI | \$90,372 | 1 | \$2,531,287 | 80 | \$0 | 0 | \$384,905 | 24 | \$271,715 | 31 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$125,640 | 15 | \$0 | 0 |
| VLI | \$11,980,025 | 70 | \$2,734,644 | 71 | \$64,940 | 4 | \$3,633,110 | 228 | \$2,032,508 | 273 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$15,398 | 3 | \$0 | 0 |
| LI | \$13,086,618 | 64 | \$1,516,477 | 12 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 |
| >=MI | \$11,594,585 | 51 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$3,670 | 1 | \$0 | 0 |
| Total | \$36,751,600 | 186 | \$6,782,408 | 163 | \$64,940 | 4 | \$4,018,015 | 252 | \$2,304,223 | 304 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$144,708 | 19 | \$0 | 0 |

**Please note all properties funded in FY24 through MF Bond and MF Direct Loan also received funding through the 9% or 4% HTC Programs. Households served will only be listed in the 9% or 4% tax credit household columns in order to prevent double counting.

REGION 5



Funding/HH Served by Race and Ethnicity*

| | HOME and HTF Owner Programs | | SF Homeownership Programs* | | |
|--------------|-----------------------------------|---|----------------------------|----|-----|
| | HH | % | HH | % | |
| by Race | American Indian or Alaskan Native | 0 | 0% | 1 | 1% |
| | Asian or Pacific Islander | 0 | 0% | 8 | 8% |
| | Black or African American | 3 | 75% | 24 | 25% |
| | Other | 0 | 0% | 1 | 1% |
| | Unknown | 0 | 0% | 62 | 65% |
| by Ethnicity | White | 1 | 25% | 0 | 0% |
| | Hispanic or Latino | 0 | 0% | 20 | 21% |
| | Not Hispanic or Latino | 4 | 100% | 70 | 73% |
| | Unknown | 0 | 0% | 6 | 6% |

*Racial and ethnic breakdown of HTC properties can be found in the Housing Sponsor Report

FY 2024 Funding/HHs Served by Activity Type


| | SF Homeownership | | HOME | | HTF | | HTC 9% | | HTC 4% | | MF Bond** | | MF Direct Loan** | | Section 8 HCV | | Section 811 | |
|-----------------|------------------|----|-----------|----|-------|----|-------------|-----|-------------|-----|--------------|----|------------------|----|---------------|----|-------------|----|
| | Funds | HH | Funds | HH | Funds | HH | Funds | HH | Funds | HH | Funds | HH | Funds | HH | Funds | HH | Funds | HH |
| Owner Programs | \$16,785,407 | 96 | \$0 | | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 |
| Renter Programs | \$0 | 0 | \$687,057 | 93 | \$0 | 0 | \$2,297,733 | 169 | \$3,754,232 | 450 | \$10,100,000 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$110,490 | 15 | \$0 | 0 |
| Total | \$16,785,407 | 96 | \$687,057 | 93 | \$0 | 0 | \$2,297,733 | 169 | \$3,754,232 | 450 | \$10,100,000 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$110,490 | 15 | \$0 | 0 |

FY 2024 Funding/HH Served by Income Category

| | SF Homeownership | | HOME | | HTF | | HTC 9% | | HTC 4% | | MF Bond** | | MF Direct Loan** | | Section 8 HCV | | Section 811 | |
|-------|------------------|----|-----------|----|-------|----|-------------|-----|-------------|-----|--------------|----|------------------|----|---------------|----|-------------|----|
| | Funds | HH | Funds | HH | Funds | HH | Funds | HH | Funds | HH | Funds | HH | Funds | HH | Funds | HH | Funds | HH |
| ELI | \$248,141 | 2 | \$525,288 | 58 | \$0 | 0 | \$205,949 | 15 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$105,167 | 14 | \$0 | 0 |
| VLI | \$6,513,800 | 41 | \$135,287 | 27 | \$0 | 0 | \$2,091,784 | 154 | \$3,754,232 | 450 | \$10,100,000 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$5,323 | 1 | \$0 | 0 |
| LI | \$6,950,464 | 38 | \$26,482 | 8 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 |
| >=MI | \$3,073,002 | 15 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 |
| Total | \$16,785,407 | 96 | \$687,057 | 93 | \$0 | 0 | \$2,297,733 | 169 | \$3,754,232 | 450 | \$10,100,000 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$110,490 | 15 | \$0 | 0 |

**Please note all properties funded in FY24 through MF Bond and MF Direct Loan also received funding through the 9% or 4% HTC Programs. Households served will only be listed in the 9% or 4% tax credit household columns in order to prevent double counting.

REGION 6



Funding/HH Served by Race and Ethnicity*

| | HOME and HTF Owner Programs | | SF Homeownership Programs* | | |
|--------------|-----------------------------------|---|----------------------------|-----|-----|
| | HH | % | HH | % | |
| by Race | American Indian or Alaskan Native | 0 | 0% | 10 | 1% |
| | Asian or Pacific Islander | 0 | 0% | 181 | 16% |
| | Black or African American | 4 | 80% | 189 | 17% |
| | Other | 1 | 20% | 32 | 3% |
| | Unknown | 0 | 0% | 715 | 63% |
| by Ethnicity | White | 0 | 0% | 4 | 0% |
| | Hispanic or Latino | 0 | 0% | 576 | 51% |
| | Not Hispanic or Latino | 5 | 100% | 420 | 37% |
| | Unknown | 0 | 0% | 135 | 12% |

*Racial and ethnic breakdown of HTC properties can be found in the Housing Sponsor Report


FY 2024 Funding/HHs Served by Activity Type

| | SF Homeownership | | HOME | | HTF | | HTC 9% | | HTC 4% | | MF Bond** | | MF Direct Loan** | | Section 8 HCV | | Section 811 | |
|-----------------|------------------|------|-------------|----|----------|----|-------------|-----|--------------|------|--------------|----|------------------|----|---------------|-----|-------------|----|
| | Funds | HH | Funds | HH | Funds | HH | Funds | HH | Funds | HH | Funds | HH | Funds | HH | Funds | HH | Funds | HH |
| Owner Programs | \$283,434,878 | 1131 | \$811,996 | 5 | \$76,682 | 4 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 |
| Renter Programs | \$0 | 0 | \$387,268 | 72 | \$0 | 0 | \$5,588,253 | 335 | \$11,712,149 | 1305 | \$20,000,000 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$4,583,099 | 471 | \$655,358 | 91 |
| Total | \$283,434,878 | 1131 | \$1,199,264 | 77 | \$76,682 | 4 | \$5,588,253 | 335 | \$11,712,149 | 1305 | \$20,000,000 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$4,583,099 | 471 | \$655,358 | 91 |

FY 2024 Funding/HH Served by Income Category

| | SF Homeownership | | HOME | | HTF | | HTC 9% | | HTC 4% | | MF Bond** | | MF Direct Loan** | | Section 8 HCV | | Section 811 | |
|-------|------------------|------|-------------|----|----------|----|-------------|-----|--------------|------|--------------|----|------------------|----|---------------|-----|-------------|----|
| | Funds | HH | Funds | HH | Funds | HH | Funds | HH | Funds | HH | Funds | HH | Funds | HH | Funds | HH | Funds | HH |
| ELI | \$2,207,208 | 10 | \$318,595 | 52 | \$0 | 0 | \$879,586 | 55 | \$908,513 | 108 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$3,662,705 | 352 | \$655,358 | 91 |
| VLI | \$52,767,963 | 250 | \$697,071 | 23 | \$76,682 | 4 | \$4,271,663 | 258 | \$7,920,280 | 1162 | \$20,000,000 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$678,524 | 86 | \$0 | 0 |
| LI | \$109,580,221 | 441 | \$183,598 | 2 | \$0 | 0 | \$336,392 | 22 | \$2,883,356 | 35 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$241,870 | 32 | \$0 | 0 |
| >=MI | \$118,879,486 | 430 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 1 | \$0 | 0 |
| Total | \$283,434,878 | 1131 | \$1,199,264 | 77 | \$76,682 | 4 | \$5,588,253 | 335 | \$11,712,149 | 1305 | \$20,000,000 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$4,583,099 | 471 | \$655,358 | 91 |

**Please note all properties funded in FY24 through MF Bond and MF Direct Loan also received funding through the 9% or 4% HTC Programs. Households served will only be listed in the 9% or 4% tax credit household columns in order to prevent double counting.



Funding/HH Served by Race and Ethnicity*

| | HOME and HTF Owner Programs | | SF Homeownership Programs* | | |
|--------------|-----------------------------------|----|----------------------------|-----|-----|
| | HH | % | HH | % | |
| by Race | American Indian or Alaskan Native | 0 | 0% | 1 | 0% |
| | Asian or Pacific Islander | 0 | 0% | 49 | 16% |
| | Black or African American | 3 | 13% | 33 | 11% |
| | Other | 6 | 26% | 15 | 5% |
| | Unknown | 0 | 0% | 209 | 68% |
| by Ethnicity | White | 14 | 61% | 1 | 0% |
| | Hispanic or Latino | 13 | 57% | 126 | 41% |
| | Not Hispanic or Latino | 10 | 43% | 128 | 42% |
| | Unknown | 0 | 0% | 54 | 18% |

*Racial and ethnic breakdown of HTC properties can be found in the Housing Sponsor Report

FY 2024 Funding/HHs Served by Activity Type

| | SF Homeownership | | HOME | | HTF | | HTC 9% | | HTC 4% | | MF Bond** | | MF Direct Loan** | | Section 8 HCV | | Section 811 | |
|-----------------|---------------------|------------|--------------------|-----------|------------------|-----------|--------------------|------------|---------------------|-------------|---------------------|----------|------------------|----------|------------------|-----------|--------------------|------------|
| | Funds | HH | Funds | HH | Funds | HH | Funds | HH | Funds | HH | Funds | HH | Funds | HH | Funds | HH | Funds | HH |
| Owner Programs | \$95,912,298 | 308 | \$1,630,246 | 10 | \$252,760 | 13 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 |
| Renter Programs | \$0 | 0 | \$520,803 | 51 | \$0 | 0 | \$3,000,000 | 247 | \$15,062,730 | 1719 | \$14,054,000 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$531,427 | 52 | \$1,372,246 | 118 |
| Total | \$95,912,298 | 308 | \$2,151,049 | 61 | \$252,760 | 13 | \$3,000,000 | 247 | \$15,062,730 | 1719 | \$14,054,000 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$531,427 | 52 | \$1,372,246 | 118 |

FY 2024 Funding/HH Served by Income Category

| | SF Homeownership | | HOME | | HTF | | HTC 9% | | HTC 4% | | MF Bond** | | MF Direct Loan** | | Section 8 HCV | | Section 811 | |
|--------------|---------------------|------------|--------------------|-----------|------------------|-----------|--------------------|------------|---------------------|-------------|---------------------|----------|------------------|----------|------------------|-----------|--------------------|------------|
| | Funds | HH | Funds | HH | Funds | HH | Funds | HH | Funds | HH | Funds | HH | Funds | HH | Funds | HH | Funds | HH |
| ELI | \$858,812 | 3 | \$1,242,529 | 40 | \$0 | 0 | \$453,285 | 36 | \$227,766 | 31 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$483,477 | 43 | \$1,372,246 | 118 |
| VLI | \$17,747,786 | 66 | \$908,520 | 21 | \$252,760 | 13 | \$2,546,715 | 211 | \$14,431,004 | 1688 | \$14,054,000 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$40,315 | 8 | \$0 | 0 |
| LI | \$36,750,088 | 117 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$403,960 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$7,635 | 1 | \$0 | 0 |
| >=MI | \$40,555,612 | 122 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 |
| Total | \$95,912,298 | 308 | \$2,151,049 | 61 | \$252,760 | 13 | \$3,000,000 | 247 | \$15,062,730 | 1719 | \$14,054,000 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$531,427 | 52 | \$1,372,246 | 118 |

**Please note all properties funded in FY24 through MF Bond and MF Direct Loan also received funding through the 9% or 4% HTC Programs. Households served will only be listed in the 9% or 4% tax credit household columns in order to prevent double counting.

REGION 8



Funding/HH Served by Race and Ethnicity*

| | HOME and HTF Owner Programs | | SF Homeownership Programs* | |
|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------|-----|----------------------------|-----|
| | HH | % | HH | % |
| by Race | | | | |
| American Indian or Alaskan Native | 0 | 0% | 2 | 1% |
| Asian or Pacific Islander | 0 | 0% | 82 | 28% |
| Black or African American | 4 | 36% | 24 | 8% |
| Other | 2 | 18% | 7 | 2% |
| Unknown | 0 | 0% | 178 | 61% |
| White | 5 | 45% | 0 | 0% |
| by Ethnicity | | | | |
| Hispanic or Latino | 5 | 45% | 85 | 29% |
| Not Hispanic or Latino | 6 | 55% | 135 | 46% |
| Unknown | 0 | 0% | 73 | 25% |

*Racial and ethnic breakdown of HTC properties can be found in the Housing Sponsor Report


FY 2024 Funding/HHs Served by Activity Type

| | SF Homeownership | | HOME | | HTF | | HTC 9% | | HTC 4% | | MF Bond** | | MF Direct Loan** | | Section 8 HCV | | Section 811 | |
|-----------------|------------------|-----|-------------|----|-----------|----|-------------|-----|-------------|-----|-----------|----|------------------|----|---------------|----|-------------|----|
| | Funds | HH | Funds | HH | Funds | HH | Funds | HH | Funds | HH | Funds | HH | Funds | HH | Funds | HH | Funds | HH |
| Owner Programs | \$63,684,427 | 293 | \$1,264,089 | 8 | \$135,000 | 3 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 |
| Renter Programs | \$0 | 0 | \$20,441 | 7 | \$0 | 0 | \$3,607,733 | 271 | \$1,184,667 | 152 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$400,945 | 60 | \$0 | 0 |
| Total | \$63,684,427 | 293 | \$1,284,530 | 15 | \$135,000 | 3 | \$3,607,733 | 271 | \$1,184,667 | 152 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$400,945 | 60 | \$0 | 0 |

FY 2024 Funding/HH Served by Income Category

| | SF Homeownership | | HOME | | HTF | | HTC 9% | | HTC 4% | | MF Bond** | | MF Direct Loan** | | Section 8 HCV | | Section 811 | |
|-------|------------------|-----|-------------|----|-----------|----|-------------|-----|-------------|-----|-----------|----|------------------|----|---------------|----|-------------|----|
| | Funds | HH | Funds | HH | Funds | HH | Funds | HH | Funds | HH | Funds | HH | Funds | HH | Funds | HH | Funds | HH |
| ELI | \$339,171 | 2 | \$321,173 | 8 | \$0 | 0 | \$371,076 | 28 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$383,226 | 53 | \$0 | 0 |
| VLI | \$15,150,847 | 84 | \$963,357 | 7 | \$135,000 | 3 | \$3,236,657 | 243 | \$1,184,667 | 152 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$16,533 | 5 | \$0 | 0 |
| LI | \$22,841,183 | 105 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$1,186 | 2 | \$0 | 0 |
| >=MI | \$25,353,226 | 102 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 |
| Total | \$63,684,427 | 293 | \$1,284,530 | 15 | \$135,000 | 3 | \$3,607,733 | 271 | \$1,184,667 | 152 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$400,945 | 60 | \$0 | 0 |

**Please note all properties funded in FY24 through MF Bond and MF Direct Loan also received funding through the 9% or 4% HTC Programs. Households served will only be listed in the 9% or 4% tax credit household columns in order to prevent double counting.



Funding/HH Served by Race and Ethnicity*

| | HOME and HTF Owner Programs | | SF Homeownership Programs* | |
|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------|-----|----------------------------|-----|
| | HH | % | HH | % |
| by Race | | | | |
| American Indian or Alaskan Native | 0 | 0% | 6 | 1% |
| Asian or Pacific Islander | 0 | 0% | 115 | 15% |
| Black or African American | 0 | 0% | 49 | 7% |
| Other | 5 | 20% | 25 | 3% |
| Unknown | 0 | 0% | 557 | 74% |
| White | 20 | 80% | 0 | 0% |
| by Ethnicity | | | | |
| Hispanic or Latino | 19 | 76% | 463 | 62% |
| Not Hispanic or Latino | 6 | 24% | 203 | 27% |
| Unknown | 0 | 0% | 86 | 11% |

*Racial and ethnic breakdown of HTC properties can be found in the Housing Sponsor Report

FY 2024 Funding/HHs Served by Activity Type


| | SF Homeownership | | HOME | | HTF | | HTC 9% | | HTC 4% | | MF Bond** | | MF Direct Loan** | | Section 8 HCV | | Section 811 | |
|-----------------|------------------|-----|-------------|-----|-----------|----|-------------|-----|--------------|------|--------------|----|------------------|----|---------------|-----|-------------|----|
| | Funds | HH | Funds | HH | Funds | HH | Funds | HH | Funds | HH | Funds | HH | Funds | HH | Funds | HH | Funds | HH |
| Owner Programs | \$184,707,381 | 752 | \$2,636,046 | 16 | \$189,522 | 9 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 |
| Renter Programs | \$0 | 0 | \$2,781,376 | 398 | \$0 | 0 | \$5,326,119 | 348 | \$17,553,044 | 2189 | \$26,000,000 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$684,710 | 117 | \$381,201 | 46 |
| Total | \$184,707,381 | 752 | \$5,417,422 | 414 | \$189,522 | 9 | \$5,326,119 | 348 | \$17,553,044 | 2189 | \$26,000,000 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$684,710 | 117 | \$381,201 | 46 |

FY 2024 Funding/HH Served by Income Category

| | SF Homeownership | | HOME | | HTF | | HTC 9% | | HTC 4% | | MF Bond** | | MF Direct Loan** | | Section 8 HCV | | Section 811 | |
|-------|------------------|-----|-------------|-----|-----------|----|-------------|-----|--------------|------|--------------|----|------------------|----|---------------|-----|-------------|----|
| | Funds | HH | Funds | HH | Funds | HH | Funds | HH | Funds | HH | Funds | HH | Funds | HH | Funds | HH | Funds | HH |
| ELI | \$1,427,625 | 8 | \$2,996,143 | 292 | \$0 | 0 | \$456,152 | 32 | \$951,714 | 118 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$550,287 | 82 | \$381,201 | 46 |
| VLI | \$45,728,376 | 220 | \$2,049,203 | 111 | \$189,522 | 9 | \$4,667,865 | 312 | \$15,241,352 | 1971 | \$26,000,000 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$117,065 | 30 | \$0 | 0 |
| LI | \$74,137,338 | 296 | \$372,076 | 11 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$1,359,978 | 100 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$17,030 | 4 | \$0 | 0 |
| >=MI | \$63,414,042 | 228 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$328 | 1 | \$0 | 0 |
| Total | \$184,707,381 | 752 | \$5,417,422 | 414 | \$189,522 | 9 | \$5,326,119 | 348 | \$17,553,044 | 2189 | \$26,000,000 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$684,710 | 117 | \$381,201 | 46 |

**Please note all properties funded in FY24 through MF Bond and MF Direct Loan also received funding through the 9% or 4% HTC Programs. Households served will only be listed in the 9% or 4% tax credit household columns in order to prevent double counting.

REGION 10



Funding/HH Served by Race and Ethnicity*

| | HOME and HTF Owner Programs | | SF Homeownership Programs* | | |
|--------------|-----------------------------------|----|----------------------------|-----|-----|
| | HH | % | HH | % | |
| by Race | American Indian or Alaskan Native | 0 | 0% | 1 | 1% |
| | Asian or Pacific Islander | 1 | 6% | 7 | 6% |
| | Black or African American | 0 | 0% | 1 | 1% |
| | Other | 4 | 24% | 3 | 3% |
| | Unknown | 0 | 0% | 103 | 89% |
| by Ethnicity | White | 12 | 71% | 1 | 1% |
| | Hispanic or Latino | 14 | 82% | 76 | 66% |
| | Not Hispanic or Latino | 3 | 18% | 32 | 28% |
| | Unknown | 0 | 0% | 8 | 7% |

*Racial and ethnic breakdown of HTC properties can be found in the Housing Sponsor Report

FY 2024 Funding/HHs Served by Activity Type

| | SF Homeownership | | HOME | | HTF | | HTC 9% | | HTC 4% | | MF Bond** | | MF Direct Loan** | | Section 8 HCV | | Section 811 | |
|-----------------|---------------------|------------|--------------------|-----------|-----------------|----------|------------|----------|------------------|------------|---------------------|----------|------------------|----------|------------------|-----------|-----------------|-----------|
| | Funds | HH | Funds | HH | Funds | HH | Funds | HH | Funds | HH | Funds | HH | Funds | HH | Funds | HH | Funds | HH |
| Owner Programs | \$22,916,953 | 116 | \$2,507,456 | 16 | \$45,000 | 1 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 |
| Renter Programs | \$0 | 0 | \$130,376 | 26 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$768,808 | 112 | \$10,000,000 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$487,443 | 59 | \$88,025 | 13 |
| Total | \$22,916,953 | 116 | \$2,637,832 | 42 | \$45,000 | 1 | \$0 | 0 | \$768,808 | 112 | \$10,000,000 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$487,443 | 59 | \$88,025 | 13 |

FY 2024 Funding/HH Served by Income Category

| | SF Homeownership | | HOME | | HTF | | HTC 9% | | HTC 4% | | MF Bond** | | MF Direct Loan** | | Section 8 HCV | | Section 811 | |
|--------------|---------------------|------------|--------------------|-----------|-----------------|----------|------------|----------|------------------|------------|---------------------|----------|------------------|----------|------------------|-----------|-----------------|-----------|
| | Funds | HH | Funds | HH | Funds | HH | Funds | HH | Funds | HH | Funds | HH | Funds | HH | Funds | HH | Funds | HH |
| ELI | \$0 | 0 | \$1,093,162 | 28 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$461,360 | 51 | \$88,025 | 13 |
| VLI | \$6,039,853 | 38 | \$1,250,057 | 12 | \$45,000 | 1 | \$0 | 0 | \$768,808 | 112 | \$10,000,000 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$26,083 | 8 | \$0 | 0 |
| LI | \$8,383,654 | 40 | \$294,613 | 2 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 |
| >=MI | \$8,493,446 | 38 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 |
| Total | \$22,916,953 | 116 | \$2,637,832 | 42 | \$45,000 | 1 | \$0 | 0 | \$768,808 | 112 | \$10,000,000 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$487,443 | 59 | \$88,025 | 13 |

**Please note all properties funded in FY24 through MF Bond and MF Direct Loan also received funding through the 9% or 4% HTC Programs. Households served will only be listed in the 9% or 4% tax credit household columns in order to prevent double counting.

REGION 11



Funding/HH Served by Race and Ethnicity*

| | HOME and HTF Owner Programs | | SF Homeownership Programs* | |
|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------|------|----------------------------|-----|
| | HH | % | HH | % |
| by Race | | | | |
| American Indian or Alaskan Native | 0 | 0% | 1 | 0% |
| Asian or Pacific Islander | 0 | 0% | 44 | 6% |
| Black or African American | 0 | 0% | 5 | 1% |
| Other | 2 | 8% | 10 | 1% |
| Unknown | 0 | 0% | 708 | 92% |
| White | 24 | 92% | 0 | 0% |
| by Ethnicity | | | | |
| Hispanic or Latino | 26 | 100% | 686 | 89% |
| Not Hispanic or Latino | 0 | 0% | 35 | 5% |
| Unknown | 0 | 0% | 47 | 6% |

*Racial and ethnic breakdown of HTC properties can be found in the Housing Sponsor Report

FY 2024 Funding/HHs Served by Activity Type


| | SF Homeownership | | HOME | | HTF | | HTC 9% | | HTC 4% | | MF Bond** | | MF Direct Loan** | | Section 8 HCV | | Section 811 | |
|-----------------|------------------|-----|-------------|----|-----------|----|-------------|-----|-------------|-----|-----------|----|------------------|----|---------------|----|-------------|----|
| | Funds | HH | Funds | HH | Funds | HH | Funds | HH | Funds | HH | Funds | HH | Funds | HH | Funds | HH | Funds | HH |
| Owner Programs | \$168,644,609 | 768 | \$1,507,993 | 11 | \$322,103 | 15 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 |
| Renter Programs | \$0 | 0 | \$74,982 | 24 | \$0 | 0 | \$5,711,149 | 262 | \$1,343,899 | 126 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$7,483 | 1 | \$173,858 | 21 |
| Total | \$168,644,609 | 768 | \$1,582,975 | 35 | \$322,103 | 15 | \$5,711,149 | 262 | \$1,343,899 | 126 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$7,483 | 1 | \$173,858 | 21 |

FY 2024 Funding/HH Served by Income Category

| | SF Homeownership | | HOME | | HTF | | HTC 9% | | HTC 4% | | MF Bond** | | MF Direct Loan** | | Section 8 HCV | | Section 811 | |
|-------|------------------|-----|-------------|----|-----------|----|-------------|-----|-------------|-----|-----------|----|------------------|----|---------------|----|-------------|----|
| | Funds | HH | Funds | HH | Funds | HH | Funds | HH | Funds | HH | Funds | HH | Funds | HH | Funds | HH | Funds | HH |
| ELI | \$2,421,408 | 17 | \$343,732 | 18 | \$0 | 0 | \$564,642 | 26 | \$202,651 | 19 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$7,483 | 1 | \$173,858 | 21 |
| VLI | \$57,018,319 | 289 | \$696,036 | 12 | \$322,103 | 15 | \$5,146,507 | 236 | \$1,141,248 | 107 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 |
| LI | \$66,508,657 | 292 | \$543,207 | 5 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 |
| >=MI | \$42,696,225 | 170 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 |
| Total | \$168,644,609 | 768 | \$1,582,975 | 35 | \$322,103 | 15 | \$5,711,149 | 262 | \$1,343,899 | 126 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$7,483 | 1 | \$173,858 | 21 |

**Please note all properties funded in FY24 through MF Bond and MF Direct Loan also received funding through the 9% or 4% HTC Programs. Households served will only be listed in the 9% or 4% tax credit household columns in order to prevent double counting.

REGION 12



Funding/HH Served by Race and Ethnicity*

| | HOME and HTF Owner Programs | | SF Homeownership Programs* | |
|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------|------|----------------------------|-----|
| | HH | % | HH | % |
| by Race | | | | |
| American Indian or Alaskan Native | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| Asian or Pacific Islander | 0 | 0% | 16 | 12% |
| Black or African American | 0 | 0% | 4 | 3% |
| Other | 0 | 0% | 16 | 12% |
| Unknown | 0 | 0% | 103 | 74% |
| White | 20 | 100% | 0 | 0% |
| by Ethnicity | | | | |
| Hispanic or Latino | 18 | 90% | 78 | 56% |
| Not Hispanic or Latino | 2 | 10% | 35 | 25% |
| Unknown | 0 | 0% | 26 | 19% |

*Racial and ethnic breakdown of HTC properties can be found in the Housing Sponsor Report

2024 Funding/HHs Served by Activity Type

| | SF Homeownership | | HOME | | HTF | | HTC 9% | | HTC 4% | | MF Bond** | | MF Direct Loan** | | Section 8 HCV | | Section 811 | |
|-----------------|------------------|-----|-----------|----|-----------|----|-----------|----|-------------|-----|-----------|----|------------------|----|---------------|----|-------------|----|
| | Funds | HH | Funds | HH | Funds | HH | Funds | HH | Funds | HH | Funds | HH | Funds | HH | Funds | HH | Funds | HH |
| Owner Programs | \$30,090,359 | 139 | \$0 | 0 | \$514,084 | 20 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 |
| Renter Programs | \$0 | 0 | \$257,711 | 80 | \$0 | 0 | \$211,841 | 20 | \$3,150,453 | 300 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$19,525 | 2 | \$0 | 0 |
| Total | \$30,090,359 | 139 | \$257,711 | 80 | \$514,084 | 20 | \$211,841 | 20 | \$3,150,453 | 300 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$19,525 | 2 | \$0 | 0 |

2024 Funding/HH Served by Income Category

| | SF Homeownership | | HOME | | HTF | | HTC 9% | | HTC 4% | | MF Bond** | | MF Direct Loan** | | Section 8 HCV | | Section 811 | |
|-------|------------------|-----|-----------|----|-----------|----|-----------|----|-------------|-----|-----------|----|------------------|----|---------------|----|-------------|----|
| | Funds | HH | Funds | HH | Funds | HH | Funds | HH | Funds | HH | Funds | HH | Funds | HH | Funds | HH | Funds | HH |
| ELI | \$524,877 | 2 | \$187,039 | 55 | \$0 | 0 | \$21,184 | 2 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$19,525 | 2 | \$0 | 0 |
| VLI | \$8,552,555 | 48 | \$70,672 | 25 | \$514,084 | 20 | \$190,657 | 18 | \$3,150,453 | 300 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 |
| LI | \$9,194,664 | 42 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 |
| >=MI | \$11,818,263 | 47 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 |
| Total | \$30,090,359 | 139 | \$257,711 | 80 | \$514,084 | 20 | \$211,841 | 20 | \$3,150,453 | 300 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$19,525 | 2 | \$0 | 0 |

**Please note all properties funded in FY24 through MF Bond and MF Direct Loan also received funding through the 9% or 4% HTC Programs. Households served will only be listed in the 9% or 4% tax credit household columns in order to prevent double counting.

REGION 13



Funding/HH Served by Race and Ethnicity*

| | HOME and HTF Owner Programs | | SF Homeownership Programs* | |
|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------|------|----------------------------|-----|
| | HH | % | HH | % |
| by Race | | | | |
| American Indian or Alaskan Native | 0 | 0% | 3 | 1% |
| Asian or Pacific Islander | 0 | 0% | 54 | 11% |
| Black or African American | 0 | 0% | 10 | 2% |
| Other | 0 | 0% | 25 | 5% |
| Unknown | 0 | 0% | 382 | 81% |
| White | 32 | 100% | 0 | 0% |
| by Ethnicity | | | | |
| Hispanic or Latino | 32 | 100% | 391 | 82% |
| Not Hispanic or Latino | 0 | 0% | 45 | 9% |
| Unknown | 0 | 0% | 38 | 8% |

*Racial and ethnic breakdown of HTC properties can be found in the Housing Sponsor Report

2024 Funding/HHs Served by Activity Type

| | SF Homeownership | | HOME | | HTF | | HTC 9% | | HTC 4% | | MF Bond** | | MF Direct Loan** | | Section 8 HCV | | Section 811 | |
|-----------------|------------------|-----|-----------|----|-----------|----|-------------|-----|-------------|-----|--------------|----|------------------|----|---------------|----|-------------|----|
| | Funds | HH | Funds | HH | Funds | HH | Funds | HH | Funds | HH | Funds | HH | Funds | HH | Funds | HH | Funds | HH |
| Owner Programs | \$102,474,989 | 474 | \$149,038 | 1 | \$685,458 | 31 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 |
| Renter Programs | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$3,486,750 | 198 | \$6,463,188 | 953 | \$16,800,000 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$58,135 | 9 |
| Total | \$102,474,989 | 474 | \$149,038 | 1 | \$685,458 | 31 | \$3,486,750 | 198 | \$6,463,188 | 953 | \$16,800,000 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$58,135 | 9 |

2024 Funding/HH Served by Income Category

| | SF Homeownership | | HOME | | HTF | | HTC 9% | | HTC 4% | | MF Bond** | | MF Direct Loan** | | Section 8 HCV | | Section 811 | |
|-------|------------------|-----|-----------|----|-----------|----|-------------|-----|-------------|-----|--------------|----|------------------|----|---------------|----|-------------|----|
| | Funds | HH | Funds | HH | Funds | HH | Funds | HH | Funds | HH | Funds | HH | Funds | HH | Funds | HH | Funds | HH |
| ELI | \$2,015,897 | 11 | \$149,038 | 1 | \$0 | 0 | \$342,830 | 20 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$58,135 | 9 |
| VLI | \$35,302,723 | 183 | \$0 | 0 | \$685,458 | 31 | \$3,143,920 | 178 | \$6,463,188 | 953 | \$16,800,000 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 |
| LI | \$39,481,618 | 175 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 |
| >=MI | \$25,674,751 | 105 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$9,500,000 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 |
| Total | \$102,474,989 | 474 | \$149,038 | 1 | \$685,458 | 31 | \$3,486,750 | 198 | \$6,463,188 | 953 | \$16,800,000 | 0 | \$9,500,000 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$58,135 | 9 |

**Please note all properties funded in FY24 through MF Bond and MF Direct Loan also received funding through the 9% or 4% HTC Programs. Households served will only be listed in the 9% or 4% tax credit household columns in order to prevent double counting.

HOUSING SPONSOR REPORT ANALYSIS

Tex. Gov't Code §2306.072 and §2306.0724 requires the Department to provide property and occupant profiles for multifamily properties with 20 or more units receiving assistance from TDHCA. This report compiled annually includes the contact information for each property, the total number of units, the number of accessible units, the rents for units by type, the racial composition for the property, the number of units occupied by individuals receiving supported housing assistance, the number of units occupied delineated by income group, and a statement as to whether a fair housing agency or federal court found fair housing violations at the property. TDHCA is notified of Fair Housing violations that have been filed with the Texas Workforce Commission, HUD, and the U.S. Department of Justice through its Previous Participation reviews, required reporting by monitored properties, and through the Texas Workforce Commission.

Because of the extensive nature of the information, TDHCA provides this report under a separate publication: the TDHCA Housing Sponsor Report (HSR). The HSR includes an analysis of the collected information, as well as the information submitted by each property. In addition, in fulfillment of Tex. Gov't Code §2306.072(c)(8), the HSR contains a list of average rents sorted by Texas county based on housing sponsor report responses from TDHCA-funded properties.

For more information and a copy of this report, please contact the TDHCA Housing Resource Center at (800) 525-0657 or visit <https://www.tdhca.texas.gov/housing-resource-center-plans-and-reports>.

GEOGRAPHIC DISTRIBUTION OF HOUSING TAX CREDITS

Tex. Gov't Code §2306.111(d) requires that TDHCA use a Regional Allocation Formula (RAF) to allocate its 9% HTC's to the Uniform State Service Regions it uses for planning purposes. Because of the level of funding and the impact of this program in financing the multifamily development of affordable housing across the state, this section of the SLIHP discusses the geographical distribution of HTC's.

The Department allocated \$176,844,261 in 4% and 9% HTC's during SFY 2024, which represents a one year value. Information on these awards, as well as the entire HTC inventory, can be found on the HTC Program's webpage at <https://www.tdhca.texas.gov/programs/multifamily-housing-programs>.

| Region | All HTC's | % of all HTC's | 9% HTC s | % of all 9% HTC's | 4% HTC s | % of all 4% HTC's |
|--------|---------------|----------------|--------------|-------------------|---------------|-------------------|
| 1 | \$1,920,173 | 0.91% | \$1,920,173 | 2.01% | \$- | 0.00% |
| 2 | \$901,544 | 0.43% | \$- | 0.00% | \$901,544 | 0.77% |
| 3 | \$45,764,014 | 21.60% | \$24,651,147 | 25.82% | \$21,112,867 | 18.15% |
| 4 | \$6,078,290 | 2.87% | \$6,078,290 | 6.37% | \$- | 0.00% |
| 5 | \$4,795,193 | 2.26% | \$4,795,193 | 5.02% | \$- | 0.00% |
| 6 | \$42,264,674 | 19.95% | \$20,138,367 | 21.09% | \$22,126,307 | 19.02% |
| 7 | \$44,653,303 | 21.08% | \$6,740,234 | 7.06% | \$37,913,069 | 32.59% |
| 8 | \$7,835,927 | 3.70% | \$6,438,756 | 6.74% | \$1,397,171 | 1.20% |
| 9 | \$33,253,351 | 15.70% | \$4,119,731 | 4.31% | \$29,133,620 | 25.04% |
| 10 | \$3,937,055 | 1.86% | \$1,722,000 | 1.80% | \$2,215,055 | 1.90% |
| 11 | \$13,292,846 | 6.28% | \$13,292,846 | 13.92% | \$- | 0.00% |
| 12 | \$3,030,286 | 1.43% | \$1,479,186 | 1.55% | \$1,551,100 | 1.33% |
| 13 | \$4,100,000 | 1.94% | \$4,100,000 | 4.29% | \$- | 0.00% |
| Total | \$211,826,656 | 100.00% | \$95,475,923 | 100.00% | \$116,350,733 | 100.00% |

The table above shows the funding distribution of 2024 awards by region and includes the variations between the actual distribution and the 9% HTC RAF targets. Data for 9% and 4% HTC are as of November 2024. The Department plans the credit distributions to match the HTC RAF targets as closely as possible; the RAF targets apply to the 9% HTC program. To that end, as many whole awards as possible are made in each Uniform State Service Region's urban and rural sub-regions based on the RAF target for each. The total remainder in each region is then collapsed into a statewide pool. The most under-served sub-regions are ranked and, if possible, additional awards are made from the statewide pool. If a region does not have enough qualified applications to meet its regional credit distribution target, then those credits will collapse to the statewide pool of remaining credits.

Additional guidance and resources can be found off TDHCA's Multifamily Competitive (9%) Housing Tax Credits webpage: <https://www.tdhca.texas.gov/competitive-9-housing-tax-credits>. Off this page is [link](#) to a map with information on the properties' locations relative to census tracts.

SECTION 4: ACTION PLAN

In response to the needs identified in the Housing Analysis, this Plan outlines Texas Department of Housing and Community Affairs' (TDHCA or the Department) course of action designed to address those underserved needs.

This section of the SLIHP includes the following information per Tex. Gov't Code §2306.072 and §2306.0721:

- A description of methods to use all available housing resources to address the housing needs of special needs populations by establishing funding levels for all housing-related programs as required by Tex. Gov't Code §2306.0721(c)(2).
- A comprehensive statement of the activities of the department during the preceding year to address the needs of special needs populations as required by Tex. Gov't Code §2306.072(c)(2)(D).
- A description of state programs that govern the use of all available housing resources as required by Tex. Gov't Code §2306.0721(c)(4).
- A resource allocation plan targeting all available housing resources to individuals and families of low and very low income and special needs populations as required by Tex. Gov't Code §2306.0721(c)(5).
- Strategies to provide housing for individuals and families with special needs as required by Tex. Gov't Code §2306.0721(c)(7).
- A description of the Department's efforts to encourage incorporation of energy efficient construction and appliances in housing units as required by Tex. Gov't Code §2306.0721(c)(8).
- Strategies for meeting rural housing needs as required by Tex. Gov't Code §2306.0721(c)(11).
- An explanation of TDHCA's Regional Allocation Formula (RAF) as required by Tex. Gov't Code §2306.111(e)(1)

This section is organized as follows:

- 2024 TDHCA Programs: Description of TDHCA's programs organized by division including funding source, administrator, purpose, targeted population, allocation, budget, and contact information.
- Regional Allocation Plans: Distribution of TDHCA's resources across the 13 State Service Regions.
- Policy Initiatives: A brief overview of policy initiatives for TDHCA including Fair Housing and Disaster Recovery.
- Special Needs Populations: Populations that have unique needs related to housing.

2024 TDHCA PROGRAMS

TDHCA's programs govern the use of available resources to meet the housing needs of low-income Texans. Program descriptions include information on funding sources, recipients, targeted beneficiaries, set-asides and special initiatives.

Shortly after the beginning of the pandemic the United States federal government began enacting legislation aimed at helping renters and homeowners. On March 27, 2020, The Coronavirus Aid Relief and Economic Security Act (CARES Act), a \$2.2 trillion Federal stimulus bill was passed. On December 28, 2020, the \$920 billion Consolidated Appropriations Act was passed. A third bill, the \$1.9 trillion dollar American Rescue Plan (ARP), passed on March 11, 2021. On April 2, 2020, TDHCA began receiving federal funds allocated to combat the COVID-19 pandemic. In total since that time TDHCA has been awarded \$4,629,321,498 in temporary and pandemic program funds.

TDHCA has used these federal funds to combat the COVID-19 pandemic by creating new programs and supplementing programs which were already in place. See Section 5 Pandemic Response for more information on TDHCA programs that utilized the above funding.

The following is a list of TDHCA programs and activities organized by division inclusive of pandemic response assistance:

Community Affairs

- Community Services Block Grant (CSBG) Program
- Comprehensive Energy Assistance Program (CEAP)
- Weatherization Assistance Program (WAP)
- Low Income Household Water Assistance Program (LIHWAP)

Community Development Block Grant CARES Act Assistance

- Texas Emergency Rental Assistance Program (TERAP)
- Texas Emergency Mortgage Assistance Program (TEMAP)
- Food Bank Reimbursement Assistance
- Assistance for Persons with Disabilities
- Legal Services for Persons with Disabilities
- Community Resiliency Program

HOME American Rescue Plan (HOME-ARP)

Housing Stabilization Services (HSS)

Manufactured Housing

Multifamily Finance

- Housing Tax Credit (HTC) Program
- Multifamily Bond (MF Bond) Program
- Multifamily Direct Loan (MF Direct Loan) Program
- National Housing Trust Fund (NHTF)

Section 811 Project Rental Assistance

Single Family and Homeless Programs

- Emergency Solutions Grants (ESG) Program
- Emergency Solutions Grant CARES (ESG-CARES) Program
- Homeless Housing and Services Program (HHSP)
- Ending Homelessness Fund (EH Fund)
- HOME Tenant-Based Rental Assistance (TBRA)
- HOME Contract for Deed (CFD)
- HOME Homebuyer Assistance with New Construction or Rehabilitation (HANC)
- HOME Single Family Development (SFD)
- HOME Homeowner Reconstruction Assistance (HRA)
- Neighborhood Stabilization Program (NSP)
- Colonia Self-Help Center (CSHC) Program
- Texas Housing Trust Fund Program
- Texas Bootstrap Loan (Bootstrap) Program
- Amy Young Barrier Removal (AYBR) Program
- Section 8 Housing Choice Voucher (HCV) Program
- Section 8 Emergency Housing Voucher (EHV) Program
- Section 8 Mainstream Voucher Program (MVP)
- Section 8 Veterans Assistance Supportive Housing (VASH) Program

Texas Homeowner Assistance Fund (TxHAF)

Texas Homeownership

- My First Texas Home (MFTH) Program
- My Choice Texas Home (MCTH) Program
- TEXAS Mortgage Credit Certificate (TX MCC) Program
- Texas Statewide Homebuyer Education Program (TSHEP)
- Texas Homebuyer U (TXHBU)

Texas Rent Relief (TRR)

Community Affairs

The Community Affairs Division offers the Community Services Block Grant (CSBG) Program, Comprehensive Energy Assistance Program (CEAP), and Weatherization Assistance Program (WAP).

Community Services Block Grant Program (CSBG)

The CSBG Program receives funds from the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (USHHS) for CSBG-eligible entities to receive administrative support funds and for them to provide programs funds that offer emergency and poverty-related programs to income-eligible persons.

Ninety percent of the annual CSBG funds must be provided to eligible entities as defined under Section 673 of the CSBG Act to provide services to low-income individuals. These eligible entities are an established network of private nonprofit entities or units of local government that have each been designated by the Governor as the CSBG-eligible entity for a specified geographic area. TDHCA administers the program through a network of 38 CSBG eligible entities. Persons with incomes at or below 200% of the current federal income poverty guidelines issued annually by USHHS are eligible for the program (the level was raised to 200% of poverty for the CSBG CARES funds). Each CSBG eligible entity decides, through a needs assessment and strategic planning process, how the funds for their specific service area will be used; there is localized flexibility in the use and programming of funds and CSBG eligible entities do not all offer the same programs and services.

Allocations to CSBG-eligible entities are primarily based on two factors: (1) the number of persons living in poverty within the designated service delivery area for each organization and (2) a calculation of inverse population density.

Up to 5% of the State's CSBG allocation may be used for discretionary activities. Current discretionary activities include (1) providing additional assistance to CSBG eligible entities to provide direct services to clients; (2) providing assistance to CSBG eligible entities in a variety of ways to improve performance such as meeting CSBG Organizational Standards; (3) supporting assessment, training and technical assistance needs of the CSBG-eligible entities; (4) supporting the state's homelessness coordination in the Balance of State; (5) providing funding to organizations that assist previously incarcerated individuals in obtaining rental housing and other housing related reentry activities; (6) providing funding to organizations that administer employment and education assistance and services for Native American and migrant and seasonal farm worker populations; (7) setting aside funds for disaster recovery immediate response; and (8) supporting other eligible discretionary activities as authorized by the Department's Board. No more than 5% of the CSBG allocation may be used for administrative purposes by the state. If the full 5% is not needed for administrative purposes, the remainder may be used on a discretionary basis. CSBG funding for FY 2025 is not known at this time, and will depend on federal funding levels. CSBG planning figures for 2025 are based on funding for 2024 which was \$36,894,940.

Contact: For assistance, individuals should contact the local CSBG eligible entity for their county directly, which can be found online at <https://www.tdhca.texas.gov/help-for-texans> by selecting "Utility Bill Payment Help" or "Weatherization" or by calling the Housing Resource Center at 800-525-0657. Program administrators who need more information may call Karen Keith, Community Affairs Division, at 512-475-0471.

Online Documents: The CSBG State Plan and other guidance may be accessed at the TDHCA website at <https://www.tdhca.texas.gov/community-services-block-grant-csbg>.

Funding Source: USHHS

Type of Assistance: Grants

Recipients: Eligible entities as defined in the CSBG Act

Targeted Beneficiaries: Persons at or below 200% of the federal poverty guidelines

Comprehensive Energy Assistance Program (CEAP)

CEAP is funded by the USHHS' Low Income Home Energy Assistance Program (LIHEAP). The purpose of CEAP is to provide energy assistance to income-eligible households. TDHCA administers the program through a network of 35 CEAP subrecipients and one statewide vendor. The subrecipients consist of private nonprofit entities and units of local government. The CEAP subrecipients and vendor make energy payments for eligible households to energy companies through a vendor agreement with energy providers.

Eligible households may be assisted with Utility Assistance and Crisis Assistance benefits, which are the two CEAP assistance components. Benefits are determined on a sliding scale based on income, household size and Federal Poverty Income levels. The Crisis Component is designed to provide one-time energy assistance to households during a disaster such as extreme temperatures or a presidentially declared disaster. A utility disconnection notice may constitute a Crisis in combination with extreme temperatures or a declared disaster.

The targeted beneficiaries of CEAP in Texas are households with an income at or below 150% of federal poverty guidelines, with priority given to the elderly; persons with disabilities; families with young children; households with the highest energy costs or needs in relation to income (highest home energy burden); and households with high energy consumption.

The allocation formula for CEAP uses the following five factors and corresponding weights to distribute its funds by county: non-elderly poverty household factor (40%); elderly poverty household factor (40%); inverse poverty household density factor (5%); median income variance factor (5%); and weather factor (10%).

CEAP funding for FY 2025 is unknown at this time, and will depend on federal funding levels. CEAP Planning figures for 2025 are based on funding for 2024 which was \$188,798,260.

Contact: To connect to the local CEAP provider, persons needing assistance may go online at <https://www.tdhca.texas.gov/help-for-texans> or call 1-877-399-8939 from a landline phone. Program administrators can call Madison Lozano, Community Affairs Division, at 512-936-7798.

Online documents: The Energy Assistance Plan and other guidance may be accessed online at <https://www.tdhca.texas.gov/comprehensive-energy-assistance-program-ceap>.

Funding Source: USHHS

Type of Assistance: Grants

Recipients: Private nonprofits and units of local government

Targeted Beneficiaries: Households with income at or below 150% of federal poverty guidelines

Weatherization Assistance Program (WAP)

WAP is funded by the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) and USHHS' LIHEAP grant. WAP allocates funding to help low-income households control energy costs through the installation of weatherization (energy-efficient) measures and energy conservation education. The Department administers WAP through a network of 20 WAP subrecipients. The subrecipients consist of private nonprofit entities and units of local government. Typical weatherization measures include attic and wall insulation, weather-stripping and air sealing measures, heating and cooling unit repair and/or replacement, replacement of inefficient appliances such as refrigerators and minor repairs to allow energy efficient measures to be installed in the household.

The targeted beneficiaries of WAP in Texas are households with an income at or below 150% of federal poverty for the LIHEAP WAP and 200% of federal poverty for DOE WAP, with priority given to the elderly; persons with disabilities; families with young children; households with the highest energy costs or needs in relation to income (highest home energy burden); and households with high energy consumption.

The allocation formula for WAP uses the following five factors and corresponding weights to allocate its funds by county: non-elderly poverty household factor (40%); elderly poverty household factor (40%); inverse poverty household density factor (5%); median income variance factor (5%); and weather factor (10%).

Projected WAP funding for FY 2025 is unknown at this time, and will depend on federal funding levels. WAP funding levels for FY 2025 are based on 2024 federal funding which for LIHEAP was \$11,050,892 and for DOE was \$8,752,564.

Contact: To connect directly to a local WAP provider, call 211 or 1-888-606-8889, or go online to <https://www.tdhca.texas.gov/help-for-texans>. Program administrators can call Evan Brown, Community Affairs Division at 512-475-4008.

Online Documents: The Weatherization Assistance State Plan and other guidance may be accessed from the TDHCA website at <https://www.tdhca.texas.gov/weatherization-assistance-program>.

Funding Sources: DOE and USHHS

Type of Assistance: Grants

Recipients: Private nonprofits and units of local government

Targeted Beneficiaries: Households with income at or below 150% of federal poverty guidelines for the LIHEAP WAP and 200% of federal poverty for DOE WAP

Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) CARES Act Assistance

Through the CARES Act TDHCA was awarded \$141,846,258 in CDBG to assist households impacted by the pandemic.

See *Section 5 Pandemic Response* for further information.

Home American Rescue Plan (HOME-ARP)

Through the American Rescue Plan Act \$132,969,147 has been directed through the HOME-ARP Program for activities to support qualified populations, which includes persons experiencing or at-risk of homelessness.

See Section 5 Pandemic Response for further information.

Housing Stability Services (HSS)

See Section 5 Pandemic Response for further information.

Manufactured Housing

The Manufactured Housing Division regulates the manufactured housing industry in Texas by ensuring that manufactured homes are well constructed, safe and correctly installed. This division provides consumers with fair and effective remedies; and provides economic stability to manufacturers, retailers, installers and brokers. The Manufactured Housing Division licenses manufactured housing professionals and maintains records of the ownership, location, real or personal property status and lien status (on personal property homes) on manufactured homes. It also records tax liens on manufactured homes. Because of its regulatory nature, the Manufactured Housing Division has its own governing board and executive director.

The Manufactured Housing Division records ownership of approximately 60,000 homes per year and conducts approximately 20,000 inspections per year. Relying on a team of trained inspectors stationed throughout Texas, the Division inspects manufactured homes for warranty issues, habitability and proper installation statewide. The Manufactured Housing Division handles more than 80,000 incoming calls and assists approximately 2,300 walk-in customers per year in its customer service center and investigates approximately 685 consumer complaints a year. Additionally, under a memorandum of understanding, the Manufactured Housing Division inspects Migrant Labor Housing Facilities.

Contact: Texas Department of Housing and Community Affairs, Manufactured Housing Division

PO Box 12489

Austin, TX 78711-2489

(512) 475-2200 or 1-800-500-7074

<https://www.tdhca.texas.gov/mh>

Multifamily Finance

The Multifamily Finance Division administers the Housing Tax Credit (HTC) Program and the Multifamily Direct Loan (MF Direct Loan) Program. Multifamily Bond (MF Bond) Program funds, administered within the Bond Finance Division of the Department, are layered with HTCs and frequently MF Direct Loan funds; therefore the MF Bond Program will be described in this section.

Housing Tax Credit Program (HTC)

The HTC Program receives authority from the U.S. Department of the Treasury to provide tax credits to nonprofit and for-profit developers. The tax credits are sold to investors, creating equity that decreases the need to incur and service debt; the equity generated through that sale allows the property owners to lease units to low income households at reduced rents. The targeted beneficiaries of the program are very low-income and extremely low-income families at or below 80% of the AMFI, with 60% AMFI being the most common restriction. The HTC Program was created by the Tax Reform Act of 1986 and is governed by the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 (Code), as amended, 26 USC §42. There are two different housing tax credit programs: the 9% Competitive HTC Program and the 4% Non-competitive HTC Program. Under the Competitive HTC Program, the Code authorizes 9% tax credits in the amount of approximately \$2.90 per capita of the state population. TDHCA is the only entity in the state with the authority to allocate HTCs under these programs. As required by the Code and Texas statute, TDHCA develops the HTC Program Qualified Allocation Plan (QAP), which establishes the scoring process and threshold requirements relating to an allocation of housing tax credits. Pursuant to Tex. Gov't Code §2306.6724(c), the Governor shall approve, reject, or modify and approve the Board adopted QAP not later than December 1 of each year.

Fifteen percent of the 9% HTCs under the state ceiling are divided among two statutorily created set-asides that are used to preserve existing affordable housing that is at-risk of losing its affordability. The remainder of the credits are distributed on a regional basis according to the Regional Allocation Formula (RAF) pursuant to Tex. Gov't Code §2306.111(d)(3) and §2306.1115. The HTC RAF can be found in the TDHCA Allocation Plan section of this Action Plan. These credits are awarded through a competitive application process where each application is scored based on certain selection criteria reflected in the QAP. Moreover, there are eligibility and threshold requirements that must be met pursuant to the QAP. Once reviews and underwriting of the highest scoring applications have been completed, the Board considers the recommendations of TDHCA staff and determines a final award list. The 9% Competitive HTC Program has an annual application cycle with pre-applications submitted in January, full applications submitted by March, and awards made by the end of July.

The estimated HTC state housing credit ceiling amount for FY 2025 is \$88,459,573. Because these credits are claimed each year for ten consecutive years their value (without adjustment for effective tax rates, anticipated depreciation, and other passive gains and losses, or net present value) is roughly ten times that amount.

Under the 4% Non-competitive program, HTCs are awarded to developments that use tax-exempt bonds as a key component of their financing. These tax credit awards are made independent of the annual state housing credit ceiling and are not subject to the RAF. The applications are subject to the eligibility, threshold and underwriting requirements pursuant to the QAP; however, because the credits associated with these applications do not come from the state housing credit ceiling, the application process is considered non-competitive and the scoring criteria identified in the QAP are not applicable. Applications under this program are accepted throughout the year.

Eligible activities under the HTC Program include the new construction, reconstruction, adaptive reuse of existing structures, or acquisition and rehabilitation of residential units that will be required to maintain affordable rents for an extended period of time. Rehabilitation developments must meet a minimum threshold for rehabilitation costs per unit. The minimum threshold varies depending on both the age of the property and the other financing involved in the development and are further identified in 10 TAC §11.101(b)(3).

In an effort to promote greater energy efficiency, the HTC Program requires developments to adhere to the statewide energy code and provide Energy Star Rated (or equivalent) appliances. There are also additional incentives for the use of energy-efficient, alternative construction materials and green building initiatives.

Multifamily Bond Program

Multifamily Bond (MF Bond) Program funds and Non-competitive 4% Housing Tax Credits (HTC), are administered within the Multifamily Bond Division of the Department. These funds can be layered with MF Direct Loan funds.

TDHCA issues tax-exempt multifamily bonds under its Private Activity Bond (PAB) Program to provide loans for the development of affordable rental housing to nonprofit and for-profit developers who assist very low- to moderate-income Texans. The authority to issue PABs is derived from the Internal Revenue Code and the state's PAB program is administered by the Texas Bond Review Board (BRB). Pursuant to Tex. Gov't Code Chapter 1372, approximately 26.25% of the annual tax-exempt volume cap is set aside for multifamily developments and available to various issuers, of which TDHCA is one, to finance multifamily developments. Of this amount, 20%, or approximately \$190 million, will be made available exclusively to TDHCA. On August 15 of each year, any allocations in the sub-ceilings of the PAB Program that have not been reserved by other issuers collapse into one allocation pool. This is an opportunity for TDHCA to apply for additional allocation, for identified projects, which allows TDHCA to issue multifamily bonds in excess of its set-aside for a calendar year.

Issuers submit applications on behalf of development owners to the BRB, utilizing the lottery process or through the waiting list established by the issuer. Eligible bond issuers in the state include TDHCA, Texas State Affordable Housing Corporation (TSAHC), and various local issuers, which include Housing Finance Corporations and Public Facility Corporations. Applications submitted to TDHCA under its PAB program are scored and underwritten based on criteria identified in the Multifamily Housing Revenue Bond Rules, the Qualified Allocation Plan, and Chapter 2306.

TDHCA accepts applications throughout the year. Developments that receive 50% or more of their funding from the proceeds of tax-exempt bonds under the PAB program are also eligible to apply for 4% Non-competitive HTCs.

In line with the Department's energy efficiency efforts, the MF Bond Program requires applicants to adhere to the statewide energy code and provide Energy Star Rated (or equivalent) appliances.

Multifamily Direct Loan Program

The Multifamily Finance Division awards HOME, Tax Credit Assistance Program Repayment Funds (TCAP RF) as available, Neighborhood Stabilization Program Round 1 Program Income (NSP1 PI) as available, Emergency Rental Assistance Funds (ERA2) as available, and National Housing Trust Fund (NHTF) funds to eligible applicants for the development of affordable rental housing. Owners are required to make the units available to Extremely Low-, Very Low- and Low-Income families and must meet long-term rent restrictions. These funds are awarded as specified in published rules and Notices of Funding Availability (NOFAs) by TDHCA and are available to for-profit and nonprofit developers.

HOME funds come from annual formula grant allocations from HUD and program income from repayable multifamily loans. HOME funds can serve households earning up to 80% AMFI. Applicants

for HOME funds under the MF Direct Loan program can be for-profit and nonprofit developers. It is anticipated that approximately \$20 million in HOME funds will be available in the annual NOFA for SFY 2025. In general, HOME funds may only be used in parts of the state that do not receive their own allocation from HUD, although certain COVID-impacted developments may qualify for a waiver of this requirement.

National Housing Trust Fund

National Housing Trust Fund (NHTF) is a program for states that was created under the Housing and Economic Recovery Act of 2008 (HERA). NHTF funding comes from a small percentage of the Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation's (Freddie Mac) and the Federal National Mortgage Association's (Fannie Mae) new business purchases annually, rather than from appropriations. HUD determines NHTF formula allocation amounts for each state based on several factors, but primarily the shortage of rental units affordable and available to households with extremely low income. For SFY 2025, TDHCA anticipates making available approximately \$20,000,000 in NHTF funds statewide through the NOFA for eligible new construction, rehabilitation, and refinance with rehabilitation activities. NHTF has similar long-term requirements to HOME funds, except households to be served must have incomes at or below the greater of either 30% AMFI or the federal poverty line.

Neighborhood Stabilization Program

Program Income (NSP1-PI) is income generated by the receipt of loan payments under the original NSP. No funds were available during SFY 2024, and the Department does not anticipate any NSP1-PI to be available in SFY 2025. The NSP1-PI funds are for infill new construction or foreclosed developments in targeted areas of the state, and generally follow the same long-term requirements as HOME.

Tax Credit Assistance Program (TCAP)

TCAP was a program created through the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009 that was successfully completed in 2012 with full reports in the 2013 SLIHP. Repayment Funds (RF) are income from TCAP loans received after the grant was closed out in March 2012, now called TCAP RF. TCAP RF funds have been awarded through NOFAs in SFY 2015 through SFY 2021, and anticipating making awards in SFY 2025. The Department has made those funds available statewide to create a continuing source of funds that will further the Department's mission to create more affordable housing. TCAP-RF funds are also used as HOME match.

Contact: For a list of HTC, MF Bond, and MF Direct Loan properties funded through TDHCA, see the online inventory at <https://www.tdhca.texas.gov/sites/default/files/multifamily/docs/240730-HTCPropertyInventory.xlsx> For a list of apartment vacancies in your area, contact TDHCA by phone at 1-800-525-0657 or online at <https://www.tdhca.texas.gov/help-for-texans>. For more information on the 9% Competitive HTC Program contact Cody Campbell at (512) 475-1676. For more information on the MF Bond and 4% HTC Programs contact Teresa Morales at (512) 475-3344. For more information on the MF Direct Loan program contact Connor Jones at (512) 475-3986.

Online documents: The HTC Program QAP and Multifamily Direct Loan Rules, and Multifamily Housing Revenue Bond Rules may be accessed from the TDHCA website at <https://www.tdhca.texas.gov/multifamily-programs-gap-nofas-and-rules>.

Funding Source: U.S. Internal Revenue Service (IRS) and HUD

Type of Assistance: HTCs, PABs along with HOME, NSP, TCAP RF, and NHTF loans

Recipients: For-profit entities, nonprofit organizations and CHDOs

Targeted Beneficiaries: AMFI levels are set by program rules and NOFAs, and will vary from 30% AMFI to 80% AMFI, depending on the program.

Section 811 Project Rental Assistance Program

The Section 811 Project Rental Assistance (Section 811 PRA) program provides project-based rental assistance for extremely low-income persons with disabilities linked with voluntary long-term services. The program is made possible through a partnership between TDHCA, the Texas Health and Human Services Commission, (Texas HHSC), the Department of Family and Protective Services (DFPS), local disability service organizations, and participating multifamily properties.

Project rental assistance can be applied to new or existing multifamily developments owned by a nonprofit or private entity with at least five housing units that have received funding through TDHCA's Multifamily Housing programs.

The program is limited to households with a member that meets one of the Target Population definitions and are eligible to receive services through one of the eligible disability service organizations contracted with Texas HHSC or directly through DFPS. Each eligible household must include a qualified member of one of the Target Populations that will be at least 18 years of age and under age 62 at the time of application and admission. All Target Populations are eligible for community-based, long-term care services as provided through Medicaid waivers, Medicaid state plan options, or state funded services and have been referred to TDHCA through their Section 811 Referral Agent.

Target Populations:

- Persons with Disabilities exiting, or having exited within the previous 12 months prior to submitting an application, Intermediate Care Facilities for Individuals with Intellectual Disabilities and Nursing Facilities
- Persons with Serious Mental Illness eligible for services through a Local Mental Health Authority or Behavioral Health Authority
- Youth or Young Adults with Disabilities Exiting Foster Care

The program is limited to properties located in the following Metropolitan Statistical Areas (MSAs):

- Austin-Round Rock
- Brownsville-Harlingen
- Corpus Christi
- Dallas-Fort Worth-Arlington

- El Paso
- Houston-The Woodlands-Sugar Land
- McAllen-Edinburg-Mission
- San Antonio-New Braunfels

The Section 811 PRA Program received a total award of \$12,342,000 for HUD PY 2012, \$12,000,000 for HUD PY 2013, \$6,982,087 for HUD PY 2019, and an additional \$8,000,000 for HUD PY 2023. The program helps extremely low-income individuals with disabilities and their families by providing between 500 and 700 new integrated supportive housing units.

Contact: For individuals, or their local service professionals who are interested in accessing a unit through the Section 811 PRA Program, contact Kaitlin Devlin at (512) 936-7796. General program information about the Section 811 PRA Program can be found at: <https://www.tdhca.texas.gov/programs/section-811-project-rental-assistance-program>.

Online documents: Resource documents for participating multifamily developments can be found by visiting: <https://www.tdhca.texas.gov/programs/section-811-project-rental-assistance-program>.

Funding Source: HUD

Type of Assistance: Project-Based Rental Assistance

Eligible Properties: New or existing multifamily developments owned by a nonprofit or private entity with at least 5 housing units that have received funding through TDHCA's Multifamily Housing programs

Targeted Beneficiaries: The program is limited to individuals who are part of one of the Target Populations and eligible for services through one of the Texas HHSC or DFPS agencies participating in the program. Each eligible household must have a qualified member of a Target Population that will be at least 18 years of age and under the age of 62, and is at or below the Extremely Low Income Limit at the time of admission. The program is only available in limited areas.

Single Family and Homeless Programs

The Single Family and Homeless Programs Division covers a continuum spanning from homelessness to rental assistance to home ownership, from both federal and state funding sources, including funds from the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development, the Texas Housing Trust Fund (Texas HTF), General Revenue appropriations, and donations made to the Ending Homelessness Fund.

- The Emergency Solutions Grants (ESG) Program and the HOME Program share common federal oversight through HUD's Office of Community Planning and Development (CPD) and are HUD funded programs.
- The Colonia Self-Help Center (CSHC) Program, administered under SFHP's Office of Colonia Initiatives (OCI), is funded by the Community Development Block Grant (CDBG)

and also overseen by HUD CPD. CSHC is provided through a partnership with the Texas Department of Agriculture (TDA).

- Section 8 Program funds, including Housing Choice Voucher (HCV), Emergency Housing Voucher (EHV), Mainstream Voucher (MVP), and Veterans Assistance Supportive Housing (VASH) funds are HUD funded programs overseen by HUD's Office of Public and Indian Housing (PIH).
- The Amy Young Barrier Removal (AYBR) Program and the Texas Bootstrap Loan (Bootstrap) Program are funded through the Texas HTF.
- The Homeless Housing and Services Program (HHSP) is funded with General Revenue, and includes a general and a youth set-aside.
- The Ending Homelessness (EH) Fund is funded through voluntary contributions made when renewing vehicle registrations, and the fund is held outside of the State Treasury.

Emergency Solutions Grants Program (ESG)

ESG is funded through HUD. TDHCA uses the HUD funding to award grants to units of general local government and private nonprofit entities that provide persons experiencing homelessness and at risk of homelessness the services necessary to quickly regain stability in permanent housing. ESG funds may be utilized for the payment of certain expenses related to operating emergency shelters; essential services related to emergency shelters and street outreach for persons experiencing homelessness; and, homelessness prevention and rapid re-housing assistance such as rental and utility assistance.

TDHCA programs its ESG funds regionally for each of the HUD-designated Continuum of Care (CoC) Regions according to a combination of the region's proportionate share of a number of factors. The factors may include total population, number of persons experiencing homelessness based on the Point-in-Time count submitted to HUD by the CoCs; persons living in poverty; renters with incomes less than 30% AMI that experience cost burden; the amount of ESG funding received by federal and state funding streams in the past year; and other factors as listed in the administrative rules governing the ESG Program.

ESG funds were available to eligible existing subrecipients through continuing awards, with additional funds made available to subrecipients under a competitive application cycle to provide street outreach, emergency shelter, rapid-rehousing, homelessness prevention, data collection and administration.

Summary of ESG Program Funding for Fiscal Year 2025

ESG funding for Federal Fiscal Year (FFY) 2023 is \$9,959,390 and was made available during State Fiscal Year (SFY) 2024. Funding for FFY 2024 is \$9,723,237 and will be made available during State Fiscal Year (SFY) 2025.

Contact: Individuals seeking assistance may search for providers in their area online at <https://www.tdhca.texas.gov/help-for-texans> or by calling the Housing Resource Center at 800-525-0657. Organizations interested in becoming subrecipients may call Rosy Falcon, Single Family and Homeless Programs Division, at (512) 475-3975.

Online documents: See the State of Texas Consolidated Plan: One Year Action Plan at <https://www.tdhca.texas.gov/housing-resource-center-annual-or-biennial-plans-and-reports.pdf> for further details on ESG.

Funding Source: HUD

Type of Assistance: Grants

Recipients: Local governments and nonprofit entities

Targeted Beneficiaries: Persons experiencing homelessness or those at risk of homelessness; persons at-risk of homelessness who receive homelessness prevention assistance must have incomes less than 30% AMI

Homeless Housing and Services Program (HHSP)

HHSP was established by the 81st Texas Legislature and codified in statute (Tex. Gov't Code §2306.2585) by the 82nd Legislature. HHSP funds are for the purpose of assisting major urban areas identified in statute in providing housing and services to individuals and families experiencing homelessness, as well as providing local programs to prevent and eliminate homelessness. The assistance includes services to individuals and families experiencing homelessness, including the construction of shelter facilities, direct services related to housing placement, homelessness prevention, housing retention and rental assistance. Funds are either provided to the local jurisdiction or to one local organization designated by the local jurisdiction.

Summary of HHSP Program Funding for Fiscal Year 2023

The 88th Legislature appropriated approximately \$6.3 million in General Revenue funds for the 2024-2025 biennium for HHSP general set-aside funds, and an additional \$3 million in General Revenue funds for HHSP specifically set-aside for youth experiencing homelessness. For SFY2025, approximately \$4.3 million dollars in general set-aside funds and \$1.5 million dollars in youth set-aside funds was allocated to cities with a population over 285,500 as required by statute. Allocation among the subrecipients is based on total population, percentage of persons in poverty, population of persons with disabilities, incidents of family violence, and the Point-In-Time count of veterans, unaccompanied youth, parenting youth, children of parenting youth, and overall number of persons experiencing homelessness. The cities which are eligible, as of the date of this plan, to participate in HHSP include Arlington, Austin, Corpus Christi, Dallas, El Paso, Fort Worth, Houston, Plano, and San Antonio.

The Veterans Transitional Housing Pilot Program, created by the 88th Texas Legislature, designates \$400,000 of Homeless Housing and Services Program (HHSP) funds for each year of the biennium to address the housing needs of veterans experiencing or at-risk of homelessness in four areas of the State. The assistance includes interim housing, physical and mental health services, literacy training, job training, family counseling, credit counseling, education services, and other services designed to prevent homelessness. TDHCA used the Point-In-Time count of unhoused veterans to identify Austin, Dallas, Houston, and San Antonio as the four areas of the state with the highest population of the targeted population.

Contact: HHSP Subrecipients may be found by calling the Housing Resource Center at 800-525-0657. Program administrators may contact Rosy Falcon, Single Family and Homeless Programs Division, at (512) 475-3975.

Online documents: More HHSP information may be accessed online at <https://www.tdhca.texas.gov/homeless-housing-and-services-program-hhsp>.

Funding Source: State General Revenue Funds

Type of Assistance: Grants

Recipients: Local governments or designated nonprofit entities in the State's municipalities with a population of 285,500 or more: Arlington, Austin, Corpus Christi, Dallas, El Paso, Fort Worth, Houston, Plano, and San Antonio

Targeted Beneficiaries: Persons experiencing homelessness and those at risk of homelessness, with moderate income level pursuant to Tex. Gov't Code §2306.152

Ending Homelessness (EH) Fund

The EH Fund was established by the 85th Texas Legislature by creating the opportunity for a voluntary contribution to be made when renewing the registration of a motor vehicle. The Ending Homelessness Fund is a trust fund outside the State Treasury, held by the Comptroller of Public Accounts and administered by TDHCA. Eligible activities under the EH Fund include any activities eligible under the ESG or HHSP Programs.

Summary of Ending Homelessness Fund for Fiscal Year 2023

The contributions to the EH Fund are entirely voluntary, and averaged \$20,627 per month in fiscal year 2024. Funding for fiscal year 2025 is estimated to be \$247,528, assuming the current contribution level is maintained. The EH fund will be distributed to eligible cities and counties that currently participate in the TDHCA ESG Program or the HHSP Program unless the balance of the EH Fund contributions within the fiscal year exceeds \$1,000,000, at which time the EH Fund will become available through a NOFA for any eligible entity.

Contact: Interested parties regarding the Ending Homelessness Fund may call Rosy Falcon, Single Family and Homeless Programs Division, at (512) 475-3975.

Online documents: <https://www.tdhca.texas.gov/ending-homelessness-fund>

Funding Source: Voluntary donations

Recipients: Cities and counties participating in the TDHCA ESG Program or the HHSP Program

Targeted Beneficiaries: Persons experiencing homelessness or those at risk of homelessness; persons at-risk of homelessness who receive homelessness prevention assistance with moderate income level pursuant to Tex. Gov't Code §2306.152 if used in conjunction with HHSP, or with income less than 30% AMFI if used in conjunction with ESG

HOME Investment Partnerships Program

HOME is authorized under the Cranston-Gonzalez National Affordable Housing Act (42 USC § 12701, et. seq.) and TDHCA receives its HOME funding from HUD.

The purpose of the HOME Program is to expand the supply of decent, safe, and affordable housing for extremely low-, very low- and low-income households and to alleviate the problems of excessive rent burdens, barriers to homeownership, and deteriorating housing stock. HOME strives to meet both the short-term goal of increasing the supply and the availability of affordable housing and the long-term goal of building partnerships between state and local governments and private and nonprofit organizations to strengthen their capacity to meet the diverse affordable housing needs of lower income Texans. To achieve this purpose, HOME provides loans and grants through units of general local government, public housing authorities, CHDOs, nonprofit organizations and other qualified entities to provide assistance to eligible households. Some annual HOME funds awarded by HUD are set aside for specific activities under the Department's One Year Action Plan; those funds not in such set-asides are made available on a regional basis utilizing the Regional Allocation Formula (RAF). The HOME RAF can be found in the TDHCA Allocation Plan section of this Action Plan chapter. TDHCA also periodically releases deobligated and program income funds for programmatic activity that is not subject to the RAF. TDHCA provides technical assistance to all recipients of the HOME Program to ensure that participants meet and follow state implementation guidelines and federal regulations.

According to Tex. Gov't Code §2306.111, in administering HOME funds, the Department shall expend 95% of these funds for the benefit of non-participating small cities and rural areas that do not qualify to receive funds under the Act directly from HUD. This directs HOME funds into rural Texas. As established in Tex. Gov't Code §2306.111(c) and subject to the submission of qualified applications, 5% of the annual HOME allocation and 5% of program income shall be allocated for applications serving persons with disabilities living in any part of the state (called the Persons with Disabilities (PWD) Set-Aside). Federal regulations require a minimum of 15% of the annual HOME allocation be reserved for Community Housing Development Organizations (CHDOs). CHDO set-aside projects are owned, developed, or sponsored by the CHDO and result in the development of multifamily rental units or units for single-family homeownership. In energy efficiency efforts, HOME requires awardees to adhere to the Department's energy efficiency rules.

Tenant-Based Rental Assistance

Tenant-Based Rental Assistance (TBRA) provides rental subsidy, security and utility deposit assistance. This program allows the subrecipient to provide the assisted tenant with funds to live in any dwelling unit with a right to continued assistance, in accordance with written tenant selection policies, for a period not to exceed 24 months. If available, additional funds may be set-aside to provide assistance for up to 60 months for individuals that meet certain program requirements. A HOME-assisted tenant must also participate in a self-sufficiency program. This program may be utilized to address housing issues arising from declared disasters, as well as for assistance provided under the PWD set-aside. These funds are made available as specified in published rules and NOFAs.

Contract for Deed

The Contract for Deed (CFD) activity provides funds to households for the acquisition or the refinancing of their contract for deed, replacing it with a mortgage loan secured by a deed of trust. Assistance is provided in conjunction with the reconstruction of the housing unit. The newly constructed home must be the principal residence of the homebuyer. At completion of construction activities, all properties must meet the International Residential Code, the Department's Energy Efficiency rules, local building codes, zoning ordinances, local construction requirements, and comply with the universal design features in new construction, established by Tex. Gov't Code §2306.514. The terms of the CFD loan through the Department are often more favorable than the household's previous loan term. These funds are made available as specified in published rules and Notices of Funding Availability (NOFAs).

HOME Homebuyer Assistance with New Construction

The Homebuyer Assistance with New Construction (HANC) activity offers low-interest loans for the construction of single-family housing not currently owned and/or occupied by an eligible homebuyer. The loan may also include funds for the acquisition of real property and associated closing costs. The newly constructed home must be the principal residence of the homebuyer. At completion of construction activities, all properties must meet the International Residential Code, the Department's Energy Efficiency rules, local building codes, zoning ordinances, local construction requirements, and must comply with the universal design features in new construction, established by Tex. Gov't Code §2306.514. These funds are made available as specified in published rules and Notices of Funding Availability (NOFAs).

Single Family Development

Single Family Development (SFD) is a CHDO set-aside activity. CHDO activities include acquisition and new construction of affordable single family housing which must be sold to households at or below 80% AMFI. The newly constructed home must be the principal residence of the homebuyer. At completion of construction activities, all properties must meet the International Residential Code, the Department's Energy Efficiency rules, local building codes, zoning ordinances, local construction requirements, and must comply with the universal design features in new construction, established by Tex. Gov't Code §2306.514. CHDOs can also apply for homebuyer assistance if their organization is the developer of the single family housing project. These funds are made available as specified in published rules and NOFAs.

Homeowner Reconstruction Assistance

The Homeowner Reconstruction Assistance (HRA) activity offers grants or zero-interest deferred forgivable loans for reconstruction or new construction of dilapidated housing units to homeowners. The existing and the reconstructed home must be the principal residence of the homeowner. At the completion of construction activities, all properties must meet the International Residential Code, the Department's Energy Efficiency rules, local building codes, zoning ordinances, local construction requirements, and must comply with the universal design features in new construction, established by Tex. Gov't Code §2306.514.

This program may be utilized to address housing issues arising from declared disasters, as well as for assistance provided under the PWD set-aside. These funds are awarded as specified in published rules and NOFAs.

Summary of HOME Program Funding

The HOME Allocation from HUD for Federal Fiscal Year (FFY) 2023 is \$40,806,971 and was made available during State Fiscal Year (SFY) 2024. \$14,401,044 in HOME program income was available in the FFY 2023 allocation. From these funds, an estimated \$24M will be made available for the Single Family Activities described, with the remainder programmed for the Multifamily Direct Loan Program. Funding for FFY 2024 is \$33,936,409 and will be made available during State Fiscal Year (SFY) 2025.

Contact: Individuals seeking assistance may search for local providers in their area online at <https://www.tdhca.texas.gov/help-for-texans> or by calling the Housing Resource Center at 800-525-0657. Program administrators can call the Single Family and Homeless Programs Division by email at HOME@tdhca.texas.gov.

Online documents: See the State of Texas Consolidated Plan: One Year Action Plan at <https://www.tdhca.texas.gov/housing-resource-center-annual-or-biennial-plans-and-reports> for further details on the HOME Program. The HOME Program Rule may be accessed from the TDHCA website at <https://www.tdhca.texas.gov/programs/home-investment-partnerships-program>.

Funding Source: HUD

Type of Assistance: Loans and grants

Recipients: Local service providers including units of local government, public housing authorities, nonprofit organizations, CHDOs, and other qualified entities

Targeted Beneficiaries: Maximum AMFI levels are set by program rules and NOFAs and will vary from 30% AMFI to 80% AMFI, depending on the program.

Colonia Self-Help Center Program

The Division, through the Office of Colonia Initiatives (OCI), acts as a liaison between the Department and the nonprofit organizations and units of local government that administer the Colonia Self-Help Center (CSHC) Program and other Department programs along the Texas-Mexico border, and may work in field offices. The OCI provides technical assistance to nonprofits, for-profits, units of local government, community organizations, and colonia residents along the 150-mile Texas-Mexico border region.

Colonia Self-Help Centers were established in Cameron/Willacy, El Paso, Hidalgo, Starr, and Webb counties per Tex. Gov't Code §2306.582 to provide concentrated attention to five colonias in each county. The Department also established Colonia Self-Help Centers in Maverick and Val Verde counties due to their large population of residents of colonias and their designation as economically distressed counties. HB 2893 (87th Regular Session), added Nueces County to the listing of counties in which TDHCA must establish a Colonia Self-Help Center. The operation of the CSHC Program is funded through a 2.5% set-aside from the Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) Program, a federal entitlement program administered by the Texas Department of Agriculture. Operation of the

CSHC Program in each county is managed by a local nonprofit organization, Community Action Agency (CAA), or local unit of government that has demonstrated capacity to operate a Colonia SHC and been selected by the county.

The CSHC Program provides concentrated on-site assistance to low- and very low-income individuals and families in a variety of ways to improve living conditions, including financing or refinancing of a safe, suitable home and credit and debt counseling. The CSHC Program also offers housing rehabilitation, reconstruction, new construction, surveying and platting, and construction skills training. Lastly, the CSHC Program operates tool libraries to support self-help construction by residents of colonias.

Estimated funding for Federal Fiscal Year (FFY) for the 2024 Colonia SHC Program is \$1,765,342 and will be made available during State Fiscal Year (SFY) 2025. More detail may be found in Section 6: Colonia Action Plan.

Contact: Albert Alvidrez at (915) 834-4925 or albert.alvidrez@tdhca.texas.gov

Online documents: <https://www.tdhca.texas.gov/programs/colonia-self-help-center-program-shc>

Funding Source: HUD

Type of Assistance: Grants and forgivable loans

Recipients: Units of local government, nonprofit organizations, Public Housing Authorities, and CAAs

Targeted Beneficiaries: Households at or below 80% AMFI within targeted colonias

Texas Housing Trust Fund Program

The Texas Housing Trust Fund (Texas HTF) receives general revenue appropriations funding from the State of Texas, including the use of loan repayments from previous projects funded with Texas HTF allocations. Funding is awarded as loans or grants to nonprofits, units of local government, councils of government, local mental health authorities, public agencies and public housing authorities. The targeted beneficiaries of the program are low-, very low- and extremely low-income households. During the Regular Session of the 88th Legislature, the Department was appropriated General Revenue for the Texas HTF in the amount of \$9,960,721 for the 2024-2025 biennium. The 2024-2025 Texas Housing Trust Fund Biennial Plan was presented and approved by the Department's Governing Board at the board meeting of September 7, 2023, and was submitted to appropriate legislative offices as required by the Texas Government Code.

Texas Bootstrap Loan Program

Known as the Owner-Builder Loan Program in Tex. Gov't Code §2306.751, the Texas Bootstrap Loan (Bootstrap) Program provides loans to eligible applicants in any area of the state to purchase or refinance real property on which to build new residential housing, construct new residential housing or improve existing residential housing through sweat-equity. Overseen by eligible administrators of nonprofit owner-builder housing programs, who may be Colonia Self-Help Centers or other nonprofit organizations, the Owner-Builders must provide a minimum of 65% of the labor required to build or rehabilitate the home. Tex. Gov't Code §2306.753(a) directs TDHCA to prioritize assisting Owner-

Builders with an annual income of less than \$17,500. The maximum Bootstrap Program loan amount per Owner-Builder is \$49,500. A portion, but not more than 10%, of annual funding for the Bootstrap Program may be made available to organizations providing capacity building services to increase and expand the utilization of the Bootstrap Program.

Bootstrap Program funding for FY 2024-2025 is an estimated \$3M per year, inclusive of administration funds, with additional funds made available through repayment of prior loans made under the Bootstrap Program.

Contact: Single Family and Homeless Programs Division at or htf@tdhca.texas.gov

Online documents: <https://www.tdhca.texas.gov/texas-bootstrap-loan-program>

Funding Source: Texas HTF

Type of Assistance: Amortizing repayable loans at 0% interest

Recipients: Nonprofit organizations and Colonia Self-Help Centers

Targeted Beneficiaries: Households at or below 80% AMFI

Amy Young Barrier Removal Program

The Amy Young Barrier Removal (AYBR) Program awards grants to units of local government and private nonprofit of up to \$24,750 to persons with disabilities at or below 80% AMFI for accessibility modifications, to eliminate life-threatening hazards and correct unsafe conditions. Modifications may include, but are not limited to, installing handrails; ramps, buzzing or flashing devices; accessible door and faucet handles; shower grab bars and shower wands; accessible showers, toilets and sinks; and door widening and counter adjustments.

AYBR Program funding for FY 2024-2025 is estimated to be \$1.5M per year, inclusive of administration funds, with additional funds made available through repayment of prior loans made under Texas HTF Programs (excluding Bootstrap Program repayment).

Contact: Single Family and Homeless Programs Division at htf@tdhca.texas.gov

Online documents: <https://www.tdhca.texas.gov/amy-young-barrier-removal-program>

Funding Source: Texas HTF

Type of Assistance: Grants

Recipients: Units of local government, non-profit organizations, for-profit organizations, and Public Housing Authorities

Targeted Beneficiaries: 80% AMFI

Section 8 Housing Choice Voucher Program

TDHCA serves as a public housing authority and receives funding for the Housing Choice Voucher (HCV) Program from HUD for counties included in TDHCA's Public Housing Authority (PHA) Plan. The HCV Program provides rental assistance payments on behalf of low-income individuals and families, including older Texans and persons with disabilities.

Eligibility for the HCV is determined by the PHA based on the total annual gross income and family size and is limited to US citizens and specified categories of non-citizens who have eligible immigration status. Eligible households must have a gross income that does not exceed 50% of HUD's median income for the county or metropolitan area in which the family chooses to live. HUD requires 75% of all new voucher holders to the program to be at or below 30 of the area median income. Median income levels are published by HUD and vary by location. Several factors for eligibility consist of household's income, size and composition, citizenship or satisfactory immigrant status, assets and medical and childcare expenses.

TDHCA Program authority is for approximately 2,497 total housing choice vouchers, however the budget authority provided by HUD is not sufficient to utilize this volume of vouchers. The program administers approximately 900 vouchers in 34 counties, or parts of counties, that are not served by local or regional housing voucher programs.

Up to 205 of TDHCA's HCV vouchers are authorized to be utilized anywhere in the state for the Project Access Program, which assists low-income persons with disabilities in transitioning from institutions into the community by providing access to affordable housing to live independently in the community. Aside from serving a special population, Mainstream vouchers (MVP) are administered using the same rules as the other housing choice voucher programs.

The Department also administers 798 Emergency Housing vouchers (EHV) throughout scattered areas of the state of Texas where eligible populations have the greatest need. The EHVs are provided to help assist individuals and families who are (1) homeless, (2) at risk of homelessness, (3) fleeing, or attempting to flee, domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault, stalking, or human trafficking, or (4) recently homeless.

In addition, the Department administers 50 Veterans Assistance Supportive Housing (VASH) vouchers in coordination with the Veterans Administration Medical Centers in Kerr County, Kerrville and Galveston County. The initiative is a collaboration between TDHCA, the U.S. Department of Veterans Affairs (VA), and property owners.

HCV Program funding for FY 2024 is approximately \$6.9 million, excluding EHV. For 2024, funds are awarded monthly based on the number of leased Section 8 Vouchers as shown in HUDs Voucher Management System (VMS).

Contact: Individuals needing assistance with the HCV Program should email phainfo@tdhca.texas.gov. Individuals seeking other forms of local rental assistance may find other Housing Choice Voucher providers online at: <https://www.tdhca.texas.gov/help-for-texans> by selecting "Rent Help" or by calling the Housing Resource Center at 800-525-0657.

Online documents: Additional documentation, including the Housing Choice Voucher Plan, may be accessed at the TDHCA website at <https://www.tdhca.texas.gov/programs/housing-choice-voucher-section-8-housing>.

Funding Source: HUD

Type of Assistance: Grant, rental subsidy

Recipients: Households at or below 50% AMFI

Texas Homeownership Division

The Texas Homeownership Division offers the My First Texas Home (MFTH) Program, My Choice Texas Home (MCTH) Program, Texas Mortgage Credit Certificate (TX MCC) Program, the Texas Statewide Homebuyer Education Program (TSHEP), and Texas Homebuyer U (TXHBU).

My First Texas Home Program

The MFTH Program is funded through (i) the sale of mortgage backed securities (created by pooling mortgage loans originated through the MFTH Program) to third party investors and (ii) the sale of tax-exempt and taxable single family mortgage revenue bonds. The Program is offered on a first-come, first-served basis through a network of participating lenders. The Program provides homeownership opportunities by offering competitive interest rate mortgage loans and down payment assistance for qualified individuals and families whose gross annual household income does not exceed 115% AMFI (100% for households of 2 persons or less), based on IRS adjusted income limits, or 140% AMFI (120% for households of 2 persons or less) if in a targeted area. The purchase price of the home must not exceed stipulated maximum purchase price limits. A minimum of 30% of tax-exempt mortgage revenue bond funds are made available to assist Texans earning less than or equal to 80% AMFI. The Department intends originate and pool MFTH mortgage loans; some will be sold, on the Department's behalf, to third party investors, while others will secure single family mortgage revenue bonds.

Income limits for the program will continue to align with those set by the Internal Revenue Code for tax exempt bond eligibility. These limits are based on income categories determined by HUD. Eligible borrowers must be first-time homebuyers; a first-time homebuyer is anyone who has not had an ownership interest in a primary residence within the last three years. Certain exceptions to the first-time homebuyer requirement, income ceiling, and maximum purchase price limitations apply in targeted areas and/or to qualified Veterans. Targeted areas are defined as qualified census tracts in which 70% or more of the families have an income at or below 80% of the statewide median income and/or are areas of chronic economic distress as designated by the state and approved by the Secretaries of Treasury and HUD, respectively. The Qualified Veterans Exemption to the first-time homebuyer requirement applies to a veteran who has been honorably discharged and has not previously received financing as a first-time homebuyer through a single family mortgage revenue bond program.

MFTH Program funding for FY 2025 is dependent, in part, on continuation of federal authority, but is projected to be \$900,000,000.

Contact: For individuals seeking assistance, call 1-800-792-1119 or go to www.thetexashomebuyerprogram.com to view Frequently Asked Questions and search for Participating Lenders. Mortgage Companies or Banks interested in becoming a participating lender should email the Texas Homeownership Division at txhomebuyer@tdhca.texas.gov

Online documents: www.thetexashomebuyerprogram.com

Funding Source: Sale of Mortgage Backed Securities into the secondary market; Single Family Mortgage Revenue Bonds

Type of Assistance: 30-year fixed-rate mortgage loan financing at competitive interest rates, with down payment assistance in a second lien

Administrators: Participating mortgage lenders

Recipients: Households that are able to qualify for a mortgage loan who earn up to 115% AMFI who meet program guidelines, or 140% AMFI who meet program guidelines in a targeted area

My Choice Texas Home Program

The MCTH is funded through the sale of mortgage backed securities (created by pooling mortgage loans originated through the MCTH Program) to third party investors. The program is open to first time and non-first time homebuyers, on a first-come, first-served basis through a network of participating lenders. The program provides homeownership opportunities by offering competitive interest rate mortgage loans and down payment assistance for qualified individuals and families whose gross annual household income does not exceed: 80% AMFI for the Fannie Mae HFA Preferred Conventional loan product; 125% AMFI (for FHA, VA, USDA Government loan products if in non-targeted areas, or 140% AMFI for FHA, VA, USDA Government loans products if in a targeted area. The purchase price of the home must not exceed established purchase price limits.

MCTH Program funding for FY 2025 is projected to be \$500,000,000.

Contact: For individuals seeking assistance, call 1-800-792-1119 or go to www.thetexashomebuyerprogram.com to view Frequently Asked Questions and search for Participating Lenders. Mortgage Companies or Banks interested in becoming a participating lender should email the Texas Homeownership Division at txhomebuyer@tdhca.texas.gov

Online documents: www.thetexashomebuyerprogram.com

Funding Source: Sale of Mortgage Backed Securities into the secondary market

Type of Assistance: 30-year fixed-rate mortgage loan financing at competitive interest rates, with down payment assistance in a second lien

Administrators: Participating mortgage lenders

Recipients: Households that are able to qualify for a mortgage loan who earn up to 125% AMFI who meet program guidelines, or 140% AMFI who meet program guidelines in a targeted area

Texas Mortgage Credit Certificate

TDHCA has the ability to issue Mortgage Credit Certificates (MCCs) through its tax-exempt bond authority. The program is offered through a network of approved lenders. An MCC provides first-time homebuyers a federal income tax credit, reducing the homebuyer's potential federal income tax liability. The homebuyer can convert a portion of their annual mortgage interest into a direct income tax credit on their U.S. individual income tax return. The credit may be applied for the life of the loan, as long as the home remains the borrower's primary residence. The Department's MCC Program is currently tiered by purchase price to provide the most efficient use of private activity bond cap and ensure borrowers receive the most financial benefit possible. The individual benefit borrowers can potentially receive is based on the interest rate and the outstanding mortgage amount. MCCs with a credit rate greater than 20% have an annual maximum credit of \$2,000. MCCs at or below a 20% MCC credit rate have no annual maximum. The credit cannot be greater than the annual federal

income tax liability, after all other credits and deductions have been taken into account. MCC tax credits in excess of a borrower's current year tax liability may be carried forward for use during the subsequent three years.

The TX MCC Program provides homeownership opportunities for qualified individuals and families whose gross annual household income does not exceed 115% AMFI (100% for households of 2 persons or less), based on IRS adjusted income limits, or 140% AMFI (120% for households of 2 persons or less) if in a targeted area. In order to participate in the TX MCC Program, homebuyers must meet certain eligibility requirements and obtain a mortgage loan through a participating lender. The mortgage loan used in conjunction with the TX MCC Program may be underwritten utilizing Federal Housing Administration (FHA), VA, U.S. Department of Agriculture's Rural Housing Service, or conventional guidelines at prevailing market rates.

A TX MCC may be combined with a taxable mortgage loan product, subject to availability. All borrowers must meet restrictive eligibility requirements of the TX MCC Program.

TX MCC funding for FY 2025 is dependent on continuation of federal authority, but is projected to be \$100,000,000.

Contact: For individuals seeking assistance, call 1-800-792-1119 or go to www.thetexashomebuyerprogram.com to view Frequently Asked Questions and search for Participating Lenders. Mortgage Companies or Banks interested in becoming a participating lender should email the Texas Homeownership Division at txhomebuyer@tdhca.texas.gov

Online documents: www.thetexashomebuyerprogram.com/products/texas-mortgage-credit-certificate-program

Funding Source: Conversion of single family private activity bond authority

Type of Assistance: Individual tax credit that offsets federal income tax liability

Administrators: Participating mortgage lenders

Recipients: Households that are able to qualify for a mortgage loan who earn up to 115% AMFI who meet program guidelines, or 140% AMFI who meet program guidelines in a targeted area

Texas Statewide Homebuyer Education Program

The 75th Texas Legislature passed HB 2577, which charged TDHCA with the development and implementation of a statewide homebuyer education program to provide information and counseling to prospective homebuyers. In 1999, TDHCA created TSHEP to fulfill this mandate. The program leverages the delivery of comprehensive homebuyer education by providing online resources and training to homebuyers.

Currently the program is under review for best way to expand homebuyer education throughout the State of Texas. A list of certified homebuyer education providers along with pertinent program information will continue to be made available and periodically updated on TDHCA's website in addition to Texas Homebuyer U for any individual seeking homebuyer education and counseling services.

Projected TSHEP funding for FY 2025: \$50,000

Contact: Individuals seeking homebuyer classes may search for providers in their area online at www.thetexashomebuyerprogram.com/counselors/counselor-list. For more information on TSHEP call TDHCA at 1-800-792-1119.

Funding Source: State funds

Type of Assistance: Referral services

Recipients: Local nonprofit homebuyer education providers or prospective providers

Targeted Beneficiaries: No AMFI limits

Texas Homebuyer U

TXHBU is a free online tool designed to fulfill the TSHEP mandate to deliver comprehensive homebuyer education for TDHCA's first time homebuyer programs. TXHBU offers two courses: One is a comprehensive pre- and post-purchase tutorial, which satisfies the education requirement for TDHCA's first time homebuyer programs; the other is an introductory course to the TX MCC Program.

Contact: Individuals seeking Texas Homebuyer U classes may register at <https://education.myfirsttexas.com>. For more information on Texas Homebuyer U call TDHCA at 1-800-792-1119.

Type of Assistance: Education

Recipients: Households looking for homebuyer education.

Targeted Beneficiaries: No AMFI limits

Texas Homeowner Assistance Fund (TXHAF)

See Section 5 Pandemic Response for further information.

Texas Rent Relief (TRR)

See Section 5 Pandemic Response for further information.

TDHCA ALLOCATION PLANS

The Department has developed allocation formulas for many TDHCA programs in order to target available housing resources to the neediest households in each uniform state service region. These formulas are based on objective measures of need ensuring an equitable distribution of funding.

Regional Allocation Formula

Tex. Gov't Code §2306.111(d) and §2306.1115 require that TDHCA use a Regional Allocation Formula (RAF) to allocate its HOME, HTC, and HTF funding when programs are funded above a certain amount. This RAF measures the affordable housing need, available resources and other factors determined by the Department to be relevant to the equitable distribution of housing funds in 13 State Service Regions used for planning purposes. Tex. Gov't Code §2306.111(d) requires that the TDHCA RAF consider rural and urban areas in its distribution of program funding. Because of this, allocations for the HOME, HTC, and HTF programs are allocated by rural and urban areas within each region.

As a dynamic measure of need, the RAF is revised annually to reflect updated demographic and resource data, respond to public comment, and better assess regional housing needs and available resources. The RAF is released annually for public comment. Slightly modified versions of the RAF are used for Single Family HOME, Multifamily HOME, HTC, and HTF because the programs have different eligible activities, households and geographical service areas.

The RAF uses the following data from the Census Bureau's latest ACS 5-Year Estimates to calculate the regional need and availability distribution:

- Need factors:
 - 200% of Poverty: Number of persons in the region who live at or under 200% of the poverty line
 - Cost Burden: Number of households with a ratio of monthly gross rent or mortgage payment to monthly household income that exceeds 30%
 - Overcrowded Units: Number of occupied units with more than one person per room.
 - Lack of Kitchen: Number of households lacking kitchen facilities
 - Lack of Plumbing: Number of households lacking plumbing facilities
- Availability factor:
 - Unoccupied Housing Units: Number of vacant units available for rent or for sale
- Regional Coverage Factor:
 - Inverse population density: the amount of land per person in each subregion

The provided RAF tables are example amounts only. The final allocation amounts are calculated by the program area staff following the TDHCA Governing Board's approval of the RAF Methodology for the next state fiscal year. Further, even when final allocation amounts are made available, other

planning considerations further alter the applicability of the RAF and/or the amounts. To the extent funds received/proposed to be used are below the statutory minimum for any program/activity, or if the proposed activities fall into a statutory exception, the RAF will not be used.

HOME Program Regional Allocation Formula

The HOME RAF is specific to the HOME Program's activities. First, because HOME assists homeowners and renters, homeowner data and renter data is used in the RAF to calculate need and availability factors. HOME single-family activities and multifamily activities are measured by different variables. Because HOME offers single-family rehabilitation, lack of kitchen and lack of plumbing are included in the HOME Single Family RAF to measure housing need. Since HOME Single Family programs are typically scattered site and predominately located in rural areas of the state, a Regional Coverage Factor takes into account the smaller populations of rural areas as well as scattered locations of single family projects, instead of relying solely on population as an absolute.

Secondly, Tex. Gov't Code §2306.111 dictates that the Department shall expend 95% of its HOME funds for the benefit of non-Participating Jurisdictions that do not qualify to receive funds directly from HUD. Therefore, housing need and availability in the cities and counties that are Participating Jurisdictions are not included in the state's RAF. The RAF prioritizes funding opportunities for all HOME-funded activities with some exceptions for federal and state mandated set-asides including CHDO Operating Expenses, housing programs for Persons with Disabilities, and the Contract for Deed Program. The following tables provide examples for the combined regional funding distribution for all of the HOME activities distributed under the RAF, such as the CHDO developments, rental housing development, and various single-family activities.

These tables do not reflect funds available for FY2025. They are examples only.

Example HOME Single Family Program 2025 RAF

| Region | Large MSA within Region for Geographical Reference | Example Regional Funding Amount | Example Regional Funding % | Example Rural Funding Amount | Example Urban Funding Amount |
|--------|--|---------------------------------|----------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|
| 1 | Lubbock | \$781,827.99 | 5.2% | \$627,922.87 | \$153,905.12 |
| 2 | Abilene | \$665,620.43 | 4.4% | \$499,709.64 | \$165,910.80 |
| 3 | Dallas/Fort Worth | \$2,692,874.08 | 18.0% | \$390,994.91 | \$2,301,879.17 |
| 4 | Tyler | \$1,319,295.98 | 8.8% | \$830,399.57 | \$488,896.41 |
| 5 | Beaumont | \$820,084.82 | 5.5% | \$601,333.21 | \$218,751.61 |
| 6 | Houston | \$825,822.54 | 5.5% | \$301,233.69 | \$524,588.85 |
| 7 | Austin/Round Rock | \$1,706,142.21 | 11.4% | \$214,849.69 | \$1,491,292.52 |
| 8 | Waco | \$1,051,447.23 | 7.0% | \$446,253.21 | \$605,194.02 |
| 9 | San Antonio | \$713,560.16 | 4.8% | \$302,738.97 | \$410,821.18 |
| 10 | Corpus Christi | \$733,596.98 | 4.9% | \$507,428.27 | \$226,168.71 |
| 11 | Brownsville/Harlingen | \$957,838.72 | 6.4% | \$598,954.50 | \$358,884.23 |
| 12 | San Angelo | \$851,545.23 | 5.7% | \$540,834.06 | \$310,711.17 |
| 13 | El Paso | \$1,880,343.62 | 12.5% | \$1,537,623.27 | \$342,720.35 |
| | Total | \$15,000,000.00 | 100.00% | \$7,400,275.85 | \$7,599,724.15 |

Example HOME Multifamily Program 2025 RAF

| Region | Large MSA within Region for Geographical Reference | Example Regional Funding Amount | Example Regional Funding % | Example Rural Funding Amount | Example Urban Funding Amount |
|--------|--|---------------------------------|----------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|
| 1 | Lubbock | \$454,558.71 | 3.6% | \$339,470.98 | \$115,087.73 |
| 2 | Abilene | \$416,940.32 | 3.3% | \$311,859.07 | \$105,081.25 |
| 3 | Dallas/Fort Worth | \$2,499,586.34 | 20.0% | \$376,112.24 | \$2,123,474.10 |
| 4 | Tyler | \$1,269,310.06 | 10.2% | \$855,458.61 | \$413,851.45 |
| 5 | Beaumont | \$698,135.25 | 5.6% | \$586,942.31 | \$111,192.94 |
| 6 | Houston | \$819,740.72 | 6.6% | \$269,651.12 | \$550,089.60 |
| 7 | Austin/Round Rock | \$1,914,597.90 | 15.3% | \$168,422.28 | \$1,746,175.62 |
| 8 | Waco | \$1,129,985.36 | 9.0% | \$331,595.41 | \$798,389.95 |
| 9 | San Antonio | \$709,802.08 | 5.7% | \$266,561.02 | \$443,241.06 |
| 10 | Corpus Christi | \$508,070.49 | 4.1% | \$410,075.40 | \$97,995.10 |
| 11 | Brownsville/Harlingen | \$1,051,972.11 | 8.4% | \$609,697.55 | \$442,274.57 |
| 12 | San Angelo | \$465,742.54 | 3.7% | \$191,204.08 | \$274,538.47 |
| 13 | El Paso | \$561,558.12 | 4.5% | \$34,081.83 | \$527,476.28 |
| | Total | \$12,500,000.00 | 100.00% | \$4,751,131.88 | \$7,748,868.12 |

Texas Housing Trust Fund Program Regional Allocation Formula

According to Tex. Gov't Code §2306.111(d-1)(3), the RAF does not apply to activities with less than \$3,000,000 of funding. The Texas Bootstrap Loan Program has not received more than \$3,000,000. Tex. Gov't Code §2306.111(d-1)(2) also dictates that the RAF does not apply to activities primarily designed to serve persons with disabilities, and therefore the Amy Young Barrier Removal Program is exempt from the RAF. However, a regional dispersion may be utilized when releasing Amy Young Barrier Removal Program funds through the reservation system to ensure that all rural and urban areas of the state have an opportunity to access funds. No Texas HTF funds are subject to the RAF for SFY 2024.

Housing Tax Credit Regional Allocation Formula

In accordance with Tex. Gov't Code §§2306.111(d) and 2306.1115, TDHCA allocates HTC Program funds to each State Service Region using a need-based formula developed by the Department. For HTC, because the program only assists renters, only renter data was used in the RAF.

The HTC RAF provides for a minimum of \$600,000 in each rural and urban state service region, and the HTC allocation methodology ensures that a minimum of 20% of the state's tax credit amount is awarded to rural areas.

Example Housing Tax Credit Program 2025 RAF

| Region | Place for Geographical Reference | Example Regional Funding Amount | Example Regional Funding % | Example Rural Funding Amount | Example Urban Funding Amount |
|--------|----------------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|
| 1 | Lubbock | \$1,792,467.93 | 2.8% | \$648,894.36 | \$1,143,573.57 |
| 2 | Abilene | \$1,233,850.47 | 1.9% | \$600,000.00 | \$633,850.47 |
| 3 | Dallas/Fort Worth | \$15,040,567.88 | 23.1% | \$642,288.10 | \$14,398,279.78 |
| 4 | Tyler | \$2,427,729.57 | 3.7% | \$1,427,090.35 | \$1,000,639.22 |
| 5 | Beaumont | \$1,932,042.63 | 3.0% | \$1,005,744.56 | \$926,298.07 |
| 6 | Houston | \$14,723,603.47 | 22.7% | \$600,000.00 | \$14,123,603.47 |
| 7 | Austin/Round Rock | \$5,623,090.24 | 8.7% | \$600,000.00 | \$5,023,090.24 |
| 8 | Waco | \$3,164,398.13 | 4.9% | \$600,000.00 | \$2,564,398.13 |
| 9 | San Antonio | \$6,135,396.62 | 9.4% | \$600,000.00 | \$5,535,396.62 |
| 10 | Corpus Christi | \$1,787,055.68 | 2.7% | \$700,603.71 | \$1,086,451.97 |
| 11 | Brownsville/Harlingen | \$6,461,727.15 | 9.9% | \$975,754.14 | \$5,485,973.01 |
| 12 | San Angelo | \$1,527,945.19 | 2.4% | \$600,000.00 | \$927,945.19 |
| 13 | El Paso | \$3,150,125.03 | 4.8% | \$600,000.00 | \$2,550,125.03 |
| | Total | \$65,000,000.00 | 100.0% | \$9,600,375.22 | \$55,399,624.78 |

Further, TDHCA is required by §42(m)(1) of the Internal Revenue Code and Tex. Gov't Code §2306.6702 to develop an annual Qualified Allocation Plan (QAP) to establish the procedures and requirements relating to the allocation of Housing Tax Credits. The QAP is revised annually in a process that involves public input, Board approval and ultimately approval by the Governor. Under the competitive HTC program, to be considered for an award of housing tax credits, an application must be submitted to TDHCA during the annual application acceptance period as published in the QAP. All applications must provide the required fee, application and supporting documentation as required by the QAP and the Department's rules, as well as meeting all eligibility and threshold requirements.

For more information on the RAF and further description of the formula, please contact the Housing Resource Center at (512) 475-3976.

POLICY INITIATIVES

The mission of the Texas Department of Housing and Community Affairs is to administer its assigned programs efficiently, transparently, and lawfully and to invest its resources strategically and develop high quality affordable housing which allows Texas communities to thrive. In addition to the goals established by the Department's Legislative Appropriations Request, the Riders in the General Appropriations Act and state law, TDHCA continues to search for new ways to meet its mission. The following are policy initiatives for TDHCA. A vast amount of TDHCA's efforts since March 2020 have gone toward expanding existing programs and establishing new programs to deliver assistance to communities and households affected by the pandemic.

Fair Housing

Through education, outreach, training, program administration, monitoring, and rule provision, TDHCA works to ensure that its housing and assistance programs are compliant with HUD's requirements and regulations regarding fair housing.

Education, Outreach, and Training

The Texas Workforce Commission's (TWC) Civil Rights Division (CRD) is tasked with enforcing the State of Texas's Fair Housing Act, which was passed in 1989 and prohibits discrimination based on race, color, national origin, sex, religion, familial status, and disabilities in homeownership or rental housing opportunities. TDHCA works with TWC to ensure that prospective applicants and residents are aware of TWC's complaint process and that owners and management agents operating TDHCA properties and programs are aware of their responsibilities under the Federal and State Fair Housing Act. TWC offers free fair housing training. TDHCA staff also offers webinar training opportunities throughout the year, and more tailored training upon request to help ensure equal access to TDHCA programs. All TDHCA new hires complete fair housing training within the first 90 days of employment. The HUD-approved training is provided online, at no cost through the TWC CRD.

Each April, in celebration of Fair Housing Month, TDHCA and TWC collaborate to present an online webinar series providing an overview of Fair Housing and other topics such as the basics of the reasonable accommodation process and guidance on assistance animals. Materials presented at these annual webinars are available on TDHCA's Fair Housing webpages at <https://www.tdhca.texas.gov/fair-housing-presentations> and on the Department's YouTube channel.

TDHCA's Fair Housing team was awarded a Fair Housing Education Outreach and Initiative (FHEOI) grant in 2023. The purpose of the grant is to expand the reach and visibility of Fair Housing materials generated by a 2020 FHEOI grant and further promote the initiatives of the TDHCA Analysis of Impediments.

TDHCA's Fair Housing team provides approval for and maintains a list of Certified Fair Housing training providers. The list of these approved trainers is available on the Department's website and is used by Development Owners, managers, architects and engineers as it relates to multifamily residential rental developments awarded under the Department's Housing Tax Credit Program or other multifamily loan programs. Certified Fair Housing training providers may be approved for a period of two years, after which they must re-submit their qualifications for subsequent approval by Fair Housing staff.

The Department's Language Access Plan is revised biennially and defines the actions to be taken by the Department to ensure meaningful access to agency services, programs, and activities for persons who have Limited English Proficiency. The agency contracts with third-party translation and interpreting services through two vendors available on an as-needed basis. Those who are unable to speak, read, write, or understand the English language may call the Department to request translation assistance with any document, event or other information from the Department.

Program Administration and Monitoring

Annually TDHCA's Fair Housing team examines HUD's Fair Market Rents (FMRs) and Small Area Fair Market Rents (SAFMRs) to determine if payment standards in the Department's Housing Choice

Voucher (HCV) Program service area may need to be adjusted to expand tenant housing choices. In areas where market rents are high and there is high demand for rental units, it can be challenging for a voucher holder to find a unit. The establishment of the Department's HCV payment standards are important because it determines whether a household will be able to find a unit they can afford with the voucher the Department issues.

The Fair Housing team has assumed the role of reviewing the Written Policies and Procedures and Affirmative Marketing Plans of multifamily properties that are monitored by TDHCA. These reviews are conducted on a 5 year schedule and may also be required based on complaints received about the properties.

The Department is also the lead agency in generating the State's comprehensive five-year fair housing planning document called the Analysis of Impediments to Fair Housing Choice (AI) The Fair Housing team is in charge of this process and coordinates with other agencies receiving HUD Community Planning and Development funds to produce the required analysis. The Fair Housing team visited locations across the State in order to garner input and identify impediments to fair housing choice and elicited extensive input and comment for the 2024 AI. The next AI will be due in late 2029 and the Fair Housing team will continue to play the lead role in its production.

Homeless Individual Camping (HIC) Plans

During the 87th Regular Legislative Session, the Texas Legislature passed HB 1925 which established prohibitions on camping in public places, created a criminal offense in Texas Penal Code for prohibited camping, and established new Subchapter PP of Chapter 2306 of the Texas Government Code, entitled Property Designated by Political Subdivision for Camping by Homeless Individuals.

This addition to the Texas Government Code provides that a political subdivision may not designate a property to be used by homeless individuals to camp unless the Texas Department of Housing and Community Affairs (TDHCA) has approved a plan submitted by the political subdivision. TDHCA has established rules and procedures for how local municipalities can submit HIC Plans.

To date no HIC Plans have been submitted to TDHCA for approval. More information on HIC Plans can be found at <https://www.tdhca.texas.gov/homeless-individual-camping-hic-plans>

Disaster Recovery

TDHCA does not receive funds designated for disaster relief, but as available, may provide deobligated, discretionary, or other funds for disaster relief support. TDHCA's practice is to maintain a HOME Disaster Relief (HOME DR) fund balance of \$1 million from deobligated funds and program income whenever possible. Additionally, a portion of CSBG Discretionary funds are typically held through a portion of the year should a Community Action Agency need additional funds to respond to a disaster in their coverage area. As with all TDHCA programs, funding for the Department's disaster relief activities is subject to availability. All activities supported through TDHCA funding must follow applicable program rules, including but not limited to eligible applicants, beneficiaries, activities, etc., unless otherwise waived.

SPECIAL NEEDS POPULATIONS

Elderly Persons

The MF Direct Loan Program, HTC Program, and MF Bond Program require owners to provide resident supportive services for the benefit of the residents.

CSBG eligible entities operate programs targeting the elderly. Such programs include Meals-on-Wheels, congregate meal programs, senior activity centers and home care services.

The Department's CEAP and WAP give preference to the elderly as well as other special needs and priority populations. Subrecipients must conduct outreach activities for these special needs populations.

Homeowner Reconstruction Assistance, offered through the HOME Program, and the Amy Young Barrier Removal Program, funded with the Texas Housing Trust Fund, provide funds for the repair and rehabilitation of homes owned by low-income households. Many of the assisted households are aging Texans, thereby facilitating their ability to remain in their communities, keep existing social networks intact, and decrease dependence on institutional assistance.

Farmworkers

TDHCA addresses farmworker issues by licensing and inspecting migrant labor housing facilities and conducting periodic studies on farmworker needs. In addition, the CSBG and HTC programs serve or prioritize funding for farmworkers.

The QAP, which governs the Competitive 9% HTC Program, offers points in the scoring criteria for developments that propose to set aside 5% of the units for persons with special needs. One of the nine special needs categories for the HTC Program is farmworkers.

In addition, the CSBG and HTC Programs serve or prioritize funding for education and employment assistance and services for Native American and migrant and seasonal farmworkers. TDHCA set aside a portion of its Program Year 2024-25 CSBG state discretionary funds to fund educational and employment opportunities for migrant and seasonal farmworker and Native American populations. The Department's CSBG State Plan approved by USHHS includes Native Americans and migrant and seasonal farmworker populations as special populations eligible for services provided by CSBG state discretionary funds.

Persons Experiencing Homelessness

While the HTC Program is well-known and primarily used for the construction, acquisition and/or rehabilitation of housing that serves the general population or elderly populations, it also is used to develop permanent supportive housing for homeless populations. Each year several awards are made to such developments. Moreover, the QAP, which governs the Competitive 9% HTC Program, offers points in the scoring criteria for developments that propose to set aside 5% of the units for persons with special needs. One of the nine special needs categories for the HTC Program is homeless populations. The QAP also offers points for Development that set aside an additional 2% of the total Units to Persons referred from the Continuum of Care or local homeless service providers to

be made available for those experiencing homelessness; to garner these points developments cannot reject an applicant for reasons of credit history or prior rental payment history.

TDHCA administers the CSBG Program, CEAP, LIHWAP, ESG, HHSP, HSS, EHV, and HOME-ARP to serve persons at risk of homelessness or experiencing homelessness.

During the 88th legislature, two set-asides of funds were established to address homelessness for specific populations. The Department must set-aside \$400,000 in general revenue each year of the 2024-2025 biennium to fund initiatives to assist veterans experiencing homelessness. Eligible subrecipients provide homeless prevention or homeless assistance to veterans experiencing homelessness in San Antonio, Houston, Dallas, and Austin. Additionally, \$1M was appropriated in a supplemental appropriation to address youth homelessness in Fort Bend County. These funds are not yet programmed, but the Department continues to perform outreach to identify eligible subrecipients.

Persons Living With HIV/AIDS and Their Families

The QAP, which governs TDHCA's Competitive 9% HTC program, offers points in the scoring criteria for developments that propose to set aside 5% of the units for persons with special needs; one of the nine special needs categories for the HTC Program is persons with HIV/AIDS.

Persons with Disabilities (Mental, Physical, and Developmental)

TDHCA plays an active role in the Housing and Health Services Coordination Council (HHSCC) which provides critical input on behalf of people with disabilities. TDHCA's involvement with this group is described in the "Community Involvement" section of the Public Participation section of this document. In addition to its relationships with the HHSCC, the Department is also an active member of the Statewide Behavioral Health Coordinating Council. The Department shows its commitment to reducing impediments to affordable housing for persons with disabilities in a variety of programs, policies, and rules designed to reach persons with disabilities across the state. These items are not limited to, but include the following:

ESG Subrecipients may choose to prioritize certain subpopulations to serve with their ESG state funds. TDHCA awards more points to applicants for competitive funds whose ESG programs would serve subpopulations that typically have higher barriers to obtaining and maintaining housing, which includes persons experiencing chronic homelessness, for which the definition includes a disabling condition; persons experiencing severe mental illness; and persons with substance abuse disorders.

TDHCA is one of 31 states awarded funds by HUD for the Section 811 PRA Program. TDHCA was awarded the full amount requested for HUD's 2012, 2013, and 2019 rounds. These three grants provide project-based rental assistance for extremely low-income persons with disabilities in eight MSAs in Texas, including Austin-Round Rock; Brownsville-Harlingen; Corpus Christi, Dallas-Fort Worth-Arlington; El Paso; Houston-The Woodlands-Sugar Land; McAllen-Edinburg-Mission; and San Antonio-New Braunfels. Eligible households must include a member of one of the following Target Populations: 1) Persons Exiting Nursing Facilities 2) Persons Exiting Intermediate Care Facilities for People with Intellectual and Developmental Disabilities 3) Youth and Young Adults Exiting Foster Care with Disabilities; and 4) Persons with Serious Mental Illness. The service areas of the program and target populations selected were the result of an extensive public input process involving persons with disabilities, developers, advocates and state agencies. The purpose of this program is

to provide long-term project-based rental assistance contracts for affordable housing units set aside for extremely low-income persons with disabilities. TDHCA entered into an Inter-Agency Agreement with Texas HHSC and DFPS, which was a requirement of the Section 811 PRA grant application. This Inter-Agency Agreement outlines the targeted populations for the Section 811 PRA Program, methods of outreach and referral and commitments of availability of services from Texas HHSC and DFPS contractors.

Highlights specific to Multifamily Properties:

- The MF Direct Loan Program, HTC Program, and MF Bond Program rental developments must conform to Section 504 standards, which require that at least 5% of the development's units be accessible for persons with physical disabilities and at least 2% of the units be accessible for person with hearing and visual impairments. The 2022 QAP requires some otherwise exempt Developments to comply with Fair Housing accessibility requirements.
- Tex. Gov't Code Chap. 2306 and TDHCA's QAP require all TDHCA Multifamily properties funded after September 1, 2001, to operate in compliance with Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973. Owners are required to pay for reasonable accommodations/modifications requested by persons with a disability. TDHCA's Fair Housing Team and Compliance Division have produced a Tenant Rights and Resources Guide for TDHCA Monitored Properties that highlights rights to reasonable accommodations and ways to file discrimination complaints in the state of Texas.
- The QAP includes a requirement that TDHCA Multifamily units originally occupied on or after March 13, 1991, meet certain standards for visitability. The standards are designed so that residents who do not require a fully accessible unit will be able to use it, and residents of all units will be able to have visitors with mobility disabilities.

In addition, advocates for the aging and persons with disabilities continue to stress the importance that these populations have the ability to live independently and remain in their own homes and communities. Advocates consider access to rehabilitation funds for accessibility modifications of single-family housing a priority. Through the Amy Young Barrier Removal Program, the rehabilitation funds perform minor physical modifications such as the installation of handrails, grab bars, and ramps, as well as the construction of wheelchair-accessible bathrooms and kitchens, thus making existing units livable and providing a cost-effective and consumer-driven alternative to institutionalization. Likewise, the availability of rental vouchers that provide options beyond institutional settings is a high priority. Since many persons with disabilities and older Texans live on fixed incomes, such as Supplemental Security Income, another recognized need is deeply affordable rents.

As established in Tex. Gov't Code §2306.111(c) and subject to the submission of qualified applications, 5% of the annual HOME Program allocation, including 5% of HOME Program Income, is allocated for serving persons with disabilities living in any part of the state. The 2023 HOME Investment Partnerships Program Persons with Disabilities Set-Asides Reservation System NOFA allows administrators to provide tenant-based rental assistance and homeowner reconstruction assistance under the Persons with Disabilities Set-Aside. Furthermore, construction activities for single family housing allowed for an increased budget for accessibility features requested by households for accessibility modifications.

Priority for energy assistance through CEAP and WAP are given to persons with disabilities as well as other special needs and prioritized groups. Local providers must implement special outreach efforts for these special needs populations. In addition, five million in CDBG CARES funding has been targeted for providers and facilities that assist persons with disabilities.

Integrated Housing Rule

Integrated housing, as defined by SB 367 and passed by the 77th Texas Legislature, is “housing in which a person with a disability resides or may reside that is found in the community but that is not exclusively occupied by persons with disabilities and their care providers.” The Department, with the assistance of the TDHCA Disability Advisory Workgroup, developed an integrated housing rule to address this concern. The Integrated Housing Rule, for use by all Department housing programs, was updated in March 2021, found at 10 TAC §1.15, and is summarized as follows:

A household with disabilities is a household composed of one or more persons, at least one of whom is an individual who is determined to have a physical or mental impairment that substantially limits one or more major life activities; or having a record of such an impairment; or being regarded as having such an impairment.

A housing development may not restrict occupancy solely to households with disabilities unless required by a federal funding source.

- Large housing developments (50 units or more) shall provide no more than 25% of the units of the development set aside exclusively for households with disabilities. The units must be dispersed throughout the development.
- Small housing developments (less than 50 units) shall provide no more than 36% of the units of the development set aside exclusively for households with disabilities. These units must be dispersed throughout the development.
- Set-aside percentages outlined previously refer only to the units that are to be solely restricted for households with disabilities. This section does not prohibit a property from having a higher percentage of occupants with disabilities.
- Property owners may not market a housing development entirely, nor limit occupancy to, households with disabilities.

Exceptions to the rule are made for rental transitional housing, shelters and rental or ownership of scattered site single family developments with no more than four units per non-adjacent lot, and for cases in which the TDHCA Board provides a waiver and affirms that the waiver of the rule is necessary to serve a population or subpopulation that would not be adequately served without the waiver, and that the Development, even with the waiver, does not substantially deviate from the principle of Integrated Housing.

Persons with Substance Use Disorders

TDHCA addresses the needs of persons with alcohol and substance use issues through the ESG, HTC, HSS, and HTC programs.

The HTC Program QAP offers points in the scoring criteria for developments that propose to set aside 5% of the units for persons with special needs. One of the nine special needs categories for the HTC Program is persons with alcohol and substance use disorders.

TDHCA addresses the needs of persons with alcohol and substance use issues through the HTC and ESG programs.

Additionally, ESG Subrecipients may choose to prioritize certain subpopulations to serve with their ESG state funds. During the ESG funding cycle, TDHCA awards more points to applicants for competitive funds whose ESG programs would serve subpopulations that typically have higher barriers to obtaining and maintaining housing, including persons with substance use disorders.

Persons with Violence Against Women Act (VAWA) Protections

The QAP, which governs the Competitive 9% HTC program, offers points in the scoring criteria for developments that propose to set aside 5% of the units for persons with special needs. One of the nine special needs categories for the HTC Program is persons protected by the VAWA.

The Texas HHS Family Violence Program provides emergency shelter and support services to victims and their children, educates the public, and provides training and prevention support to various agencies. Services can include hotline services, information and referral, counseling, assistance in obtaining medical care and employment, and transportation services.

ESG Subrecipients may choose to prioritize certain subpopulations to serve with their ESG program, including survivors of domestic violence. The State ESG program typically funds a number of programs serving survivors of domestic violence because many shelters in Texas serve that subpopulation and in the competition for funds, their applications have scored competitively.

The allocation formula for HHSP funds includes incidents of family violence, as determined by reports from local police departments, in assessing the amount of funds received by each community. This increases HHSP funding available in communities with disproportionate instances of family violence.

Residents of Colonias

The OCI, HOME, Texas HTF, and HTC programs provide incentives to serve or prioritize the special needs of colonia residents.

The QAP, which governs the Competitive 9% HTC Program, offers points in the scoring criteria for developments that propose to set aside 5% of the units for persons with special needs. One of the nine special needs categories for the HTC Program is colonia residents. In addition, the QAP offers points for developments located in underserved areas, which includes colonias.

The HOME Program administers the Contract for Deed activity to assist households with the acquisition of property held in an executor contract for conveyance, also known as a contract for deed. This instrument was prevalent in colonia areas, and funding for the CFD is initially set-aside for colonia residents for a minimum of 60 days before being made available outside of colonias. CFD assistance providers may also provide refinancing of loan terms in conjunction with providing funds for the reconstruction of substandard units.

In 1996, TDHCA created the OCI in an effort to place greater emphasis on addressing the needs of persons residing in colonias. The OCI is charged with implementing some of the Department's legislative initiatives and programs involving border and colonia issues. The fundamental goal of the OCI is to improve the living conditions and lives of border and colonia residents and to educate the

public regarding the services that the Department has to offer. The OCI provides technical assistance to colonia residents and the entities that serve them. The OCI is instrumental in facilitating the success of the Colonia Self-Help Centers.

Residents of Public Housing

The HTC Program is consistently used for the redevelopment of public housing authority property, which is mostly being accomplished through HUD's Rental Assistance Demonstration Program (RAD).

TDHCA believes that the future success of Public Housing Authorities (PHAs) will center on ingenuity in program design, maximizing resources, emphasis on resident participation towards economic self-sufficiency and partnerships with other organizations to address the needs of this population. While TDHCA does not have any direct or indirect jurisdiction over the management or operations of public housing authorities, it does maintain a relationship with these service providers, and PHAs can access HOME funding for single family activities including Homeowner Reconstruction Assistance, Homebuyer Assistance with New Construction, and Tenant-Based Rental Assistance.

Veterans and Wounded Warriors

The QAP, which governs the 9% Competitive HTC program, offers points in the scoring criteria for developments that propose to set aside 5% of the units for persons with special needs. One of the nine special needs categories for the HTC Program is veterans and wounded warriors. In addition, the QAP requires that development owners affirmatively market to veterans.

In addition to operating a project-based Veterans Assistance Supportive Housing (VASH) contract in Kerrville, TDHCA also administers tenant-based VASH vouchers in the Fort Bend and Galveston jurisdictional area. The initiative is a collaboration between TDHCA and the U.S. Department of Veterans Affairs (VA).

ESG Subrecipients may choose to prioritize certain subpopulations to serve with their ESG state funds. TDHCA awards more points to applicants whose ESG programs would serve subpopulations that typically have higher barriers to obtaining and maintaining housing, including veterans.

Youth and Young Adults Aging Out of Foster Care

Under the HTC Program, full-time, income eligible students are eligible to live in a tax credit property if he or she was previously under the care and placement of a foster care agency.

The Department is one of 31 states awarded funds for the Section 811 PRA Program by HUD. The purpose of this program is to provide long-term project-based rental assistance for extremely low-income persons with disabilities. Youth and young adults exiting foster care with disabilities are one of the target populations for this grant.

ESG Subrecipients may choose to prioritize certain subpopulations to serve with their ESG state funds. During the ESG funding cycle, TDHCA awards more points to applicants whose ESG programs would serve subpopulations that typically have higher barriers to obtaining and maintaining housing, including unaccompanied youth, parenting youth, and children of parenting youth. While the funds are not set-aside for youth aging out of foster care, incentivizing provision of services to youth populations includes youth aging out of foster care.

Youth Experiencing Homelessness

In 2019, the 86 (R) Texas Legislative Session passed House Bill (HB) 2564, which amended Tex. Gov't Code §2306.0721 (c) to include homeless youth as a population with special housing needs within the State's Low Income Housing Plan. HB2564 defines homeless youth as any individual younger than 25 years of age who is homeless. TDHCA administers the CSBG Program, ESG Program, Housing Stability Services, EH Fund, and HHSP programs to serve persons at risk of homelessness or experiencing homelessness. These programs can also serve youth experiencing homelessness as defined by Tex. Gov't Code 2306.0721 (c)(2).

Additionally, the legislature appropriated \$5.8 million in funding to the HHSP Program for the 2024-2025 biennium. These funds are allocated to HHSP subrecipients and may be utilized to provide services, including case management, emergency shelter, street outreach, and transitional living to unaccompanied homeless youth and young adults aged 24 or younger.

SECTION 5: PANDEMIC RESPONSE AND OTHER INITIATIVES

On March 4, 2020, the Texas Department of State Health Services announced Texas' first positive COVID-19 case. According to the Texas Department of State Health Services as of August 28, 2023, Texas has recorded 8,726,250 cases of COVID-19 and 92,754 COVID-19 related deaths. In a May 15, 2023 Press Release Governor Abbott renewed the disaster proclamation issued on March 13, 2020, certifying under Section 418.014 of the Texas Government Code that the novel coronavirus (COVID- 19) poses an imminent threat of disaster for all counties in the State of Texas. According to the Harvard Joint Center on Housing Policy the COVID-19 pandemic impacted already vulnerable renters and homeowners by increasing the number of individuals that are both behind on housing payments and the number of individuals that have incurred a loss of income during the pandemic (Harvard Joint Center for Housing Studies, 2021).

FEDERAL FUNDING

Shortly after the beginning of the pandemic the federal government began enacting legislation aimed at helping renters and homeowners. On March 27, 2020, the Coronavirus Aid Relief and Economic Security Act (CARES),—a \$2.2 trillion Federal stimulus bill—was passed. On December 28, 2020, the \$920 billion Consolidated Appropriations Act was passed. The final bill during SFY 2021, the \$1.9 trillion dollar American Rescue Plan (ARP), passed on March 11, 2021. On April 2, 2020, TDHCA began receiving federal funds allocated to combat the COVID-19 pandemic. Additionally, under the Infrastructure Investment and Jobs Act (IIJA) two further allocations of funds were received as reflected below. In addition, the chart below includes funding provided through the Continuing Appropriations Act of 2023. In total, TDHCA has been allocated or reprogrammed pandemic or other temporary funds totaling \$4,629,321,498, which are listed below. TDHCA has used these federal funds to combat the COVID-19 pandemic by creating new programs and providing supplemental allocations to existing programs.

TDHCA PANDEMIC RESPONSE PROGRAMS

| Program | Division | Availability/Eligibility | Served to date (09/07/24) | Total Program Funding | Expended Funding |
|-----------------------------------|------------|--|---------------------------|-----------------------|------------------------|
| CARES ACT | | | | | |
| CDBG CARES – Phases I, II and III | CDBG CARES | Income Eligibility: For households at or below 80% of AMI. | 3,533,842 persons | \$141,846,258 | \$104,072,914 (73.37%) |

CORONAVIRUS RELIEF BILL – PART OF THE CONSOLIDATED APPROPRIATIONS ACT OF 2021

| | | | | | |
|---|-------------------|--|--------------------|-----------------|-------------------------|
| Emergency Rental Assistance 1 & 2 (Texas Rent Relief Program) | Texas Rent Relief | Available statewide. Income Eligibility: For households at or below 80% AMI. | 323,124 Households | \$2,476,379,943 | \$2,458,472,358 (98.8%) |
| Housing Stability Services (ERA1 & ERA2) | HSS Division | Available statewide. Income Eligibility: For households at or below 80% AMI. | 80,070 Households | \$213,345,621 | \$114,843,918 (76.54%) |

AMERICAN RESCUE PLAN (ARP) – Public Law 117-2

| | | | | | |
|----------|----------|--|-----------|---------------|--------------------|
| HOME-ARP | HOME ARP | Household Eligibility: For homeless, at risk of homelessness, those fleeing Domestic Violence, or others with housing instability. | 331 Units | \$132,969,147 | \$24,831,208 (21%) |
|----------|----------|--|-----------|---------------|--------------------|

| | | | | | |
|--|-------------------|--|-------------------|---------------|------------------------|
| Homeowner Assistance Fund (HAF) | Homeownership | Households with incomes equal to or less than the greater of (i) 100% of AMI or (ii) 100% of national median income. | 58,522 households | \$842,214,006 | \$739,241,643 (99.98%) |
| Emergency Housing Vouchers (EHV) | Section 8 | Income Eligibility: Not to exceed 50% of AMI | 805 of 798 Housed | \$11,490,348 | \$11,575,936 (145.91%) |
| Infrastructure Investment and Jobs Act – Public Law 117-58 | | | | | |
| LIHEAP | Community Affairs | Available statewide Income Eligibility: 150% of poverty | 20,461 persons | \$37,661,920 | \$16,120,820 (42.80%) |
| BIL WAP | Community Affairs | Income Eligibility: 200% of poverty | 787 Units | \$173,162,598 | \$6,510,026 (3.76%) |
| Continuing Appropriations Act, 2023 – Public Law 117-180 | | | | | |
| LIHEAP (23CR) Supplemental Funding | Community Affairs | Available statewide Income Eligibility: 150% of poverty | 193,639 persons | \$84,732,886 | \$77,451,844 (91.41%) |
| LIHEAP (23CR) Supplemental Disaster Funding | Community Affairs | Available statewide Income Eligibility: 150% of poverty | 79,701 Persons | \$55,322,964 | \$44,530,529 (80.96%) |
| *All dollar amounts represented are as of September ,5 2024. | | | | | |
| CLOSED/COMPLETED PROGRAMS | | | | | |
| LIHEAP CARES | Community Affairs | Available statewide | 181,215 | \$94,023,896 | \$63,898,418 |

Pandemic Response

| | | | | | |
|---|-------------------|--|------------------|---|------------------------------------|
| | | Income Eligibility: 150% of poverty | persons | | (68%) |
| LIHEAP ARP | Community Affairs | Available statewide Income Eligibility: 150% of poverty | 194,061 persons | \$134,407,308 | \$132,058,416 (98.25%) |
| Low-Income Household Water Assistance Program (LIHWAP1) | Community Affairs | Geography: Statewide Income Eligibility: 150% Poverty | 183,687 persons | \$51,801,876 | \$47,557,420 (91.81%) |
| LIHWAP2 | Community Affairs | Income Eligibility: TBD | 96,691 persons | \$40,597,082 | \$37,992,947 (93.41%) |
| CSBG CARES | Community Affairs | Available statewide Income Eligibility: 200% of poverty (normally is 125%) | 146,462 persons | \$48,102,282 | \$47,942,629 (99.67%) |
| Housing Choice Voucher Program Admin | Section 8 | Used to incentivize landlords to accept voucher holders. | 159 Landlords | \$258,139 | \$83,700 Landlord Payment (32.42%) |
| Housing Choice Voucher Program MVP | Section 8 | Provided 15 additional vouchers. Income Eligibility: Not to exceed 50% of AMI | 15 families | \$110,302 | \$53,664 (48.65%) |
| HAF Subrecipient Activities | HAF | Households with incomes equal to or less than the greater of (i) 100% of AMI or (ii) 100% of national median income. | 9,132 Households | \$15,953,990 (subset of funds from HAF) | \$15,899,524 (99.66%) |
| ESG CARES – Phase I & II | SFHP | Income Eligibility: 50% AMI | 98,805 persons | \$103,646,620 | \$103,539,918.17 (99.90%) |

for homeless
prevention

*All dollar amounts represented are as of September ,5 2024.

TDHCA DIVISION PANDEMIC RESPONSE AND OTHER INITIATIVES

Community Affairs Division

The Community Affairs Division administers the Community Services Block Grant (CSBG) Program, the Comprehensive Energy Assistance Program (CEAP), and the Weatherization Assistance Program (WAP).

Comprehensive Energy Assistance Program (CEAP)

TDHCA's CEAP program was allocated additional funds from USHHS from the following:

- Continuing Appropriations Act (CAA) Emergency Supplemental -\$84,732,886
- Infrastructure Investment and Jobs Act (IIJA), 2024-\$8,394,348
- Disaster Relief Supplemental Appropriations Act, 2023-\$55,322,967

CEAP IIJA funds have been distributed among the same subrecipients as the regular CEAP funds. Subrecipients have until September 30, 2025, to spend these additional CEAP funds. Other remaining CEAP funds will be expended in 2024.

The targeted beneficiaries of CEAP in Texas are households with an income at or below 150% of federal poverty guidelines, with priority given to the elderly; persons with disabilities; and families with young children.

Low Income Household Water Assistance Program (LIHWAP)

LIHWAP was funded by USHHS and its purpose was to provide water and wastewater assistance to income-eligible households. TDHCA administered the program through a network of 36 LIHWAP subrecipients and a procured contractor providing statewide LIHWAP assistance. The subrecipients, the same providers as used for CEAP consisted of private nonprofit entities and units of local government. LIHWAP subrecipients and the statewide provider made water and wastewater payments for eligible households to water utilities through a vendor agreement.

The targeted beneficiaries of LIHWAP in Texas were households with an income at or below 150% of federal poverty guidelines, with priority given to the elderly; persons with disabilities; and families with young children.

TDHCA's LIHWAP program was allocated \$51,801,876 from USHHS through the Consolidated Appropriations Act of 2021 and \$40,597,082 from the American Rescue Plan Act. Subrecipients had until September 30, 2023 to spend both allocations of LIHWAP funds. The Department was given a no cost extension to expend LIHWAP funds through March 31, 2024. In November 2021 the Infrastructure Investment and Jobs Act, also referred to as the Bipartisan Infrastructure Law (BIL) was signed into law. Under the BIL the Weatherization Assistance Program (WAP) for Texas funded through the Department of Energy was awarded \$173,162,598. The program began July 1, 2023, and the Department of Energy is encouraging that funds be expended within 5 years. The program will be delivered by the existing WAP network of 21 service providers with the addition of one or more statewide or regional service providers. The targeted beneficiaries are households at or below 200% of the Federal Poverty Guidelines with priority given to the elderly; persons with disabilities; families

with young children; households with the highest energy costs or needs in relation to income (highest home energy burden); and households with high energy consumption.

Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) CARES Act Assistance

On June 15, 2020, Governor Greg Abbott identified TDHCA as the designated agency to receive all CDBG CARES Act (also referred to as CDBG-CV) funding allocated to the State of Texas. TDHCA received a total of \$141,846,258 in CDBG CARES Act funding. CDBG-CV funds have been used for Mortgage Assistance for both Rural and Balance of State (TEMAP), Rental Assistance for Entitlement communities (TERAP), Food Bank Distribution Assistance (Food Bank), Relief to Providers of Persons with Disabilities (Relief to Providers), and Legal Services for Persons with Disabilities (Legal Services). The remaining funds are being used for the Community Resiliency Program (CRP) and continuing Legal Services. Assisted households must be at or below 80% of Area Median Income (AMI) and must have been economically affected by the Coronavirus pandemic.

TDHCA will ensure through its contracts that \$40,000,886 (the amount of its first allocation) will be provided to non-entitlement units of general local government (UGLG), or will be provided to participants (regional organizations which include private non-profits serving more than one county, community action agencies, or regional councils of governments) who will in turn assist households located in non-entitlement communities. Non-entitlement units of government are cities with populations of less than 50,000 (except cities that are designated principal cities of Metropolitan Statistical Areas), and counties with populations of less than 200,000.

Mortgage Assistance (TEMAP)

The Texas Emergency Mortgage Assistance Program (TEMAP) was initially funded with \$26,024,125 of CDBG CARES Act funds, and was distributed through a competitive NOFA allocation. TEMAP was developed to help with mortgage assistance (up to six months, including arrears) to income-eligible homeowners who have been economically impacted by COVID-19 to help provide housing stability during the pandemic. The program has been completed. The final funded amount, including a refund after the program was over, was \$20,484,256.75.

The Texas Emergency Rental Assistance Program (TERAP) was funded with \$32,712,577 of CDBG CARES Act funds which were directly committed to an estimated 54 entitlement communities that already had an existing COVID rental assistance program. TERAP was developed to help with rental assistance (up to six months, including arrears) to income-eligible households impacted by COVID-19 to help provide housing stability during the pandemic. Funds were also used for eviction diversion, which provides rental assistance to tenants who have been sued for eviction. The program has been completed. The final funded amount, including two refunds after the program was over, was \$29,128,955.78.

Food Bank Distribution Assistance (FOOD BANK)

The CDBG CARES Food Bank program was funded with \$30,000,000. Funds provide assistance to eligible food bank providers that have been economically impacted by COVID-19. Funds were used to reimburse food banks for bulk food purchases to be distributed statewide. Funds dedicated to this activity were deployed to address statewide food and nutrition needs through Feeding Texas, a network of food banks and other hunger-relief organizations covering the entire state of Texas.

Funding for this activity was used for bulk food purchase as well as to provide funds for equipment, supplies, and materials necessary to carry out the public service in response to the effects of the Coronavirus pandemic. The program has been completed.

Relief to Providers of Persons with Disabilities (RELIEF TO PROVIDERS)

The CDBG CARES Relief to Providers program was funded with \$5,000,000. Relief to Providers was developed to help providers continue serving residential persons with disabilities during the Coronavirus pandemic by reimbursing for allowable expenses undertaken to prevent, prepare for, or respond to COVID-19. The program began in the summer of 2021, and all assistance funds have been disbursed. The final funded amount was \$3,304,982, and the program has been completed.

Legal Services for Persons with Disabilities (LEGAL SERVICES)

The CDBG CARES Legal Services program was initially funded with \$445,000. The funds provide legal services assistance for persons with disabilities to obtain or retain housing as a result of COVID-19. Legal services include legal advice and legal representation by licensed attorneys in good standing with the State Bar of Texas through both allowing reimbursement of eligible expenses for providers of persons with disabilities, and through a contracted provider of legal services for persons with disabilities. The final funded amount has been increased to \$520,000, and the program is ongoing.

Community Resiliency Program (CRP)

The CDBG CARES CRP was initially funded with \$38,180,317 of CDBG-CV, and has been released through a competitive NOFA process. CRP was developed to help provide assistance to low- and moderate-income persons, as well as to rural and small metro communities to create, expand, or enhance public facilities that provide medical care, social services, and/or emergency non-congregate housing to prevent the transmission of COVID-19, or assist in response to future pandemics, and allow for adequate social distancing or remote access. Funds allow non-entitlement communities to make improvements to facilities to address gaps in future pandemic emergency response capacity. Funding has been made available for the CDBG eligible activity of public facilities and improvements, which may consist of acquisition, rehabilitation, or construction of public facilities such as homeless shelters, domestic violence shelters, health clinics, emergency medical stations, and senior centers. Also eligible under this activity is the purchase of publicly owned, mobile health clinics, and emergency medical services vehicles to support the activities. All contracts are made with Non-Entitlement Communities. CRP is currently funded for \$48,982,412.83.

| Total CDBG Funding | | |
|---------------------|---------------|---------|
| Program | Funds | Percent |
| TEMAP | \$20,484,257 | 15.47% |
| TERAP | \$29,128,956 | 22.00% |
| Food Bank | \$30,000,000 | 22.66% |
| Relief to Providers | \$3,304,982 | 2.50% |
| Legal Services | \$520,000 | 0.39% |
| CRP | \$48,982,413 | 36.99% |
| Total* | \$132,420,608 | 100.00% |

* Amount reflects total program amount received less admin.

Single Family and Homeless Programs

During SFY 2024 TDHCA's Single Family and Homeless Programs Division offered, Emergency Solutions Grants Program (ESG) Coronavirus Aid Relief and Economic Security (CARES) (ESG-CV), Section 8 Mainstream Vouchers, and Section 8 Emergency Housing Vouchers.

HOME TBRA COVID-19 Disaster Relief Funds

On March 26, 2020, the TDHCA Governing Board approved a plan to reprogram up to \$11,290,076 in deobligated HOME funds to the Disaster set-aside in response to the pandemic. The funds were made available in order to expedite the availability and use of funding for TBRA for persons financially impacted by COVID-19 in order to reduce displacement as Texans experienced a reduction in income directly related to the disaster. The Disaster TBRA program provided short-term rental assistance (3-6 months) to households throughout the State of Texas. Initially, a regional allocation of funds based on the regions' population was utilized to encourage equitable distribution of the funds. As of August 31, 2022, the program had distributed 11,026,701 to 2,591 households financially impacted by the pandemic. This program is now complete.

ESG CARES Funds

The ESG Program received \$103,646,620 from the HUD CARES Act (ESG-CV) "to prevent, prepare for, and respond to coronavirus, among individuals and families who are homeless or receiving homeless assistance and to support additional homeless assistance and homelessness prevention activities to mitigate the impacts created by coronavirus under the Emergency Solutions Grants program (42 U.S.C. 11371)." As of July 18, 2024, the program distributed \$103,547,293.14 to 101,017 individuals financially impacted by the pandemic. The funding expired on June 30, 2024.

ESG CARES was a special allocation of ESG funding from the CARES Act. Funds were appropriated to ESG in order to prevent, prepare for, and respond to the coronavirus to minimize the impact on households experiencing and at-risk of homelessness. TDHCA has used the HUD funding to award grants to units of general local government, private nonprofit entities, and other entities that are identified as eligible subrecipients for ESG CARES under waiver authority from HUD. Subrecipients provide persons experiencing homelessness and at risk of homelessness the services necessary to quickly regain stability in permanent housing. ESG CARES funds were utilized for the rehabilitation or conversion of buildings for use as emergency shelter for persons experiencing homelessness; temporary emergency shelter; the payment of certain expenses related to operating emergency shelters; essential services related to emergency shelters and street outreach for persons experiencing homelessness; and, homelessness prevention and rapid re-housing assistance such as landlord incentives, rental assistance, and utility assistance.

TDHCA programmed its ESG funds regionally for each of the HUD-designated Continuum of Care (CoC) Regions according to a combination of the region's proportionate share of a number of factors. The factors included total population, number of persons experiencing homelessness based on the Point-in-Time count submitted to HUD by the CoCs; persons living in poverty; renters with incomes less than 30% AMI that experience cost burden; the amount of ESG CARES funding received by the CoC Region; and other factors as listed in the administrative rules governing the ESG Program. The second allocation of ESG CARES was programmed specifically for rental assistance and associated costs under the rapid rehousing and homeless prevention activities.

HUD provided waivers and flexibilities to assure recaptured funds are reallocated in a manner consistent with the statutory purposes and conditions for ESG-CV funds.

Section 8 Housing Choice Voucher Program

HCV Section 8 Program - Mainstream Vouchers (MVP)

The MVP vouchers are tenant-based vouchers that serve non-elderly person(s) with a disability transitioning from a nursing facility, intermediate care facility, Texas state psychiatric hospital, or board and care facility.

HCV Section 8 Program - Emergency Housing Vouchers (EHV)

TDHCA received \$11,490,348 in ARP Act funding to support 798 Emergency Housing Vouchers. The EHV program provides rental assistance to individuals and families who are experiencing homelessness; at risk of experiencing homelessness; fleeing, or attempting to flee, domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault, stalking, or human trafficking; or were recently homeless and for whom providing rental assistance will prevent the family's homelessness or having high risk of housing instability. To date, more than 800 households have been housed with the program.

HOME American Rescue Plan (HOME-ARP)

The American Rescue Plan Act of 2021 established HOME-ARP based on the framework of the HOME annual program, with certain flexibilities and waivers and additional regulations to create new activities. One of the reasons HOME-ARP was created was to serve specific populations called qualifying populations. These include:

- Households that are experiencing homelessness, per 24 CFR §91.5;
- Households at-risk of homelessness, per 24 CFR §91.5;
- Households with at-risk of homelessness criteria, with waiver to allow for income up to 50% AMI, per HUD CPD Notice 21-10;
- Households fleeing or attempting to flee domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault, stalking, or human trafficking, per HUD 24 CFR §5.2003;
- Households with 30% AMI with severe housing cost burden defined as paying more than 50% of monthly household income toward housing costs, per HUD CPD Notice 21-10;
- Households who have qualified as homeless previously, are currently housed with temporary/emergency assistance, and who need additional housing assistance or supportive services to avoid a return to homelessness, per HUD CPD Notice 21-10; or
- Veterans (and their families) that meet one of the above definitions.

TDHCA was allocated a one-time allocation of \$132,969,147 in HOME-ARP funds by HUD. After a public outreach and comment period, TDHCA programmed funds into the development of affordable rental housing, Non-Congregate Shelters (NCS), and Non-Profit Operating/Non-Profit Capacity Building (NCO) related to HOME-ARP rental or NCS development. HUD accepted TDHCA's HOME-ARP Allocation Plan in May 2022.

Rental housing development has a requirement that qualified populations only pay 30% of their income toward rent. To offset this potential loss in rental income, HOME-ARP offered a unique financial structure, including, but not limited to, no subsidy per unit limit and an option for capitalized operating cost assistance reserve.

NCS was a new activity under HOME-ARP. As with all shelters, NCS did not require occupants to sign a lease or occupancy agreement and was meant for temporary stays. NCS was unique in that the shelters offer private units or rooms to households with a sanitary facility in each unit.

NCO was also a new activity under HOME-ARP. This funding provided for two types of assistance: general operating and capacity building for a nonprofit that is undertaking rental or NCS development. Nonprofit general operating costs are mirrored on the community housing development organization (CHDO) operating costs under the HOME annual program. However, nonprofit operating costs for HOME-ARP were available for eligible nonprofits that do not necessarily qualify as a CHDO. Eligible costs included, but are not limited to, payroll for existing staff, training, equipment, and operating/overhead to support general operating. Nonprofit capacity building costs were reasonable and necessary operating costs that will result in expansion or improvement of an organization’s ability to successfully carry out rental or NCS development. Eligible costs included, but were not limited to, payroll for new hires, training, equipment, and technical assistance or consultants.

In May 2023, after a public outreach and comment period, TDHCA submitted an amendment to the HOME-ARP Allocation Plan to HUD. The first amendment adjusted the method of distribution for the NCO and NCS to target the funds to Texas areas with high rates of unsheltered homelessness and high costs of living. The NCO was targeted to nonprofits that are in good standing and have prior experience with innovative shelter or homeless services. TDHCA received no comment from HUD within the review period signifying the amendment was considered accepted.

Use of HOME-ARP Funding

| Activity | Released in NOFA or Invitation to Apply | Awarded/Reserved* | Contracted as of State Fiscal Year 2024 |
|--|---|-------------------|---|
| Non-Congregate Shelters | \$56,511,887 | \$56,511,887 | \$0 |
| Affordable Rental Housing | \$59,836,116 | \$57,649,564 | \$48,451,587 |
| Non-Profit Operating/Non-Profit Capacity Building for nonprofits to develop Non-Congregate Shelter | \$3,324,229 | \$3,224,229 | \$3,224,229 |

* In 2023, HUD reduced HOME-ARP to TDHCA by approximately \$3.8 million due to an allocation error. Program amounts not fully awarded or reserved may be reduced to account for the reduction..

TDHCA released \$10,000,000 in a rental set-aside for direct awards to qualifying National Housing Trust Fund (NHTF) Developments, created to preserve existing TDHCA investments in NHTF-funded developments in 2020 and 2021 that may otherwise be at risk of not being financially feasible; the set-aside was released in an Invitation to Apply and sent directly to eligible applicants. The rest of the funds for rental development were released via a Notice of Funding Availability (NOFA) in December 2022 for competitive applications. Originally \$3,324,229 in NCO was also programmed to support rental housing development; NCO to support rental development was transferred directly into the rental development activity due to the high competition for the development funds. Over \$114 million was requested for approximately \$51 million in funding in the 2023-2 HOME-ARP Rental NOFA.

In the state fiscal years 2023 and 2024, TDHCA awarded a total of approximately \$57.6 million for projects that applied through either the 2022 National Housing Trust Fund (NHTF) Set-Aside or the 2023-2 HOME-ARP Rental NOFA. As of late state fiscal year 2024, approximately \$48 million has been contracted for the development of 308 HOME-ARP units.

In state fiscal year 2024, TDHCA released the HOME-ARP NCO Invitation to Apply, targeted in areas that met criteria demonstrating need for at least a medium-scale (50 units) NCS. TDHCA awarded an eligible organization approximately \$3.2 million in NCO funds. One or more additional awards by invitation to eligible applicants may still be possible.

Finally, in state fiscal year 2024, TDHCA released the 2024 HOME-ARP NCS Invitation to Apply (ITA) to an eligible NCO awardee. The NCS ITA was for a total of approximately \$56.5 million for acquisition, construction, reconstruction or rehabilitation of a medium to large scale HOME-ARP NCS. NCS was made available by invitation to NCO awardees, per the HOME-ARP Allocation Plan First Amendment.

More information about TDHCA's HOME-ARP can be found online at <https://www.tdhca.texas.gov/programs/home-american-rescue-plan>.

Texas Rent Relief (TRR) and Housing Stability Services (HSS)

Through an initial allocation under the Consolidated Appropriations Act in 2021, and a subsequent allocation under the American Rescue Plan Act of 2021, plus additional redistributed funds, the Department received a total of \$2,689,725,564. The U.S. Department of the Treasury (Treasury) administers the program at the federal level. Funds from the Consolidated Appropriations Act of 2021, are categorized as Emergency Rental Assistance 1 (ERA 1) and funds from the American Rescue Plan Act of 2021 are categorized as Emergency Rental Assistance 2 (ERA 2); however Treasury operates the funds as one program. ERA1 and ERA2 funds were used to fund two programs in two divisions – the Texas Rent Relief Program and the Housing Stability Services Program – and to also provide up to \$11,500,000 in ERA2 funds to be used for affordable multifamily development and administrative costs.

Texas Rent Relief Program

The Texas Rent Relief Division administered the Texas Rent Relief Program (TRR).

TRR was developed to help income-eligible Texas renters affected by the COVID-19 pandemic pay rent and utility bills (including past due rent and utilities), keeping tenants housed and helping landlords recoup or avoid losses due to the pandemic.

Of the \$2,689,725,564 in ERA funds administered by TDHCA, \$2,464,879,943 has been allocated to TRR. As of August 2023, TRR disbursed all \$2,221,318,459 available in direct rental and utility assistance to 323,033 households across Texas. The program has now ended.

Ten percent of TRR funds were set aside for eviction diversion activities and were referred to as the Texas Eviction Diversion Program (TEDP). In partnership with the Supreme Court of Texas, the Office for Court Administration, and the Office of the Governor, TEDP allowed courts to pause eviction cases while tenants and landlords applied for TRRP assistance, made lump sum payments to landlords for past-due rent and late fees for allowing tenants to remain in their homes, and kept evictions off tenants' records. Along with the rest of TRR, TEDP has now ended. Through TEDP, more than 25,000

renter households received over \$243 million in assistance, had their evictions stopped, and had their court records made confidential. This program is now closed.

Housing Stability Services The Housing Stability Services (HSS) Program was developed to help support housing stability and eviction diversion services benefitting income-eligible Texas renters affected due to or during the COVID-19 pandemic. HSS aims to benefit income-eligible renter households or households experiencing or at risk of homelessness. HSS funds are distributed to local communities or nonprofits throughout the state in order to provide eligible Texans with a variety of services that help households maintain or obtain stable housing. Service categories include: legal, outreach, shelter, community, and services offered at permanent supportive housing properties.

A total of approximately \$213,345,621.02 has been allocated to the HSS Program. Under TDHCA's HSS program, TDHCA has awarded ERA1 and ERA2 funds to 57 non-profits, local communities, and other eligible entities. Twenty-eight contracts and one MOU were executed with ERA1 funds, and 47 contracts and one MOU were executed with ERA2 funds.

Through ERA1 a total \$63,295,289 was expended by the December 29, 2022, deadline, and the final report was submitted to Treasury on April 27, 2023. Over 43,000 households and over 583,000 meals were served through ERA1 HSS.

Through ERA2 a total of \$150,050,331 has been obligated, and more than 79,000 households have been served to date. The ERA2 HSS program will run through the Treasury deadline of September 30, 2025.

One of the entities served through HSS is the Texas Access to Justice Foundation (TAJF), which works in close partnership with the Supreme Court of Texas and the Texas Office of Court Administration. TAJF has been awarded approximately \$46.6 million in ERA1 and ERA2 HSS funds to deliver legal services through a network of ten subcontracted legal service providers in Texas, primarily legal aid providers, who are providing three main services:

1. No-cost Legal Services Activities and Brief Services throughout Texas, including representation in court and/or administrative proceedings, with emphasis on areas with the highest rates of eviction and/or highest rates of renters living in poverty;
2. Housing Stability Clinics staffed with attorneys, support staff and pro bono volunteers, as appropriate, to assist eligible households by providing essential housing information and legal advice; and
3. Assistance in completing applications for housing assistance programs, including the Texas Rent Relief Program and Texas Eviction Diversion Program when available.

Through MOU agreements with the Texas Veterans Commission covering SFY 2022-2024, a total of \$567,240 in ERA1 and ERA2 HSS funds supported the work of TVC's Homeless Veterans Initiative, which provided outreach, education, and housing navigation for veteran families experiencing or at risk of homelessness.

Other Affordable Rental Housing

On July 27, 2022, Treasury released guidance through its Frequently Asked Questions document confirming that a grantee may use any of its ERA2 funds that are unobligated on October 1, 2022, for “affordable rental housing and eviction prevention purposes, as defined by the Secretary, so long as the grantee has obligated at least 75% of its ERA2 funds eligible expenses.” Funds used for this must serve households with up to 50% of Area Median Income and the property must have a land use restriction agreement (LURA) preserving the federal affordability period for twenty years. The state affordability period will be for a period of at least ten additional years.

TDHCA has dedicated up to \$11,500,000 in ERA2 funds for the Multifamily Division to be used through the Multifamily Direct Loans (MFDL) program for affordable multifamily development and administrative costs.

| Total ERA Funding by Program | | |
|---------------------------------|--------------------|---------|
| Program | Funds | Percent |
| Texas Rent Relief Program | \$2,464,879,943.00 | 91.64% |
| Housing Stabilization Services | \$213,345,621 | 7.93% |
| Other Affordable Rental Housing | \$11,500,000 | 0.43% |
| Total | \$2,689,725,564 | 100.00% |

Homeowner Assistance Fund (TXHAF) and HAF Subrecipient Activities

The Department received \$842,214,006 in funding from the American Rescue Plan Act of 2021. The U.S. Department of the Treasury (Treasury) administers the program at the federal level. HAF funds are used to fund two programs in two divisions – the Texas Homeowner Assistance Fund (TXHAF) and other HAF Subrecipient Activities.

Texas Homeowner Assistance Fund

The Texas Homeowners Assistance (TXHAF) Program provided financial assistance to qualified Texas homeowners who have fallen behind on their mortgage and related expenses due to the COVID-19 pandemic.

TXHAF provided eligible homeowners with up to \$65,000 of assistance for past due mortgages, past due property taxes, insurance, Homeowner Association (HOA) fees, and utilities. Assistance is structured as a non-recourse grant to the homeowner. Payments are made directly to the mortgage servicer, property charge payee, or utility provider.

This program covered past due mortgage payments, up to three months of future mortgage payments, property taxes, insurance, homeowner/condo association fees, past due utility payments, and up to three months of prospective utility payments. Eligible utility payments include electricity, natural gas, propane, water, and wastewater.

The portal for applying to this program is now closed. Payments for existing applicants continue to be processed.

HAF Subrecipient Activities

TxHAF Subrecipient Activities Division was originally allotted a total of \$30,500,000 from the TxHAF funds. These funds were used to help support income eligible homeowners affected by the COVID-19 pandemic with stabilizing their housing situation. The program provided funds to Private Nonprofit Organizations with 501(c) status, Public Housing Authorities, Units of General Local Government, and Regional Councils of Governments who provide one or more of the three eligible types of assistance: 1) serve as an Intake Center to assist households in applying for TxHAF funds, 2) provide Housing Counseling Services, and/or 3) provide Legal Services related to Homeownership. TxHAF Subrecipient Activities Division issued 35 contracts, awarding \$26,711,156 in program funds to 32 eligible entities to assist income eligible households. Ultimately these contracts expended \$15.8M assisting more than 9,000 households and hosting more than 1,600 outreach events. The final contract close-out was completed June 30, 2024.

SECTION 6: PUBLIC PARTICIPATION

The Texas Department of Housing and Community Affairs (TDHCA or the Department) strives to obtain public input to make informed decisions regarding the development of policy, the design of programs, and the use and allocation of limited resources. This section outlines how the public contributes to the preparation of the SLIHP and includes information about the public comment process.

This section of the SLIHP includes the following information per Tex. Gov't Code §2306.072 and §2306.0721:

- An explanation of efforts and activities that ensure the participation and involvement of individuals of low income and their community-based institutions in Department programming and planning as required by Tex. Gov't Code §2306.072(c)(3-4).
- A summary of public comments received in regards to the State of Texas Low Income Housing Plan and Annual Report as required by Tex. Gov't Code §2306.0721(c)(13).

This section is organized as follows:

- **Community Involvement:** Discusses interagency collaboration and engagement of stakeholders on specific issues.
- **Participation in TDHCA Programs:** Discusses efforts to ensure that individuals of low income and community-based institutions participate in TDHCA programs.
- **Public Participation in Program Planning:** Discusses affirmative efforts to ensure the involvement of individuals of low income and community-based institutions in the allocation of funds and the planning process.
- **Preparation of the SLIHP:** Information on the SLIHP preparation and a summary of public comment.

COMMUNITY INVOLVEMENT

TDHCA's participation in numerous committees, workgroups, and councils keep the Department apprised of additional resources and collaborations for affordable housing and community affairs related activities. Relationships with federal, state, and local government entities ensure that agencies in the state of Texas coordinate housing and services to most efficiently and effectively serve all Texans. This collaboration results in recommendations on how to improve the coordination of the Department's services to serve lower income Texans, including special needs populations. The Department addresses and incorporates these recommendations into its programs and outreach as appropriate throughout the year. Furthermore, the recommendations incorporated in TDHCA's programs are consistent with planning documents, such as the Consolidated Plan, that the Department submits to the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD). In addition to this collaboration, TDHCA's involvement in the community allows the Department to closely monitor and proactively pursue available federal funding opportunities to ensure that Texas can access additional affordable housing funds.

TDHCA has staff committed to several State advisory workgroups and committees. Many of these committees and workgroups include members from the public and private sectors. These groups include, but are not limited to:

| Workgroup/Committees | Lead agency |
|---|--------------------------------------|
| Community Reinvestment Workgroup | Texas Comptroller |
| Community Resource Coordination Groups (CRCG) | Texas Health and Human Services |
| Colonia Residents Advisory Committee (C-RAC) | TDHCA |
| Disability Advisory Workgroup (DAW) | TDHCA |
| Housing and Health Services Coordination Council (HHSCC) | TDHCA |
| Interagency Coordinating Group | OneStar Foundation |
| Joint Housing Solutions Working Group (JHSWG) | TDHCA |
| Reentry Task Force | Texas Department of Criminal Justice |
| Statewide Behavioral Health Coordinating Council (SBHCC) | Texas Health and Human Services |
| Texas Interagency Council for the Homeless (TICH) | TDHCA |
| Texas Fair Housing Workgroup | TDHCA |
| Texas Coordinating Council for Veteran Services | Texas Veterans Commission |
| Weatherization Assistance Program Planning Advisory Committee (WAP PAC) | TDHCA |

TDHCA's workgroups and coordination groups for which it is the lead agency are discussed in this section, listed alphabetically.

Colonia Residents Advisory Committee (C-RAC)

C-RAC is a committee of colonias residents appointed by the TDHCA Governing Board, which advises the Department on the needs of colonias residents and the types of programs and activities, which should be undertaken through the Colonia Self-Help Center (CSHC) Program. In consultation with C-RAC and the units of local government that administers the CSHC Program, the Department

designates up to five colonias in each county as eligible beneficiaries of the CSHC Program. Each county nominates two candidates to be members of the C-RAC who are residents of a colonia in the county the member represents to serve on the committee for four years. The C-RAC reviews CSHC Program proposals and may make recommendations on contracts for the CHSC Program to the Department before the proposal is considered for an award by the TDHCA Governing Board.

Disability Advisory Workgroup (DAW)

TDHCA believes that consultation with community advocates, funding recipients, potential applicants for funding, and subject matter experts from other state agencies is an essential prerequisite to the development of effective policies, programs and rules. Providing services and housing to persons with disabilities presents unique challenges and opportunities. In order to augment TDHCA's formal public comment process, a workgroup is utilized, convened as the need arises, affording staff the opportunity to interact with and receive input more informally and in greater detail from various stakeholders and to get feedback on designing and planning more successful programs for persons with disabilities. TDHCA hosts the DAW to gather input on how TDHCA's programs can most effectively serve persons with disabilities. These ad hoc meetings are open attendance and advertised through the TDHCA website, social media, and email lists. Anyone may join TDHCA email lists by visiting

<http://maillist.tdhca.state.tx.us/list/area.html;jsessionid=A8FD9EC72AC7F397B4591205EA8DF27F?lui=f9mu0g2g&mContainer=2&mOwner=G382s2w2r2p>.

Housing and Health Services Coordination Council (HHSCC)

HHSCC is codified in Texas Government Code §2306.1091. The purpose of HHSCC is to increase state efforts to offer Service-Enriched Housing (SEH) through increased coordination of housing and health services. HHSCC seeks to improve interagency understanding and increase the number of staff in state housing and health services agencies that are conversant in both housing and health services.

HHSCC is composed of 17 members: eight members appointed by the Governor, and nine State agency representative members. The Executive Director of TDHCA serves as the HHSCC Chair and TDHCA staff supports HHSCC activities. A list of HHSCC members can be found on TDHCA's website here: <https://www.tdhca.texas.gov/hhsc-council-members>.

Council members meet quarterly and provide direction to the staff to prepare a Biennial Report of Findings and Recommendations that is submitted to the Legislative Budget Board and the Office of the Governor on August 1 each even numbered year. This Report along with a Biennial Plan is available to the public on the TDHCA website at <https://www.tdhca.texas.gov/hhsc-reports>. Meetings are open to the public. Notice is given to the public in the *Texas Register*, on TDHCA's Web Site, through an email list, and social media. Anyone may join TDHCA email lists by visiting this site: <http://maillist.tdhca.state.tx.us/list/area.html;jsessionid=A8FD9EC72AC7F397B4591205EA8DF27F?lui=f9mu0g2g&mContainer=2&mOwner=G382s2w2r2p>.

Joint Housing Solutions Working Group

The Joint Housing Solutions Working Group is a network of local, state, and federal agencies, philanthropic organizations, and other related stakeholder groups who share information, identify challenges, and propose solutions responsive to the needs of disaster survivors. The frequency of meetings for the main group diminishes during “blue sky” periods; however, the group continues to meet to stay current on all disaster recovery related issues.

Texas Interagency Council for the Homeless (TICH)

The TICH was created in 1989 to coordinate the State’s homeless resources and services, and its charge was reinforced by the 84th Texas Legislature (2015) Senate Bill (SB) 607. The TICH consists of representatives from nine state agencies that serve persons who are experiencing homelessness or are at-risk of homelessness. Membership also includes representatives appointed by the Office of the Governor, the Lieutenant Governor and the speaker of the house. The TICH receives no direct funding and has no full-time staff, but receives facilitation and advisory support from TDHCA. The TICH’s major mandates include:

- evaluating and helping coordinate the delivery of services for persons experiencing homelessness in Texas;
- increasing the flow of information among service providers and appropriate authorities;
- providing technical assistance to TDHCA in assessing the need for housing for people with special needs; and
- maintaining a central resource and information center for persons experiencing homelessness.

The TICH submits annual progress reports to the governing bodies of the agencies represented on the council. The TICH membership and its administrative support staff are also in the process of developing and updating a state plan to address the needs of persons experiencing homelessness. All previous annual reports as well as the future state plan when it is released are available off the TICH website at: <https://www.tdhca.texas.gov/programs/texas-interagency-council-homeless>

Texas Fair Housing Workgroup

The Texas State Fair Housing Workgroup was convened in May 2014 by TDHCA to encourage resource and idea sharing between the Texas Department of Agriculture, TDHCA, Texas Workforce Commission, Texas Department of State Health Services, and Texas General Land Office, all of which receive HUD funds for housing-related activities. The group meets as needed and discusses topics such as fair housing training, Limited English Proficiency (LEP) provisions, public participation, complaint direction, monitoring provisions, website improvements, and other relevant topics that assist state agencies in furthering fair housing choice and improving agency coordination and sharing of resources.

Weatherization Assistance Program Planning Advisory Committee (WAP PAC)

The Weatherization Assistance Program Policy Advisory Council (PAC) is a four member council which represents organizations and agencies by providing balance, background, and sensitivity with respect to solving the problems of low-income persons, including weatherization and energy conservation problems.

For each program's state plan (i.e., LIHEAP, DOE WAP, and CSBG), the network of subrecipients as well as the public are invited to participate and comment on each plan to make improvements to each of the programs. Public participation can occur in writing or verbally at a public hearing.

Additionally, the PAC currently has four slots and is representative of organizations and agencies and provides balance, background, and sensitivity with respect to solving the problems of low-income persons, including weatherization and energy conservation problems.

The PAC meets annually at the end of the public hearing period to discuss the DOE plan and comments received. Two of the slots, filled by the PAC members from Combined Community Action and the Greater East Texas Community Action Program, represent the low-income, elderly, and disabled population. The third slot, filled by the PAC member from the Health and Human Services Commission, represents the low-income, elderly and persons with disabilities. A fourth slot representing Native Americans is occupied by a member of the Ysleta Del Sur Pueblo Tigua Indian Reservation.

PARTICIPATION IN TDHCA PROGRAMS

Texas is economically, geographically, and demographically diverse. In recognition of the state's diverse housing needs, TDHCA establishes its criteria for distributing funds based on the priorities laid-out in TDHCA's governing statute. It is incumbent upon TDHCA to increase the public's awareness of available funding opportunities so that its funds will reach those in need across the state.

Below are the approaches taken by TDHCA to achieve this end.

- Throughout the year, TDHCA staff reaches out to interested parties at informational workshops, roundtables, conferences, and real estate, lending, and property events across the state to share information about TDHCA programs. Organizations interested in becoming affordable housing providers are actively encouraged to contact TDHCA for further technical assistance in accessing TDHCA programs.
- The Division of Policy and Public Affairs performs two key functions through two intertwined sections: legislative affairs and communications/marketing. The Legislative Affairs section is TDHCA's main link between the Department and the Office of the Governor, members of the Texas Legislature and Texas Congressional delegation, state and federal agencies, and housing and community service organizations throughout the state. It is responsible for assisting the Department's leadership in the development and implementation of policy related to legislative mandates. The Communications/Marketing section is responsible for producing news releases and outreach and educational materials, responding to inquiries from the news media and coordinating TDHCA's social media activities.

- The Public Comment Center is designed to enhance public participation by making the public comment process easier and more transparent for those interested in commenting on Department rules and programs. The Public Comment Center can be found at <https://www.tdhca.texas.gov/tdhca-public-comment-center>.
- The TDHCA website, through its provision of timely information to consumers, is one of the Department's most successful outreach tools. It is also a key resource for affordable housing and community services programs, and fair housing information and resources. The Help for Texans online database provides a statewide resource for individuals and households seeking assistance. The Help for Texans online database provides contact information for housing and housing-related programs funded or operated by TDHCA and other housing service providers. Help for Texans is available at <https://www.tdhca.texas.gov/help-for-texans>.
- TDHCA also operates voluntary email distribution lists, where subscribed individuals and entities can receive email updates on general TDHCA information, program-specific announcements, compliance related communications, and trainings. TDHCA maintains a Fair Housing email list to encourage public participation from community-based, legal aid, fair housing enforcement, housing advocacy, and other external groups. The email list also provides a way for individuals who are not a member of a stakeholder organization to learn about and engage with Fair Housing topics.
- TDHCA uses online forums and surveys to encourage topical discussions and gather feedback on proposed policies, rules, plans, reports, or other activities. Forums and/or surveys have been used to gather input on the Housing Tax Credit Program's Qualified Allocation Plan, the Regional Allocation Formula, the legislatively required Report on Homelessness Among Veterans, the proposed policy changes for the Amy Young Barrier Removal Program, and the rules for a variety of TDHCA programs.
- TDHCA is involved with a wide variety of committees and workgroups, listed in the Community Involvement section at the beginning of this chapter, which serve as valuable resources to gather input from people working at the local level. These groups share information on affordable housing needs and available resources so that TDHCA can prioritize these needs.
- Department staff will continue to engage stakeholders, including developers, residents, nonprofits, advocates, and other governmental entities, throughout FY 2024. Opportunities for engagement will include both online discussion forums and public roundtables and hearings (virtually as needed). For example, the Multifamily Finance Division staff will engage stakeholders especially as it relates to writing the 2026 multifamily rules for the Multifamily Direct Loan and Housing Tax Credit programs. In addition to creating an online forum in which stakeholders can register their opinions on ideas and on questions posted by staff, Multifamily Finance Division staff will continue to hold public roundtables, where particular aspects of the rules can be discussed in an open setting.

PUBLIC PARTICIPATION IN PROGRAM PLANNING

The Department values and relies on community input to direct resources to meet its goals and objectives. In an effort to provide the public with an opportunity to more effectively give input on the Department's policies, rules, planning documents, and programs, the Department holds round tables, public hearings, and program workshops throughout the year. Furthermore, TDHCA's Governing Board accepts public comment on program and policy agenda items at monthly Board Meetings. The Board offers an opportunity for comment to be heard on any topic at the end of each Board meeting.

The Department ensures that all programs follow the public participation and public hearing requirements as outlined in the Texas Government Code and in federal program requirements. Hearing locations are accessible to all who choose to attend and are held at times accessible to both working and non-working persons. TDHCA staff coordinates translation services, the provision of auxiliary aids, and other accommodations as requested to ensure equal access and opportunity to the public. The Department maintains voluntary email distribution lists, which it uses to notify all interested parties of public hearing and public comment periods. Additionally, pertinent information is posted as an announcement in the *Texas Register*, on TDHCA's website, Twitter feed, and Facebook page. The Department seeks to ensure the involvement of individuals of lower incomes in the allocation of funds and in the planning process by organizing regular meetings that include community-based institutions and consumers, workgroups, and councils listed in the Action Plan. Participation and comments are encouraged and can be submitted either at a public hearing or in writing via mail, fax, or email.

Preparation of the SLIHP

Tex. Gov't Code §2306.0722 mandates that the Department meet with various organizations concerning the prioritization and allocation of the Department's housing resources prior to preparation of the SLIHP. As this is a working document, there is no time at which the SLIHP is static. Throughout the year, research was performed to analyze housing needs across the State. Focus meetings were held to discuss ways to prioritize funds to meet specific needs, and public comment was received at program-level public hearings as well as at Governing Board Meetings.

The Department met with various organizations concerning the prioritization and allocation of the Department's resources and all forms of public input were taken into account in its preparation. Several program areas conducted virtual workgroups, roundtables, online forums, and public hearings in order to receive input that impacted policy and shaped the direction of TDHCA programs.

PUBLIC COMMENT PERIOD AND PUBLIC HEARING

A 30-day public comment period for the SLIHP will be held from Friday, December 20, 2024, through Monday, January 20, 2025, at 5:00 p.m. Austin local time. A public hearing will be held on Tuesday, January 7, 2025, at 2:00 p.m. Brown-Heatly Building, Room 1430, 4900 N. Lamar Austin, Texas 78751.

SECTION 7: 2024-2025 COLONIA ACTION PLAN

This section of the SLIHP includes the following information per Tex. Gov't Code §2306.0721:

A biennial action plan for colonias, which discusses housing and community development needs in the colonias, describes TDHCA's policy goals, and summarizes the strategies and programs designed to meet these goals and describes projected outcomes to support the improvement of living conditions of colonia residents as required by Tex. Gov't Code §2306.0721(c)(12)(A-B).

- This section is organized as follows:
- Policy Goals
- Overview
- Population and Poverty
- Housing
- Colonia Beneficiaries
- Colonia Self-Help Centers
- Office of Colonia Initiatives

POLICY GOALS

In 1996, TDHCA established the Office of Colonia Initiatives (OCI) to administer and coordinate efforts to enhance living conditions in colonias along the 150-mile Texas-Mexico border region. OCI's fundamental goal is to improve the living conditions of colonia residents and to educate the public regarding the services offered by the Department.

The OCI was created to do the following:

- Expand housing opportunities to colonia residents living along the Texas-Mexico border.
- Increase knowledge and awareness of programs and services available through the Department.
- Implement initiatives that promote improving the quality of life of colonia residents and border communities.
- Train and increase the capacity of organizations that serve the targeted colonia population.
- Develop cooperative working relationships between other state, federal and local organizations to leverage resources and exchange information.
- Promote comprehensive planning of communities along the Texas-Mexico border to meet current and future community needs.

OVERVIEW

The US-Mexico border region has hundreds of rural subdivisions called colonias, which are characterized by high levels of poverty and substandard living conditions. Several different definitions of colonias are used by various funding sources and agencies due to differing mandates. Generally, these definitions include the concepts that colonias are rural and lacking services such as

public water and wastewater systems, paved streets, drainage and safe and sanitary housing. Colonias are mostly unincorporated communities located along the US-Mexico border in the states of California, Arizona, New Mexico and Texas, with the vast majority located in Texas.

Many colonias have been in existence for more than 50 years. A few colonia developments began as small communities of farm laborers employed by a single rancher or farmer while others originated as town sites established by land speculators as early as the 1900s. A majority of the colonias, however, emerged in the 1950s as developers discovered a large market of aspiring homebuyers who could not afford to purchase in cities or who did not have access to conventional financing mechanisms.

POPULATION AND POVERTY

An estimated 500,000 people live in 2,294 colonias in Texas, of which more than 40% live below the poverty line, and an additional 20% live at or just above the poverty line (Federal Reserve Bank of Dallas, April 2015). Additional information regarding colonia population estimates can be found in the Housing Analysis section of this document (Section 2). Based on a 2014 assessment by the Texas Office of the Secretary of State's Colonia Initiatives Program, six Texas counties (El Paso, Maverick, Webb, Starr, Hidalgo, and Cameron) have the largest population of colonias and are home to an estimated 369,500 people (Texas Office of the Secretary of State, 2014). Population numbers in this assessment were validated in several ways: by 2010 census data, by city and county figures, and (in some cases) by colonia ombudspersons conducting site visits.

The American Community Survey's 2016-2020 data placed the median household income for Texas at \$63,826. Median household income for the Texas-Mexico border counties range under the statewide figure greatly, from \$22,716 in Presidio County, to \$50,296 in Webb County. Median Owner-occupied home values for the Texas-Mexico border counties also varies greatly under the statewide figure of \$187,200, from \$54,500 in Hudspeth County, to \$135,000 in Webb County.

The particular need for affordable housing in the border region can be largely attributed to the poverty level of the rapidly growing population. Counties along the Texas-Mexico border shoulder some of the highest poverty rates in the state. According to 2016-2020 American Community Survey, the poverty level in the State of Texas is 14.2%, while the four counties with the greatest number of colonias have the following poverty rates: Zapata 24.3%, Willacy 22.7%, Starr 30.8%, and Hidalgo 25.5%.

HOUSING

Many colonias are located along the border region, usually beyond the city limits. The classic hallmarks of colonias include limited infrastructure and a high level of substandard housing, including self-built homes, structures not primarily intended for residential use, and homes with extensions and modifications, often added on a self-help basis, which may not be secure or safe. Since 1995, colonias are required to have infrastructure per the State's model subdivision rules. These post-1995 colonias are often larger subdivisions, although they share some of the worst housing characteristics in common with the colonias expansion of the 1980s (Ward et al., 2012).

Owner-builder construction in the colonias faces even more obstacles. First, federal rules, such as those that govern the HOME Investment Partnerships Program, prohibit the use of affordable housing funds to acquire land unless the affordable structure is built within 12 months. Second,

lenders are typically reluctant to lend funds for owner-builder construction because these borrowers may have little or no collateral. Third, owner-builders may not be sufficiently skilled and may end up building substandard housing without appropriate supervision or guidance. Thus, Colonia Self-Help Centers are afforded the opportunity to participate in the Texas Bootstrap Loan Program, and the OCI will provide direct guidance and technical assistance in the application and administration of these funds for the Centers.

COLONIA BENEFICIARIES

The following table displays the total number of beneficiaries served by the Department’s Colonia Self-Help Center (SHC) Program for open contracts as of July 2024. This data is reported by participating counties and provides a representation of the acute need for housing-related assistance. Each administrator conducts its own needs assessment, holds a public hearing and establishes the activities to be performed under the Colonia SHC Program. Approximately 88% of beneficiaries are of low- to moderate-income. OCI anticipates that the number of beneficiaries served in the table below will be similar throughout the remainder of the 2024-2025 biennium.

Colonia Self-Help Centers Open Contracts as of July 2024

| County | Total Population Beneficiaries | Moderate-Income Beneficiaries |
|-----------------|--------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Cameron/Willacy | 3,955 | 2,986 |
| El Paso | 3,513 | 3,337 |
| Hidalgo | 2,320 | 1,458 |
| Maverick | 5,158 | 4,126 |
| Nueces | 1,145 | 1,070 |
| Starr | 1,746 | 1,746 |
| Val Verde | 4,938 | 4,938 |
| Webb | 2,282 | 2,282 |
| Total | 25,057 | 21,943 |

The activities performed under the Colonia SHC Program include homeownership classes, operating a tool lending library, construction skills classes, solid waste cleanup campaigns, technology access, utility connections, rehabilitation, reconstruction and new construction. OCI anticipates that the percentages of funding by activity in the table below will be similar throughout the remainder of the 2024-2025 biennium.

Colonia Self-Help Center Activities for Open Contracts as of July 2024

| Activity | Funding | Percentage |
|----------------|--------------------|-------------|
| Administration | \$1,425,987 | 15% |
| Construction | \$7,175,000 | 75% |
| Public Service | \$905,592 | 10% |
| Total | \$9,506,579 | 100% |

TDHCA, through its OCI, administers the Colonia Self-Help Center (SHC) program designed to improve the lives of colonia residents. This action plan outlines how the CSHC program and various initiatives are being implemented for FY 2024 and 2025.

FY 2024–FY 2025 Colonia Self-Help Center Funding

| Program | Funding for FY 2023 | Funding for FY 2024 | Estimated Funding for FY 2025 |
|----------------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|-------------------------------|
| Colonia Self-Help Center Program | \$1,720,198 | \$1,765,342 | \$1,765,342 |

COLONIA SELF-HELP CENTERS

Tex. Gov’t Code §§2306.581 - §2306.590, as amended, direct TDHCA to establish Colonia SHCs in Cameron/Willacy, Hidalgo, Starr, Webb, and El Paso, and Nueces counties. The Colonia Self-Help Center Program also allows the Department to establish a Colonia SHC in a county designated as an economically distressed area, such as in Maverick and Val Verde counties. Each county identifies five colonias to receive concentrated assistance. The operation of the Colonia SHCs may be managed by a local nonprofit organization, local community action agency, local unit of government, or local public housing authority that has demonstrated the capacity to operate a center.

The Colonia SHCs provide concentrated on-site technical assistance to low- and very low-income individuals and families. Assistance includes housing, community development, infrastructure improvements, outreach and education housing rehabilitation; new construction; surveying and platting; construction skills training; tool library access for self-help construction; housing finance; credit and debt counseling; infrastructure constructions and access; contract for deed conversions; and capital access for mortgages to improve the quality of life for colonia residents. The OCI provides technical assistance to the counties and Colonia SHCs.

The Colonia SHC Program serves 40 colonias. The total number of beneficiaries for all SHCs is approximately 25,057 residents. The Department contracts with the counties, who then subcontract with nonprofit organizations to administer the Colonia SHC Program or specific activities offered under the Program. The counties oversee the implementation of contractual responsibilities and ensure accountability. County officials conduct a needs assessment to prioritize needed services within the colonias and then publish a Request for Proposal (RFP) in search of capable entities to provide these services.

The Colonia Resident Advisory Committee (C-RAC) is a committee of colonia residents appointed by the TDHCA Governing Board which advises the Department on the needs of colonia residents and the types of programs and activities which should be undertaken by the Colonia SHCs. In consultation with C-RAC and the appropriate unit of local government, the Department designates up to five colonias in each county to receive concentrated attention from the Colonia SHCs. Each county nominates two colonia residents who reside in colonias from the same county as the Colonia SHC to serve on the committee. The C-RAC reviews the county proposals and may make recommendations on contracts before they are considered for award by the Board.

The operations of the Colonia SHCs are funded by HUD through the Texas Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) Program 2.5% set-aside, which is approximately \$1.7 million per year. The CDBG funds are transferred to the Department through a memorandum of understanding with the Texas Department of Agriculture. Only units of local government are eligible to receive CDBG funds and the Department enters into contracts with each participating unit of general local government to implement the Colonia SHC Program. The Department provides administrative and general oversight


to ensure programmatic and contract compliance. Colonia SHCs are encouraged to seek funding from other sources to help them achieve their goals and performance measures.

OFFICE OF COLONIA INITIATIVES

OCI includes dedicated staff who work closely with CSHCs and other organizations along the Texas-Mexico border to act as a liaison between nonprofit organizations and units of local government. The OCI provides technical assistance to nonprofits, for-profits, units of local government, community organizations and colonia residents along the 150-mile Texas-Mexico border region.

For organizations, this includes providing guidance on program rules, reviewing funding draw submissions, analyzing policies and procedures, conducting workshops and trainings, performing inspections, reviewing loan applications and assuring general compliance with any program requirements. For colonia residents, this includes providing information and resources related to TDHCA programs and referrals to other housing programs, social services, manufactured housing, debt and financial counseling, legal, homeownership and directory assistance to other local, state and national programs. Lastly, the OCI and the Colonia SHCs will provide 3,600 targeted technical assistance to individual colonia residents through the Colonia SHC Program as a whole.

Colonia Self-Help Centers



SECTION 8: TSAHC 2025 ACTION PLAN



TSAHC's approved plan will appear in the final version of the 2025 SLIHP

APPENDIX A: LEGISLATIVE REQUIREMENTS FOR THE STATE OF TEXAS LOW INCOME HOUSING PLAN AND ANNUAL REPORT

SEC. 2306.072. ANNUAL LOW INCOME HOUSING REPORT

- (a) Not later than March 18 of each year, the director shall prepare and submit to the board an annual report of the department's housing activities for the preceding year.
- (b) Not later than the 30th day after the date the board receives and approves the report, the board shall submit the report to the governor, lieutenant governor, speaker of the House of Representatives, and member of any legislative oversight committee.
- (c) The report must include:
 - (1) a complete operating and financial statement of the department;
 - (2) a comprehensive statement of the activities of the department during the preceding year to address the needs identified in the state low income housing plan prepared as required by Section 2306.0721, including:
 - (A) a statistical and narrative analysis of the department's performance in addressing the housing needs of individuals and families of low and very low income;
 - (B) the ethnic and racial composition of individuals and families applying for and receiving assistance from each housing-related program operated by the department;
 - (C) the department's progress in meeting the goals established in the previous housing plan, including goals established with respect to the populations described by Section 2306.0721(c)(1); and
 - (D) recommendations on how to improve the coordination of department services to the populations described by Section 2306.0721(c)(1);
 - (3) an explanation of the efforts made by the department to ensure the participation of individuals of low income and their community-based institutions in department programs that affect them;
 - (4) a statement of the evidence that the department has made an affirmative effort to ensure the involvement of individuals of low income and their community-based institutions in the allocation of funds and the planning process;
 - (5) a statistical analysis, delineated according to each ethnic and racial group served by the department, that indicates the progress made by the department in implementing the state low income housing plan in each of the uniform state service regions;
 - (6) an analysis, based on information provided by the fair housing sponsor reports required under Section 2306.0724 and other available data, of fair housing opportunities in each housing development that receives financial assistance from the department that includes the following information for each housing development that contains 20 or more living units:
 - (A) the street address and municipality or county in which the property is located;
 - (B) the telephone number of the property management or leasing agent
 - (C) the total number of units, reported by bedroom size;
 - (D) the total number of units, reported by bedroom size, designed for individuals who are physically challenged or who have special needs and the number of these individuals served annually;
 - (E) the rent for each type of rental unit, reported by bedroom size;
 - (F) the race or ethnic makeup of each project;
 - (G) the number of units occupied by individuals receiving government-supported housing assistance and the type of assistance received;
 - (H) the number of units occupied by individuals and families of extremely low income, very low income, low income, moderate income, and other levels of income;

- (I) a statement as to whether the department has been notified of a violation of the fair housing law that has been filed with the United States Department of Housing and Urban Development, the Commission on Human Rights, or the United States Department of Justice; and
- (J) a statement as to whether the development has any instances of material noncompliance with bond indentures or deed restrictions discovered through the normal monitoring activities and procedures that include meeting occupancy requirement or rent restrictions imposed by deed restriction or financing agreements;
- (7) a report on the geographic distribution of low income housing tax credits, the amount of unused low income housing tax credits, and the amount of low income housing tax credits received from the federal pool of unused funds from other states; and
- (8) a statistical analysis, based on information provided by the fair housing sponsor reports required by Section 2306.0724 and other available data, of average rents reported by county.

SEC. 2306.0721. LOW INCOME HOUSING PLAN

- (a) Not later than March 18 of each year, the director shall prepare and submit to the board an integrated state low income housing plan for the next year.
- (b) Not later than the 30th day after the date the board receives and approves the plan, the board shall submit the plan to the governor, lieutenant governor, and the speaker of the house of representatives.
- (c) The plan must include:
 - (1) an estimate and analysis of the size and the different housing needs of the following populations in each uniform state service region:
 - (A) individuals and families of moderate, low, very low, and extremely low income;
 - (B) individuals with special needs;
 - (C) homeless individuals;
 - (D) veterans;
 - (E) farmworkers;
 - (F) youth who are aging out of foster care;
 - (G) Homeless youth, as defined by Section 2306.1101, and other individuals older than 18 years of age and younger than 25 years of age who are homeless; and
 - (H) elderly individuals;
 - (2) a proposal to use all available housing resources to address the housing needs of the populations described by Subdivision (1) by establishing funding levels for all housing-related programs;
 - (3) an estimate of the number of federally assisted housing units available for individuals and families of low and very low income and individuals with special needs in each uniform state service region;
 - (4) a description of state programs that govern the use of all available housing resources;
 - (5) a resource allocation plan that targets all available housing resources to individuals and families of low and very low income and individuals with special needs in each uniform state service region;
 - (6) a description of the department's efforts to monitor and analyze the unused or underused federal resources of other state agencies for housing-related services and services for homeless individuals and the department's recommendations to ensure the full use by the state of all available federal resources for those services in each uniform state service region;
 - (7) strategies to provide housing for individuals and families with special needs in each uniform state service region;

- (8) a description of the department's efforts to encourage in each uniform state service region the construction of housing units that incorporate energy efficient construction and appliances;
 - (9) an estimate and analysis of the housing supply in each uniform state service region
 - (10) an inventory of all publicly and, where possible, privately funded housing resources, including public housing authorities, housing finance corporations, community housing development organizations, and community action agencies;
 - (11) strategies for meeting rural housing needs;
 - (12) a biennial action plan for colonias that:
 - (A) addresses current policy goals for colonia programs, strategies to meet the policy goals, and the projected outcomes with respect to the policy goals;
 - (B) includes information on the demand for contract-for-deed conversions, services from self-help centers, consumer education, and other colonia resident services in counties some part of which is within 150 miles of the international border of the state;
 - (13) a summary of public comments received at a hearing under this chapter or from another source that concern the demand for colonia resident services described by Subdivision (12); and
 - (13-a) information regarding foreclosures of residential property in this state, including the number and geographic location of those foreclosures.
- (d) The priorities and policies in another plan adopted by the department must be consistent to the extent practical with the priorities and policies established in the state low income housing plan.
- (e) To the extent consistent with federal law, the preparation and publication of the state low income housing plan shall be consistent with the filing and publication deadlines required of the department for the consolidated plan.
- (f) The director may subdivide the uniform state serve regions as necessary for purposes of the state low income housing plan.
- (g) The department shall include the plan developed by the Texas State Affordable Housing Corporation under Section 2306.566 in the department's resource allocation plan under Subsection (c)(5).

SEC. 2306.0722. PREPARATION OF PLAN AND REPORT

- (a) Before preparing the annual low income housing report under Section 2306.072 and the state low income housing plan under Section 2306.0721, the department shall meet with regional planning commissions created under Chapter 391, Local Government Code, representatives of groups with an interest in low income housing, nonprofit housing organizations, managers, owners, and developers of affordable housing, local government officials, residents of low income housing, and members of the Colonia Resident Advisory Committee. The department shall obtain the comments and suggestions of the representatives, officials, residents, and members about the prioritization and allocation of the department's resources in regard to housing.
- (b) In preparing the annual report under Section 2306.072 and the state low income housing plan under Section 2306.0721, the director shall:
 - (1) coordinate local, state, and federal housing resources, including tax exempt housing bond financing and low income housing tax credits;
 - (2) set priorities for the available housing resources to help the neediest individuals;
 - (3) evaluate the success of publicly supported housing programs

- (4) survey and identify the unmet housing needs of individuals the department is required to assist;
- (5) ensure that housing programs benefit an individual without regard to the individual's race, ethnicity, sex, or national origin;
- (6) develop housing opportunities for individuals and families of low and very low income and individuals with special housing needs;
- (7) develop housing programs through an open, fair, and public process;
- (8) set priorities for assistance in a manner that is appropriate and consistent with the housing needs of the populations described by Section 2306.0721(c)(1);
- (9) incorporate recommendations that are consistent with the consolidated plan submitted annually by the state to the United States Department of Housing and Urban Development;
- (10) identify the organizations and individuals consulted by the department in preparing the annual report and state low income housing plan and summarize and incorporate comments and suggestions provided under Subsection (a) as the board determines to be appropriate;
- (11) develop a plan to respond to changes in federal funding and programs for the provision of affordable housing;
- (12) use the following standardized categories to describe the income of program applicants and beneficiaries:
 - i. 0 to 30 percent of area median income adjusted for family size;
 - ii. more than 30 to 60 percent of area median income adjusted for family size;
 - iii. more than 60 to 80 percent of area median income adjusted for family size;
 - iv. more than 80 to 115 percent of area median income adjusted for family size;or
 - v. more than 115 percent of area median income adjusted for family size;
- (13) use the most recent census data combined with existing data from local housing and community service providers in the state, including public housing authorities, housing finance corporations, community housing development organizations, and community action agencies; and
- (14) provide the needs assessment information compiled for report and plan to the Texas State Affordable Housing Corporation.

SEC. 2306.0723. PUBLIC PARTICIPATION REQUIREMENTS

The Department shall consider the annual low income housing report to be a rule and in developing the report shall follow rulemaking procedures required by Chapter 2001.

SEC. 2306.0724. FAIR HOUSING SPONSOR REPORT

- (a) The Department shall require the owner of each housing development that receives financial assistance from the Department and that contains 20 or more living units to submit an annual fair housing sponsor report. The report must include the relevant information necessary for the analysis required by Section 2306.072(c)(6). In compiling the information for the report, the owner of each housing development shall use data current as of January 1 of the reporting year.
- (b) The Department shall adopt rules regarding the procedure for filing the report.
- (c) The Department shall maintain the reports in electronic and hard-copy formats readily available to the public at no cost.
- (d) A housing sponsor who fails to file a report in a timely manner is subject to the following sanctions, as determined by the Department:
 - (1) denial of a request for additional funding; or

- (2) an administrative penalty in an amount not to exceed \$1,000, assessed in the manner provided for an administrative penalty under Section 2306.6023.


APPENDIX B: HOUSING ANALYSIS REGIONAL TABLES

The following notes apply to all Housing Analysis Regional Tables.

- Due to limitations of available data, only civilian, non-institutionalized persons with a disability are counted for each region's persons with disability population count. Statistics for total civilian non-institutionalized population are pulled from the 2018-2022 ACS, Table S1810 and available at the state or county level on the Census Bureau's data website (<https://data.census.gov/>).
- The figures reported for Persons with HIV/AIDS do not include those unaware of their HIV infection, or those who tested positive for HIV solely through an anonymous HIV test. In addition, 3,946 counted in Texas Department of Criminal Justice facilities, Federal Prison facilities, and Federal Immigration and Customs Enforcement facilities are not attributed to a geographic area and are only present in the total statewide figure.
- Veteran populations are compared to civilian population 18 years old and over. Statistics for total civilian population 18 years and over are pulled from the 2018-2022 ACS, Table S2101 and available at the state or county level on the Census Bureau's data website (<https://data.census.gov/>).
- Housing units reported in the 'Other' category under Physical Housing Characteristics of Housing Units can include any living quarters occupied as a housing unit that does not fit in the other categories. Examples that fit in the 'Other' category are houseboats, railroad cars, campers and vans.

For reference, a map and list of all Texas counties grouped by region with urban/rural designation has been included preceding the Housing Analysis Regional Tables.

13 State Service Regions of Texas



TDHCA Counties by Region with 2025 Urban/Rural Designation

| Region 1 | Region 2 | Region 3 | Region 4 | Region 5 | Region 6 | Region 7 | Region 8 | Region 9 | Region 10 | Region 11 | Region 12 | Region 13 |
|---------------|--------------|------------|-----------|---------------|------------|------------|------------|-----------|--------------|-----------|------------|------------|
| Armstrong | Archer | Collin | Anderson | Angelina | Austin | Bastrop | Bell | Atascosa | Aransas | Cameron | Andrews | Brewster |
| Bailey | Baylor | Cooke | Bowie | Hardin | Brazoria | Blanco | Bosque | Bandera | Bee | Dimmit | Borden | Culberson |
| Briscoe | Brown | Dallas | Camp | Houston | Chambers | Burnet | Brazos | Bexar | Brooks | Edwards | Coke | El Paso |
| Carson | Callahan | Denton | Cass | Jasper | Colorado | Caldwell | Burleson | Comal | Calhoun | Hidalgo | Concho | Hudspeth |
| Castro | Clay | Ellis | Cherokee | Jefferson | Fort Bend | Fayette | Coryell | Frio | DeWitt | Jim Hogg | Crane | Jeff Davis |
| Childress | Coleman | Erath | Delta | Nacogdoches | Galveston | Hays | Falls | Gillespie | Duval | Kinney | Crockett | Presidio |
| Cochran | Comanche | Fannin | Franklin | Newton | Harris | Lee | Freestone | Guadalupe | Goliad | La Salle | Dawson | |
| Collingsworth | Cottle | Grayson | Gregg | Orange | Liberty | Llano | Grimes | Karnes | Gonzales | Maverick | Ector | |
| Crosby | Eastland | Hood | Harrison | Polk | Matagorda | Travis | Hamilton | Kendall | Jackson | Real | Gaines | |
| Dallam | Fisher | Hunt | Henderson | Sabine | Montgomery | Williamson | Hill | Kerr | Jim Wells | Starr | Glasscock | |
| Deaf Smith | Foard | Johnson | Hopkins | San Augustine | Walker | | Lampasas | Medina | Kenedy | Uvalde | Howard | |
| Dickens | Hardeman | Kaufman | Lamar | San Jacinto | Waller | | Leon | Wilson | Kleberg | Val Verde | Irion | |
| Donley | Haskell | Navarro | Marion | Shelby | Wharton | | Limestone | | Lavaca | Webb | Kimble | |
| Floyd | Jack | Palo Pinto | Morris | Trinity | | | Madison | | Live Oak | Willacy | Loving | |
| Garza | Jones | Parker | Panola | Tyler | | | McLennan | | McMullen | Zapata | Martin | |
| Gray | Kent | Rockwall | Rains | | | | Milam | | Nueces | Zavala | Mason | |
| Hale | Knox | Somervell | Red River | | | | Mills | | Refugio | | McCulloch | |
| Hall | Mitchell | Tarrant | Rusk | | | | Robertson | | San Patricio | | Menard | |
| Hansford | Montague | Wise | Smith | | | | San Saba | | Victoria | | Midland | |
| Hartley | Nolan | | Titus | | | | Washington | | | | Pecos | |
| Hemphill | Runnels | | Upshur | | | | | | | | Reagan | |
| Hockley | Scurry | | Van Zandt | | | | | | | | Reeves | |
| Hutchinson | Shackelford | | Wood | | | | | | | | Schleicher | |
| King | Stephens | | | | | | | | | | Sterling | |
| Lamb | Stonewall | | | | | | | | | | Sutton | |
| Lipscomb | Taylor | | | | | | | | | | Terrell | |
| Lubbock | Throckmorton | | | | | | | | | | Tom Green | |
| Lynn | Wichita | | | | | | | | | | Upton | |
| Moore | Wilbarger | | | | | | | | | | Ward | |
| Motley | Young | | | | | | | | | | Winkler | |
| Ochiltree | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Oldham | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Parmer | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Potter | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Randall | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Roberts | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Sherman | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Swisher | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Terry | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Wheeler | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Yoakum | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| |
|--------------|
| Legend: |
| Urban County |
| Rural County |

Appendix B: Housing Analysis Regional Tables

| Region 1 | | Rural | Urban | Total | Source |
|---|---------------|---------|---------|------------------|------------------------------|
| Individuals | | 282,978 | 583,927 | 866,905 | 2018-2022 ACS, DP05 |
| Households | Owner | 68,738 | 133,131 | 201,869 | 2018-2022 ACS, DP04 |
| | Renter | 28,835 | 90,994 | 119,829 | |
| Elderly Persons (65 years+) | | 43,131 | 79,537 | 122,668 | 2018-2022 ACS, DP05 |
| Persons with Disabilities | | 36,605 | 71,387 | 107,992 | 2018-2022 ACS, S1810 |
| Persons with HIV/AIDS | | 266 | 1063 | 1,329 | Texas DSHS, 2022 |
| Incidents of Family Violence | | 1,350 | 7,364 | 8,714 | Texas DPS, 2024 |
| Veterans | | 11,346 | 30,096 | 41,442 | 2018-2022 ACS, S2101 |
| Youth Aging out of Foster Care | | 22 | 51 | 73 | Texas DFPS, 2024 |
| Individuals Below 125% Poverty | | 56,662 | 116,655 | 173,317 | 2018-2022 ACS, S1701 |
| Households by Income Group | 0-30%AMFI | 11,287 | 30,470 | 41,757 | 2017-2021 CHAS, 8 |
| | 30-50%AMFI | 12,174 | 25,775 | 37,949 | |
| | 50-80%AMFI | 19,479 | 37,340 | 56,819 | |
| | 80-100%AMFI | 11,322 | 21,680 | 33,002 | |
| | Over100%AMFI | 48,288 | 101,025 | 149,313 | |
| Number of Units Lacking Kitchen and/or Plumbing by Income Category | 0-30%AMFI | 300 | 630 | 930 | 2017-2021 CHAS, 3 |
| | 30-50%AMFI | 296 | 544 | 840 | |
| | 50-80%AMFI | 207 | 375 | 582 | |
| | 80-100%AMFI | 165 | 195 | 360 | |
| | Over100%AMFI | 345 | 380 | 725 | |
| Number of Households with Housing Cost Burden by Income Category | 0-30%AMFI | 7,143 | 23,180 | 30,323 | 2017-2021 CHAS, 8 |
| | 30-50%AMFI | 5,527 | 17,850 | 23,377 | |
| | 50-80%AMFI | 4,085 | 13,945 | 18,030 | |
| | 80-100%AMFI | 1,281 | 3,394 | 4,675 | |
| | Over100%AMFI | 866 | 4,135 | 5,001 | |
| Number of Households Experiencing Overcrowding by Income Category | 0-30%AMFI | 534 | 1,250 | 1,784 | 2017-2021 CHAS, 10 |
| | 30-50%AMFI | 695 | 1,139 | 1,834 | |
| | 50-80%AMFI | 803 | 1,688 | 2,491 | |
| | 80-100%AMFI | 502 | 925 | 1,427 | |
| | Over100%AMFI | 1,381 | 1,780 | 3,161 | |
| Physical Housing Characteristics for Housing Units (Number of Units in Structure) | Total | 123,450 | 249,178 | 372,628 | 2018-2022 ACS, DP04 |
| | 1unit | 100,015 | 176,291 | 276,306 | |
| | 2units | 2,128 | 6,488 | 8,616 | |
| | 3or4units | 3,361 | 8,722 | 12,083 | |
| | 5to19units | 3,959 | 22,730 | 26,689 | |
| | 20+units | 1,510 | 19,715 | 21,225 | |
| | Mobilehome | 12,270 | 14,884 | 27,154 | |
| | Other | 207 | 348 | 555 | |
| Housing Occupancy | OccupiedUnits | 97,573 | 224,125 | 321,698 | 2018-2022 ACS, DP04 |
| | VacantUnits | 25,877 | 25,053 | 50,930 | |
| | Total | 5,690 | 12,494 | 18,184 | |
| Subsidized Multifamily Units | TDHCA Units | 1,679 | 5,584 | 7,263 | TDHCA Central Database, 2024 |
| | HUD Units | 632 | 1,246 | 1,878 | HUD, 2024 |
| | PHA Units | 999 | 418 | 1,417 | HUD, 2024 |
| | USDA Units | 1,215 | 71 | 1,286 | USDA, 2024 |
| | HCVs | 1,165 | 5,175 | 6,340 | HUD, 2024 |
| Foreclosures | 68 | 353 | 421 | RealtyTrac, 2024 | |

Appendix B: Housing Analysis Regional Tables

| Region 2 | | Rural | Urban | Total | Source |
|---|----------------|---------|---------|------------------|------------------------------|
| Individuals | | 247,734 | 302,661 | 550,395 | 2018-2022 ACS, DP05 |
| Households | Owner | 69,815 | 69,383 | 139,198 | 2018-2022 ACS, DP04 |
| | Renter | 24,928 | 43,230 | 68,158 | |
| Elderly Persons (65 years+) | | 50,137 | 45,650 | 95,787 | 2018-2022 ACS, DP05 |
| Persons with Disabilities | | 46,127 | 43,285 | 89,412 | 2018-2022 ACS, S1810 |
| Persons with HIV/AIDS | | 228 | 410 | 638 | Texas DSHS, 2022 |
| Incidents of Family Violence | | 1,308 | 2,184 | 3,492 | Texas DPS, 2024 |
| Veterans | | 15,243 | 23,052 | 38,295 | 2018-2022 ACS, S2101 |
| Youth Aging out of Foster Care | | 12 | 35 | 47 | Texas DFPS, 2024 |
| Individuals Below 125% Poverty | | 45,404 | 55,400 | 100,804 | 2018-2022 ACS, S1701 |
| Households by Income Group | 0-30% AMFI | 10,205 | 13,750 | 23,955 | 2017-2021 CHAS, 8 |
| | 30-50% AMFI | 12,715 | 12,485 | 25,200 | |
| | 50-80% AMFI | 16,460 | 19,065 | 35,525 | |
| | 80-100% AMFI | 10,323 | 11,880 | 22,203 | |
| | Over 100% AMFI | 43,540 | 54,185 | 97,725 | |
| Number of Units Lacking Kitchen and/or Plumbing by Income Category | 0-30% AMFI | 386 | 464 | 850 | 2017-2021 CHAS, 3 |
| | 30-50% AMFI | 374 | 180 | 554 | |
| | 50-80% AMFI | 292 | 345 | 637 | |
| | 80-100% AMFI | 123 | 119 | 242 | |
| | Over 100% AMFI | 303 | 199 | 502 | |
| Number of Households with Housing Cost Burden by Income Category | 0-30% AMFI | 6,706 | 9,860 | 16,566 | 2017-2021 CHAS, 8 |
| | 30-50% AMFI | 5,655 | 7,815 | 13,470 | |
| | 50-80% AMFI | 3,614 | 6,289 | 9,903 | |
| | 80-100% AMFI | 1,026 | 2,164 | 3,190 | |
| | Over100% AMFI | 1,102 | 2,000 | 3,102 | |
| Number of Households Experiencing Overcrowding by Income Category | 0-30% AMFI | 152 | 450 | 602 | 2017-2021 CHAS, 10 |
| | 30-50% AMFI | 293 | 372 | 665 | |
| | 50-80% AMFI | 514 | 560 | 1,074 | |
| | 80-100% AMFI | 189 | 264 | 453 | |
| | Over 100% AMFI | 1,311 | 884 | 2,195 | |
| Physical Housing Characteristics for Housing Units (Number of Units in Structure) | Total | 122,985 | 128,772 | 251,757 | 2018-2022 ACS, DP04 |
| | 1 unit | 96,991 | 95,881 | 192,872 | |
| | 2 units | 3,570 | 3,717 | 7,287 | |
| | 3 or 4units | 2,520 | 5,179 | 7,699 | |
| | 5 to 19 units | 2,956 | 11,803 | 14,759 | |
| | 20+ units | 1,874 | 5,240 | 7,114 | |
| | Mobile home | 14,538 | 6,776 | 21,314 | |
| | Other | 536 | 176 | 712 | |
| Housing Occupancy | Occupied Units | 94,743 | 112,613 | 207,356 | 2018-2022 ACS, DP04 |
| | Vacant Units | 28,242 | 16,159 | 44,401 | |
| | Total | 7,277 | 7,689 | 14,966 | |
| Subsidized Multifamily Units | TDHCA Units | 1,745 | 2,798 | 4,543 | TDHCA Central Database, 2024 |
| | HUD Units | 568 | 742 | 1,310 | HUD, 2024 |
| | PHA Units | 2,670 | 1,203 | 3,873 | HUD, 2024 |
| | USDA Units | 1,266 | 208 | 1,474 | USDA, 2024 |
| | HCVs | 1,028 | 2,738 | 3,766 | HUD, 2024 |
| Foreclosures | 80 | 184 | 264 | RealtyTrac, 2024 | |

Appendix B: Housing Analysis Regional Tables

| Region 3 | | Rural | Urban | Total | Source |
|---|----------------|---------|-----------|-----------|------------------------------|
| Individuals | | 273,899 | 7,810,387 | 8,084,286 | 2018-2022 ACS, DP05 |
| Households | Owner | 73,396 | 1,688,834 | 1,762,230 | 2018-2022 ACS, DP04 |
| | Renter | 28,283 | 1,122,606 | 1,150,889 | |
| Elderly Persons (65 years+) | | 52,440 | 915,233 | 967,673 | 2018-2022 ACS, DP05 |
| Persons with Disabilities | | 38,971 | 762,375 | 801,346 | 2018-2022 ACS, S1810 |
| Persons with HIV/AIDS | | 321 | 34,684 | 35,005 | Texas DSHS, 2022 |
| Incidents of Family Violence | | 1,318 | 48,991 | 50,309 | Texas DPS, 2024 |
| Veterans | | 17,383 | 338,776 | 356,159 | 2018-2022 ACS, S2101 |
| Youth Aging out of Foster Care | | 5 | 176 | 181 | Texas DFPS, 2024 |
| Individuals Below 125% Poverty | | 47,728 | 1,117,522 | 1,165,250 | 2018-2022 ACS, S1701 |
| Households by Income Group | 0-30% AMFI | 10,800 | 301,825 | 312,625 | 2017-2021 CHAS, 8 |
| | 30-50% AMFI | 10,965 | 302,175 | 313,140 | |
| | 50-80% AMFI | 16,630 | 467,715 | 484,345 | |
| | 80-100% AMFI | 9,705 | 293,835 | 303,540 | |
| | Over 100% AMFI | 50,810 | 1,378,550 | 1,429,360 | |
| Number of Units Lacking Kitchen and/or Plumbing by Income Category | 0-30% AMFI | 294 | 7,125 | 7,419 | 2017-2021 CHAS, 3 |
| | 30-50% AMFI | 119 | 4,335 | 4,454 | |
| | 50-80% AMFI | 338 | 3,996 | 4,334 | |
| | 80-100% AMFI | 133 | 1,693 | 1,826 | |
| | Over 100% AMFI | 373 | 5,549 | 5,922 | |
| Number of Households with Housing Cost Burden by Income Category | 0-30% AMFI | 7,640 | 234,500 | 242,140 | 2017-2021 CHAS, 8 |
| | 30-50% AMFI | 6,155 | 221,690 | 227,845 | |
| | 50-80% AMFI | 6,139 | 210,424 | 216,563 | |
| | 80-100% AMFI | 1,419 | 68,465 | 69,884 | |
| | Over100% AMFI | 2,569 | 77,943 | 80,512 | |
| Number of Households Experiencing Overcrowding by Income Category | 0-30% AMFI | 580 | 21,324 | 21,904 | 2017-2021 CHAS, 10 |
| | 30-50% AMFI | 469 | 24,328 | 24,797 | |
| | 50-80% AMFI | 608 | 28,994 | 29,602 | |
| | 80-100% AMFI | 376 | 14,658 | 15,034 | |
| | Over 100% AMFI | 1,749 | 31,813 | 33,562 | |
| Physical Housing Characteristics for Housing Units (Number of Units in Structure) | Total | 119,572 | 3,022,204 | 3,141,776 | 2018-2022 ACS, DP04 |
| | 1 unit | 87,622 | 1,997,186 | 2,084,808 | |
| | 2 units | 2,277 | 39,215 | 41,492 | |
| | 3 or 4units | 2,908 | 101,655 | 104,563 | |
| | 5 to 19 units | 4,107 | 386,007 | 390,114 | |
| | 20+ units | 3,650 | 392,491 | 396,141 | |
| | Mobile home | 18,682 | 102,515 | 121,197 | |
| | Other | 326 | 3,135 | 3,461 | |
| Housing Occupancy | Occupied Units | 101,679 | 2,811,440 | 2,913,119 | 2018-2022 ACS, DP04 |
| | Vacant Units | 17,893 | 210,764 | 228,657 | |
| | Total | 4,830 | 146,203 | 151,033 | |
| Subsidized Multifamily Units | TDHCA Units | 1,380 | 78,316 | 79,696 | TDHCA Central Database, 2024 |
| | HUD Units | 826 | 8,773 | 9,599 | HUD, 2024 |
| | PHA Units | 660 | 4,758 | 5,418 | HUD, 2024 |
| | USDA Units | 726 | 2,660 | 3,386 | USDA, 2024 |
| | HCVs | 1,238 | 51,696 | 52,934 | HUD, 2024 |
| Foreclosures | | 533 | 4,425 | 4,958 | RealtyTrac, 2024 |

Appendix B: Housing Analysis Regional Tables

| Region 4 | | Rural | Urban | Total | Source | |
|---|----------------|---------|---------|-----------|----------------------|------------------------------|
| Individuals | | 583,455 | 571,686 | 1,155,141 | 2018-2022 ACS, DP05 | |
| Households | Owner | 159,961 | 138,851 | 298,812 | 2018-2022 ACS, DP04 | |
| | Renter | 53,801 | 67,905 | 121,706 | | |
| Elderly Persons (65 years+) | | 115,240 | 97,011 | 212,251 | 2018-2022 ACS, DP05 | |
| Persons with Disabilities | | 93,483 | 77,925 | 171,408 | 2018-2022 ACS, S1810 | |
| Persons with HIV/AIDS | | 1,372 | 1,428 | 2,800 | Texas DSHS, 2022 | |
| Incidents of Family Violence | | 2,633 | 3,799 | 6,432 | Texas DPS, 2024 | |
| Veterans | | 38,635 | 31,209 | 69,844 | 2018-2022 ACS, S2101 | |
| Youth Aging out of Foster Care | | 26 | 21 | 47 | Texas DFPS, 2024 | |
| Individuals Below 125% Poverty | | 112,561 | 107,625 | 220,186 | 2018-2022 ACS, S1701 | |
| Households by Income Group | 0-30% AMFI | 28,825 | 21,655 | 50,480 | 2017-2021 CHAS, 8 | |
| | 30-50% AMFI | 28,905 | 20,045 | 48,950 | | |
| | 50-80% AMFI | 41,090 | 27,840 | 68,930 | | |
| | 80-100% AMFI | 23,140 | 18,085 | 41,225 | | |
| | Over 100% AMFI | 117,370 | 88,955 | 206,325 | | |
| Number of Units Lacking Kitchen and/or Plumbing by Income Category | 0-30% AMFI | 1,482 | 564 | 2,046 | 2017-2021 CHAS, 3 | |
| | 30-50% AMFI | 928 | 325 | 1,253 | | |
| | 50-80% AMFI | 664 | 414 | 1,078 | | |
| | 80-100% AMFI | 194 | 219 | 413 | | |
| | Over 100% AMFI | 773 | 445 | 1,218 | | |
| Number of Households with Housing Cost Burden by Income Category | 0-30% AMFI | 18,788 | 15,240 | 34,028 | 2017-2021 CHAS, 8 | |
| | 30-50% AMFI | 15,194 | 11,835 | 27,029 | | |
| | 50-80% AMFI | 11,633 | 10,025 | 21,658 | | |
| | 80-100% AMFI | 2,763 | 3,029 | 5,792 | | |
| | Over100% AMFI | 3,917 | 3,204 | 7,121 | | |
| Number of Households Experiencing Overcrowding by Income Category | 0-30% AMFI | 1,188 | 1,004 | 2,192 | 2017-2021 CHAS, 10 | |
| | 30-50% AMFI | 1,168 | 878 | 2,046 | | |
| | 50-80% AMFI | 1,782 | 1,140 | 2,922 | | |
| | 80-100% AMFI | 848 | 699 | 1,547 | | |
| | Over 100% AMFI | 3,718 | 1,990 | 5,708 | | |
| Physical Housing Characteristics for Housing Units (Number of Units in Structure) | Total | 257,288 | 242,775 | 500,063 | 2018-2022 ACS, DP04 | |
| | 1 unit | 187,494 | 168,848 | 356,342 | | |
| | 2 units | 4,460 | 9,075 | 13,535 | | |
| | 3 or 4 units | 5,405 | 7,464 | 12,869 | | |
| | 5 to 19 units | 7,056 | 16,292 | 23,348 | | |
| | 20+ units | 4,121 | 9,162 | 13,283 | | |
| | Mobile home | 47,064 | 31,278 | 78,342 | | |
| Other | 1,688 | 656 | 2,344 | | | |
| Housing Occupancy | Occupied Units | 213,762 | 206,756 | 420,518 | 2018-2022 ACS, DP04 | |
| | Vacant Units | 43,526 | 36,019 | 79,545 | | |
| Subsidized Multifamily Units | Total | 11,940 | 14,188 | 26,128 | 2018-2022 ACS, DP04 | |
| | TDHCA Units | 4,184 | 5,917 | 10,101 | | TDHCA Central Database, 2024 |
| | HUD Units | 1,043 | 1,727 | 2,770 | | HUD, 2024 |
| | PHA Units | 1,895 | 381 | 2,276 | | HUD, 2024 |
| | USDA Units | 2,432 | 852 | 3,284 | | USDA, 2024 |
| Foreclosures | HCVs | 2,386 | 5,311 | 7,697 | HUD, 2024 | |
| | | 247 | 348 | 595 | RealtyTrac, 2024 | |

Appendix B: Housing Analysis Regional Tables

| Region 5 | | Rural | Urban | Total | Source |
|---|----------------|---------|---------|------------------|------------------------------|
| Individuals | | 372,804 | 396,279 | 769,083 | 2018-2022 ACS, DP05 |
| Households | Owner | 99,852 | 98,203 | 198,055 | 2018-2022 ACS, DP04 |
| | Renter | 39,432 | 47,109 | 86,541 | |
| Elderly Persons (65 years+) | | 71,480 | 61,071 | 132,551 | 2018-2022 ACS, DP05 |
| Persons with Disabilities | | 70,567 | 60,888 | 131,455 | 2018-2022 ACS, S1810 |
| Persons with HIV/AIDS | | 760 | 1,223 | 1,983 | Texas DSHS, 2022 |
| Incidents of Family Violence | | 2,063 | 4,587 | 6,650 | Texas DPS, 2024 |
| Veterans | | 24,440 | 20,981 | 45,421 | 2018-2022 ACS, S2101 |
| Youth Aging out of Foster Care | | 20 | 4 | 24 | Texas DFPS, 2024 |
| Individuals Below 125% Poverty | | 88,786 | 81,432 | 170,218 | 2018-2022 ACS, S1701 |
| Households by Income Group | 0-30% AMFI | 19,200 | 19,415 | 38,615 | 2017-2021 CHAS, 8 |
| | 30-50% AMFI | 19,100 | 15,485 | 34,585 | |
| | 50-80% AMFI | 23,910 | 22,230 | 46,140 | |
| | 80-100% AMFI | 13,445 | 14,960 | 28,405 | |
| | Over 100% AMFI | 59,810 | 71,855 | 131,665 | |
| Number of Units Lacking Kitchen and/or Plumbing by Income Category | 0-30% AMFI | 722 | 475 | 1,197 | 2017-2021 CHAS, 3 |
| | 30-50% AMFI | 416 | 169 | 585 | |
| | 50-80% AMFI | 333 | 260 | 593 | |
| | 80-100% AMFI | 50 | 155 | 205 | |
| | Over 100% AMFI | 406 | 475 | 881 | |
| Number of Households with Housing Cost Burden by Income Category | 0-30% AMFI | 12,985 | 12,550 | 25,535 | 2017-2021 CHAS, 8 |
| | 30-50% AMFI | 9,308 | 8,410 | 17,718 | |
| | 50-80% AMFI | 6,545 | 6,874 | 13,419 | |
| | 80-100% AMFI | 1,660 | 2,585 | 4,245 | |
| | Over100% AMFI | 1,423 | 2,359 | 3,782 | |
| Number of Households Experiencing Overcrowding by Income Category | 0-30% AMFI | 1,005 | 639 | 1,644 | 2017-2021 CHAS, 10 |
| | 30-50% AMFI | 1,111 | 634 | 1,745 | |
| | 50-80% AMFI | 1,479 | 850 | 2,329 | |
| | 80-100% AMFI | 617 | 315 | 932 | |
| | Over 100% AMFI | 2,198 | 1,550 | 3,748 | |
| Physical Housing Characteristics for Housing Units (Number of Units in Structure) | Total | 180,061 | 170,491 | 350,552 | 2018-2022 ACS, DP04 |
| | 1 unit | 118,579 | 122,779 | 241,358 | |
| | 2 units | 3,863 | 3,197 | 7,060 | |
| | 3 or 4 units | 3,730 | 4,451 | 8,181 | |
| | 5 to 19 units | 5,937 | 16,975 | 22,912 | |
| | 20+ units | 3,946 | 6,114 | 10,060 | |
| | Mobile home | 43,095 | 16,093 | 59,188 | |
| Other | 911 | 882 | 1,793 | | |
| Housing Occupancy | Occupied Units | 139,284 | 145,312 | 284,596 | 2018-2022 ACS, DP04 |
| | Vacant Units | 40,777 | 25,179 | 65,956 | |
| | Total | 9,598 | 17,274 | 26,872 | |
| Subsidized Multifamily Units | TDHCA Units | 3,086 | 6,756 | 9,842 | TDHCA Central Database, 2024 |
| | HUD Units | 983 | 3,355 | 4,338 | HUD, 2024 |
| | PHA Units | 1,614 | 665 | 2,279 | HUD, 2024 |
| | USDA Units | 1,056 | 166 | 1,222 | USDA, 2024 |
| | HCVs | 2,859 | 6,332 | 9,191 | HUD, 2024 |
| Foreclosures | 137 | 334 | 471 | RealtyTrac, 2024 | |

Appendix B: Housing Analysis Regional Tables

| Region 6 | | Rural | Urban | Total | Source | |
|---|----------------|---------|-----------|-----------|----------------------|------------------------------|
| Individuals | | 206,035 | 7,112,197 | 7,318,232 | 2018-2022 ACS, DP05 | |
| Households | Owner | 48,922 | 1,532,741 | 1,581,663 | 2018-2022 ACS, DP04 | |
| | Renter | 24,180 | 979,749 | 1,003,929 | | |
| Elderly Persons (65 years+) | | 34,587 | 826,216 | 860,803 | 2018-2022 ACS, DP05 | |
| Persons with Disabilities | | 26,715 | 700,020 | 726,735 | 2018-2022 ACS, S1810 | |
| Persons with HIV/AIDS | | 329 | 33,760 | 34,089 | Texas DSHS, 2022 | |
| Incidents of Domestic Violence | | 1,407 | 63,517 | 64,924 | Texas DPS, 2024 | |
| Veterans | | 10,797 | 261,749 | 272,546 | 2018-2022 ACS, S2101 | |
| Youth Aging out of Foster Care | | 4 | 155 | 159 | Texas DFPS, 2024 | |
| Individuals Below 125% Poverty | | 40,839 | 1,248,712 | 1,289,551 | 2018-2022 ACS, S1701 | |
| Households by Income Group | 0-30% AMFI | 10,630 | 303,315 | 313,945 | 2017-2021 CHAS, 8 | |
| | 30-50% AMFI | 7,690 | 278,360 | 286,050 | | |
| | 50-80% AMFI | 13,075 | 391,990 | 405,065 | | |
| | 80-100% AMFI | 7,335 | 244,530 | 251,865 | | |
| | Over 100% AMFI | 32,565 | 1,229,770 | 1,262,335 | | |
| Number of Units Lacking Kitchen and/or Plumbing by Income Category | 0-30% AMFI | 359 | 6,480 | 6,839 | 2017-2021 CHAS, 3 | |
| | 30-50% AMFI | 228 | 3,450 | 3,678 | | |
| | 50-80% AMFI | 175 | 4,120 | 4,295 | | |
| | 80-100% AMFI | 53 | 1,504 | 1,557 | | |
| | Over 100% AMFI | 305 | 5,610 | 5,915 | | |
| Number of Households with Housing Cost Burden by Income Category | 0-30% AMFI | 7,240 | 232,535 | 239,775 | 2017-2021 CHAS, 8 | |
| | 30-50% AMFI | 4,240 | 198,295 | 202,535 | | |
| | 50-80% AMFI | 4,435 | 174,415 | 178,850 | | |
| | 80-100% AMFI | 1,245 | 54,450 | 55,695 | | |
| | Over100% AMFI | 1,520 | 68,704 | 70,224 | | |
| Number of Households Experiencing Overcrowding by Income Category | 0-30% AMFI | 324 | 25,060 | 25,384 | 2017-2021 CHAS, 10 | |
| | 30-50% AMFI | 543 | 26,329 | 26,872 | | |
| | 50-80% AMFI | 735 | 29,435 | 30,170 | | |
| | 80-100% AMFI | 219 | 14,274 | 14,493 | | |
| | Over 100% AMFI | 1,234 | 32,759 | 33,993 | | |
| Physical Housing Characteristics for Housing Units (Number of Units in Structure) | Total | 88,825 | 2,746,691 | 2,835,516 | 2018-2022 ACS, DP04 | |
| | 1 unit | 58,949 | 1,803,945 | 1,862,894 | | |
| | 2 units | 2,268 | 31,525 | 33,793 | | |
| | 3 or 4units | 3,007 | 77,734 | 80,741 | | |
| | 5 to 19 units | 6,075 | 331,535 | 337,610 | | |
| | 20+ units | 3,603 | 375,072 | 378,675 | | |
| | Mobile home | 14,615 | 121,408 | 136,023 | | |
| Other | 308 | 5,472 | 5,780 | | | |
| Housing Occupancy | Occupied Units | 73,102 | 2,512,490 | 2,585,592 | 2018-2022 ACS, DP04 | |
| | Vacant Units | 15,723 | 234,201 | 249,924 | | |
| Subsidized Multifamily Units | Total | 4,062 | 119,611 | 123,673 | 2018-2022 ACS, DP04 | |
| | TDHCA Units | 1,564 | 72,525 | 74,089 | | TDHCA Central Database, 2024 |
| | HUD Units | 794 | 11,800 | 12,594 | | HUD, 2024 |
| | PHA Units | 370 | 3,085 | 3,455 | | HUD, 2024 |
| | USDA Units | 702 | 2,128 | 2,830 | | USDA, 2024 |
| | HCVs | 632 | 30,073 | 30,705 | | HUD, 2024 |
| Foreclosures | | 72 | 7,083 | 7,155 | RealtyTrac, 2024 | |

Appendix B: Housing Analysis Regional Tables

| Region 7 | | Rural | Urban | Total | Source |
|---|----------------|---------|-----------|-----------|------------------------------|
| Individuals | | 125,036 | 2,296,377 | 2,421,413 | 2018-2022 ACS, DP05 |
| Households | Owner | 38,639 | 532,940 | 571,579 | 2018-2022 ACS, DP04 |
| | Renter | 10,061 | 372,749 | 382,810 | |
| Elderly Persons (65 years+) | | 32,325 | 261,347 | 293,672 | 2018-2022 ACS, DP05 |
| Persons with Disabilities | | 20,540 | 222,658 | 243,198 | 2018-2022 ACS, S1810 |
| Persons with HIV/AIDS | | 155 | 7,110 | 7,265 | Texas DSHS, 2022 |
| Incidents of Family Violence | | 656 | 14,679 | 15,335 | Texas DPS, 2024 |
| Veterans | | 9,519 | 109,421 | 118,940 | 2018-2022 ACS, S2101 |
| Youth Aging out of Foster Care | | 5 | 58 | 63 | Texas DFPS, 2024 |
| Individuals Below 125% Poverty | | 18,821 | 293,552 | 312,373 | 2018-2022 ACS, S1701 |
| Households by Income Group | 0-30% AMFI | 4,285 | 102,485 | 106,770 | 2017-2021 CHAS, 8 |
| | 30-50% AMFI | 4,605 | 92,570 | 97,175 | |
| | 50-80% AMFI | 7,870 | 154,850 | 162,720 | |
| | 80-100% AMFI | 4,895 | 91,455 | 96,350 | |
| | Over 100% AMFI | 25,130 | 421,155 | 446,285 | |
| Number of Units Lacking Kitchen and/or Plumbing by Income Category | 0-30% AMFI | 124 | 2,090 | 2,214 | 2017-2021 CHAS, 3 |
| | 30-50% AMFI | 110 | 1,300 | 1,410 | |
| | 50-80% AMFI | 49 | 1,415 | 1,464 | |
| | 80-100% AMFI | 90 | 830 | 920 | |
| | Over 100% AMFI | 234 | 2,020 | 2,254 | |
| Number of Households with Housing Cost Burden by Income Category | 0-30% AMFI | 2,935 | 82,585 | 85,520 | 2017-2021 CHAS, 8 |
| | 30-50% AMFI | 2,640 | 69,485 | 72,125 | |
| | 50-80% AMFI | 2,840 | 66,415 | 69,255 | |
| | 80-100% AMFI | 1,144 | 17,725 | 18,869 | |
| | Over100% AMFI | 1,328 | 22,530 | 23,858 | |
| Number of Households Experiencing Overcrowding by Income Category | 0-30% AMFI | 43 | 7,445 | 7,488 | 2017-2021 CHAS, 10 |
| | 30-50% AMFI | 195 | 6,625 | 6,820 | |
| | 50-80% AMFI | 453 | 7,300 | 7,753 | |
| | 80-100% AMFI | 154 | 3,552 | 3,706 | |
| | Over 100% AMFI | 663 | 8,239 | 8,902 | |
| Physical Housing Characteristics for Housing Units (Number of Units in Structure) | Total | 66,335 | 960,087 | 1,026,422 | 2018-2022 ACS, DP04 |
| | 1 unit | 50,382 | 603,250 | 653,632 | |
| | 2 units | 883 | 21,258 | 22,141 | |
| | 3 or 4units | 1,358 | 29,919 | 31,277 | |
| | 5 to 19 units | 1,860 | 114,407 | 116,267 | |
| | 20+ units | 1,259 | 145,850 | 147,109 | |
| | Mobile home | 10,141 | 43,183 | 53,324 | |
| | Other | 452 | 2,220 | 2,672 | |
| Housing Occupancy | Occupied Units | 48,700 | 905,689 | 954,389 | 2018-2022 ACS, DP04 |
| | Vacant Units | 17,635 | 54,398 | 72,033 | |
| Subsidized Multifamily Units | Total | 2,495 | 59,585 | 62,080 | TDHCA Central Database, 2024 |
| | TDHCA Units | 1,025 | 44,710 | 45,735 | |
| | HUD Units | 144 | 4,490 | 4,634 | |
| | PHA Units | 265 | 1,028 | 1,293 | |
| | USDA Units | 630 | 784 | 1,414 | |
| | HCVs | 431 | 8,573 | 9,004 | |
| Foreclosures | | 37 | 1,096 | 1,133 | RealtyTrac, 2024 |

Appendix B: Housing Analysis Regional Tables

| Region 8 | | Rural | Urban | Total | Source | |
|---|----------------|---------|---------|-----------|----------------------|------------------------------|
| Individuals | | 569,502 | 690,296 | 1,259,798 | 2018-2022 ACS, DP05 | |
| Households | Owner | 144,185 | 128,300 | 272,485 | 2018-2022 ACS, DP04 | |
| | Renter | 65,165 | 117,640 | 182,805 | | |
| Elderly Persons (65 years+) | | 102,893 | 73,258 | 176,151 | 2018-2022 ACS, DP05 | |
| Persons with Disabilities | | 85,810 | 86,152 | 171,962 | 2018-2022 ACS, S1810 | |
| Persons with HIV/AIDS | | 420 | 1,492 | 1,912 | Texas DSHS, 2022 | |
| Incidents of Family Violence | | 1,285 | 6,386 | 7,671 | Texas DPS, 2024 | |
| Veterans | | 35,508 | 67,404 | 102,912 | 2018-2022 ACS, S2101 | |
| Youth Aging out of Foster Care | | 32 | 26 | 58 | Texas DFPS, 2024 | |
| Individuals Below 125% Poverty | | 113,309 | 145,754 | 259,063 | 2018-2022 ACS, S1701 | |
| Households by Income Group | 0-30% AMFI | 11,750 | 46,010 | 57,760 | 2017-2021 CHAS, 8 | |
| | 30-50% AMFI | 12,350 | 37,735 | 50,085 | | |
| | 50-80% AMFI | 17,940 | 56,400 | 74,340 | | |
| | 80-100% AMFI | 10,105 | 33,520 | 43,625 | | |
| | Over 100% AMFI | 51,215 | 166,545 | 217,760 | | |
| Number of Units Lacking Kitchen and/or Plumbing by Income Category | 0-30% AMFI | 422 | 620 | 1,042 | 2017-2021 CHAS, 3 | |
| | 30-50% AMFI | 255 | 585 | 840 | | |
| | 50-80% AMFI | 319 | 430 | 749 | | |
| | 80-100% AMFI | 123 | 254 | 377 | | |
| | Over 100% AMFI | 475 | 945 | 1,420 | | |
| Number of Households with Housing Cost Burden by Income Category | 0-30% AMFI | 7,833 | 36,050 | 43,883 | 2017-2021 CHAS, 8 | |
| | 30-50% AMFI | 5,903 | 28,290 | 34,193 | | |
| | 50-80% AMFI | 4,387 | 26,390 | 30,777 | | |
| | 80-100% AMFI | 1,048 | 8,205 | 9,253 | | |
| | Over100% AMFI | 1,491 | 9,455 | 10,946 | | |
| Number of Households Experiencing Overcrowding by Income Category | 0-30% AMFI | 413 | 1,755 | 2,168 | 2017-2021 CHAS, 10 | |
| | 30-50% AMFI | 784 | 1,824 | 2,608 | | |
| | 50-80% AMFI | 699 | 2,740 | 3,439 | | |
| | 80-100% AMFI | 481 | 1,672 | 2,153 | | |
| | Over 100% AMFI | 1,702 | 3,500 | 5,202 | | |
| Physical Housing Characteristics for Housing Units (Number of Units in Structure) | Total | 250,836 | 274,905 | 525,741 | 2018-2022 ACS, DP04 | |
| | 1 unit | 180,099 | 177,200 | 357,299 | | |
| | 2 units | 6,635 | 14,061 | 20,696 | | |
| | 3 or 4units | 6,695 | 18,439 | 25,134 | | |
| | 5 to 19 units | 13,851 | 28,824 | 42,675 | | |
| | 20+ units | 9,976 | 18,859 | 28,835 | | |
| | Mobile home | 32,698 | 17,014 | 49,712 | | |
| | Other | 882 | 508 | 1,390 | | |
| Housing Occupancy | Occupied Units | 209,350 | 245,940 | 455,290 | 2018-2022 ACS, DP04 | |
| | Vacant Units | 41,486 | 28,965 | 70,451 | | |
| Subsidized Multifamily Units | Total | 6,220 | 19,213 | 25,433 | 2018-2022 ACS, DP04 | |
| | TDHCA Units | 2,060 | 6,764 | 8,824 | | TDHCA Central Database, 2024 |
| | HUD Units | 400 | 1,815 | 2,215 | | HUD, 2024 |
| | PHA Units | 1,604 | 1,891 | 3,495 | | HUD, 2024 |
| | USDA Units | 1,260 | 804 | 2,064 | | USDA, 2024 |
| | HCVs | 896 | 7,939 | 8,835 | | HUD, 2024 |
| Foreclosures | | 95 | 563 | 658 | RealtyTrac, 2024 | |

Appendix B: Housing Analysis Regional Tables

| Region 9 | | Rural | Urban | Total | Source |
|---|----------------|---------|-----------|-----------|------------------------------|
| Individuals | | 233,685 | 2,449,896 | 2,683,581 | 2018-2022 ACS, DP05 |
| Households | Owner | 63,385 | 550,867 | 614,252 | 2018-2022 ACS, DP04 |
| | Renter | 20,539 | 337,731 | 358,270 | |
| Elderly Persons (65 years+) | | 48,415 | 321,287 | 369,702 | 2018-2022 ACS, DP05 |
| Persons with Disabilities | | 31,814 | 343,932 | 375,746 | 2018-2022 ACS, S1810 |
| Persons with HIV/AIDS | | 279 | 7,642 | 7,921 | Texas DSHS, 2022 |
| Incidence of Family Violence | | 1,109 | 20,103 | 21,212 | Texas DPS, 2024 |
| Veterans | | 17,482 | 185,677 | 203,159 | 2018-2022 ACS, S2101 |
| Youth Aging out of Foster Care | | 11 | 107 | 118 | Texas DFPS, 2024 |
| Individuals Below 125% Poverty | | 38,857 | 440,881 | 479,738 | 2018-2022 ACS, S1701 |
| Households by Income Group | 0-30% AMFI | 9,040 | 111,155 | 120,195 | 2017-2021 CHAS, 8 |
| | 30-50% AMFI | 8,730 | 97,170 | 105,900 | |
| | 50-80% AMFI | 12,825 | 147,040 | 159,865 | |
| | 80-100% AMFI | 8,200 | 87,205 | 95,405 | |
| | Over 100% AMFI | 44,670 | 426,735 | 471,405 | |
| Number of Units Lacking Kitchen and/or Plumbing by Income Category | 0-30% AMFI | 374 | 2,545 | 2,919 | 2017-2021 CHAS, 3 |
| | 30-50% AMFI | 210 | 1,510 | 1,720 | |
| | 50-80% AMFI | 170 | 1,390 | 1,560 | |
| | 80-100% AMFI | 65 | 475 | 540 | |
| | Over 100% AMFI | 313 | 2,320 | 2,633 | |
| Number of Households with Housing Cost Burden by Income Category | 0-30% AMFI | 5,870 | 80,780 | 86,650 | 2017-2021 CHAS, 8 |
| | 30-50% AMFI | 4,435 | 67,710 | 72,145 | |
| | 50-80% AMFI | 4,035 | 64,735 | 68,770 | |
| | 80-100% AMFI | 1,505 | 19,105 | 20,610 | |
| | Over100% AMFI | 1,850 | 24,995 | 26,845 | |
| Number of Households Experiencing Overcrowding by Income Category | 0-30% AMFI | 514 | 7,165 | 7,679 | 2017-2021 CHAS, 10 |
| | 30-50% AMFI | 763 | 6,973 | 7,736 | |
| | 50-80% AMFI | 695 | 8,545 | 9,240 | |
| | 80-100% AMFI | 517 | 3,885 | 4,402 | |
| | Over 100% AMFI | 1,928 | 9,909 | 11,837 | |
| Physical Housing Characteristics for Housing Units (Number of Units in Structure) | Total | 100,868 | 966,586 | 1,067,454 | 2018-2022 ACS, DP04 |
| | 1 unit | 69,361 | 665,474 | 734,835 | |
| | 2 units | 1,569 | 15,169 | 16,738 | |
| | 3 or 4units | 2,463 | 39,008 | 41,471 | |
| | 5 to 19 units | 2,889 | 115,466 | 118,355 | |
| | 20+ units | 1,363 | 87,384 | 88,747 | |
| | Mobile home | 22,786 | 42,740 | 65,526 | |
| | Other | 437 | 1,345 | 1,782 | |
| Housing Occupancy | Occupied Units | 83,924 | 888,598 | 972,522 | 2018-2022 ACS, DP04 |
| | Vacant Units | 16,944 | 77,988 | 94,932 | |
| | Total | 3,412 | 60,228 | 63,640 | |
| Subsidized Multifamily Units | TDHCA Units | 1,547 | 31,771 | 33,318 | TDHCA Central Database, 2024 |
| | HUD Units | 390 | 4,401 | 4,791 | HUD, 2024 |
| | PHA Units | 399 | 6,553 | 6,952 | HUD, 2024 |
| | USDA Units | 534 | 232 | 766 | USDA, 2024 |
| | HCVs | 542 | 17,271 | 17,813 | HUD, 2024 |
| Foreclosures | | 142 | 2,447 | 2,589 | RealtyTrac, 2024 |

Appendix B: Housing Analysis Regional Tables

| Region 10 | | Rural | Urban | Total | Source |
|---|----------------|---------|---------|---------|------------------------------|
| Individuals | | 263,012 | 513,396 | 776,408 | 2018-2022 ACS, DP05 |
| Households | Owner | 66,811 | 116,510 | 183,321 | 2018-2022 ACS, DP04 |
| | Renter | 28,369 | 71,797 | 100,166 | |
| Elderly Persons (65 years+) | | 48,179 | 78,332 | 126,511 | 2018-2022 ACS, DP05 |
| Persons with Disabilities | | 41,569 | 72,386 | 113,955 | 2018-2022 ACS, S1810 |
| Persons with HIV/AIDS | | 377 | 877 | 1,254 | Texas DSHS, 2022 |
| Incidence of Family Violence | | 1,590 | 6,677 | 8,267 | Texas DPS, 2024 |
| Veterans | | 14,806 | 32,558 | 47,364 | 2018-2022 ACS, S2101 |
| Youth Aging out of Foster Care | | 13 | 15 | 28 | Texas DFPS, 2024 |
| Individuals Below 125% Poverty | | 57,254 | 107,631 | 164,885 | 2018-2022 ACS, S1701 |
| Households by Income Group | 0-30%AMFI | 12,194 | 26,315 | 38,509 | 2017-2021 CHAS, 8 |
| | 30-50%AMFI | 9,897 | 22,140 | 32,037 | |
| | 50-80%AMFI | 14,399 | 31,390 | 45,789 | |
| | 80-100%AMFI | 7,518 | 17,605 | 25,123 | |
| | Over100%AMFI | 38,120 | 100,875 | 138,995 | |
| Number of Units Lacking Kitchen and/or Plumbing by Income Category | 0-30% AMFI | 439 | 1,285 | 1,724 | 2017-2021 CHAS, 3 |
| | 30-50% AMFI | 393 | 540 | 933 | |
| | 50-80% AMFI | 427 | 545 | 972 | |
| | 80-100% AMFI | 28 | 240 | 268 | |
| | Over 100% AMFI | 382 | 640 | 1,022 | |
| Number of Households with Housing Cost Burden by Income Category | 0-30% AMFI | 7,540 | 18,830 | 26,370 | 2017-2021 CHAS, 8 |
| | 30-50% AMFI | 4,806 | 13,334 | 18,140 | |
| | 50-80% AMFI | 2,918 | 13,735 | 16,653 | |
| | 80-100% AMFI | 651 | 4,290 | 4,941 | |
| | Over 100% AMFI | 923 | 5,474 | 6,397 | |
| Number of Households Experiencing Overcrowding by Income Category | 0-30% AMFI | 808 | 1,160 | 1,968 | 2017-2021 CHAS, 10 |
| | 30-50% AMFI | 502 | 1,103 | 1,605 | |
| | 50-80% AMFI | 1,011 | 2,210 | 3,221 | |
| | 80-100% AMFI | 388 | 830 | 1,218 | |
| | Over100% AMFI | 1,391 | 2,785 | 4,176 | |
| Physical Housing Characteristics for Housing Units (Number of Units in Structure) | 0-30% AMFI | 123,988 | 220,361 | 344,349 | 2018-2022 ACS, DP04 |
| | 30-50% AMFI | 91,101 | 150,556 | 241,657 | |
| | 50-80% AMFI | 2,681 | 6,742 | 9,423 | |
| | 80-100% AMFI | 3,613 | 12,288 | 15,901 | |
| | Over 100% AMFI | 5,261 | 25,138 | 30,399 | |
| | Total | 2,709 | 12,481 | 15,190 | |
| | 1 unit | 17,633 | 12,642 | 30,275 | |
| Housing Occupancy | 2 units | 990 | 514 | 1,504 | 2018-2022 ACS, DP04 |
| | 3 or 4units | 95,180 | 188,307 | 283,487 | |
| | 5 to 19 units | 28,808 | 32,054 | 60,862 | |
| | 20+ units | 7,343 | 14,668 | 22,011 | |
| Subsidized Multifamily Units | Mobile home | 2,124 | 5,992 | 8,116 | TDHCA Central Database, 2024 |
| | Other | 899 | 2,710 | 3,609 | HUD, 2024 |
| | Occupied Units | 1,427 | 965 | 2,392 | HUD, 2024 |
| | Vacant Units | 976 | 282 | 1,258 | USDA, 2024 |
| | Total | 1,917 | 4,719 | 6,636 | HUD, 2024 |
| Foreclosures | | 83 | 439 | 522 | RealtyTrac, 2024 |

Appendix B: Housing Analysis Regional Tables

| Region 11 | | Rural | Urban | Total | Source | |
|---|----------------|---------|-----------|-----------|----------------------|------------------------------|
| Individuals | | 209,980 | 1,620,109 | 1,830,089 | 2018-2022 ACS, DP05 | |
| Households | Owner | 45,904 | 322,662 | 368,566 | 2018-2022 ACS, DP04 | |
| | Renter | 19,675 | 163,073 | 182,748 | | |
| Elderly Persons (65 years+) | | 29,776 | 188,424 | 218,200 | 2018-2022 ACS, DP05 | |
| Persons with Disabilities | | 32,560 | 197,920 | 230,480 | 2018-2022 ACS, S1810 | |
| Persons with HIV/AIDS | | 272 | 3,043 | 3,315 | Texas DSHS, 2022 | |
| Incidents of Family Violence | | 1,564 | 12,392 | 13,956 | Texas DPS, 2024 | |
| Veterans | | 7,708 | 36,356 | 44,064 | 2018-2022 ACS, S2101 | |
| Youth Aging out of Foster Care | | 8 | 32 | 40 | Texas DFPS, 2024 | |
| Individuals Below 125% Poverty | | 73,169 | 537,863 | 611,032 | 2018-2022 ACS, S1701 | |
| Households by Income Group | 0-30% AMFI | 17,525 | 85,645 | 103,170 | 2017-2021 CHAS, 8 | |
| | 30-50% AMFI | 12,409 | 68,170 | 80,579 | | |
| | 50-80% AMFI | 13,130 | 76,975 | 90,105 | | |
| | 80-100% AMFI | 7,680 | 43,040 | 50,720 | | |
| | Over 100% AMFI | 31,880 | 184,325 | 216,205 | | |
| Number of Units Lacking Kitchen and/or Plumbing by Income Category | 0-30% AMFI | 618 | 2,490 | 3,108 | 2017-2021 CHAS, 3 | |
| | 30-50% AMFI | 104 | 1,530 | 1,634 | | |
| | 50-80% AMFI | 128 | 1,200 | 1,328 | | |
| | 80-100% AMFI | 195 | 400 | 595 | | |
| | Over 100% AMFI | 283 | 1,200 | 1,483 | | |
| Number of Households with Housing Cost Burden by Income Category | 0-30% AMFI | 10,654 | 60,270 | 70,924 | 2017-2021 CHAS, 8 | |
| | 30-50% AMFI | 4,417 | 36,440 | 40,857 | | |
| | 50-80% AMFI | 2,814 | 21,290 | 24,104 | | |
| | 80-100% AMFI | 829 | 5,615 | 6,444 | | |
| | Over100% AMFI | 518 | 7,025 | 7,543 | | |
| Number of Households Experiencing Overcrowding by Income Category | 0-30% AMFI | 1,680 | 13,565 | 15,245 | 2017-2021 CHAS, 10 | |
| | 30-50% AMFI | 1,549 | 9,345 | 10,894 | | |
| | 50-80% AMFI | 1,321 | 9,705 | 11,026 | | |
| | 80-100% AMFI | 769 | 5,760 | 6,529 | | |
| | Over 100% AMFI | 2,146 | 13,675 | 15,821 | | |
| Physical Housing Characteristics for Housing Units (Number of Units in Structure) | Total | 81,185 | 557,217 | 638,402 | 2018-2022 ACS, DP04 | |
| | 1 unit | 60,672 | 377,898 | 438,570 | | |
| | 2 units | 2,538 | 20,405 | 22,943 | | |
| | 3 or 4units | 2,530 | 27,413 | 29,943 | | |
| | 5 to 19 units | 2,336 | 32,897 | 35,233 | | |
| | 20+ units | 1,435 | 26,723 | 28,158 | | |
| | Mobile home | 11,507 | 69,518 | 81,025 | | |
| | Other | 167 | 2,363 | 2,530 | | |
| Housing Occupancy | Occupied Units | 65,579 | 485,735 | 551,314 | 2018-2022 ACS, DP04 | |
| | Vacant Units | 15,606 | 71,482 | 87,088 | | |
| Subsidized Multifamily Units | Total | 7,337 | 36,361 | 43,698 | 2018-2022 ACS, DP04 | |
| | TDHCA Units | 2,097 | 15,362 | 17,459 | | TDHCA Central Database, 2024 |
| | HUD Units | 418 | 2,887 | 3,305 | | HUD, 2024 |
| | PHA Units | 1,528 | 3,493 | 5,021 | | HUD, 2024 |
| | USDA Units | 755 | 958 | 1,713 | | USDA, 2024 |
| | HCVs | 2,539 | 13,661 | 16,200 | | HUD, 2024 |
| Foreclosures | | 15 | 1,542 | 1,557 | RealtyTrac, 2024 | |

Appendix B: Housing Analysis Regional Tables

| Region 12 | | Rural | Urban | Total | Source |
|---|----------------|---------|---------|---------|------------------------------|
| Individuals | | 190,086 | 450,969 | 641,055 | 2018-2022 ACS, DP05 |
| Households | Owner | 48,843 | 113,802 | 162,645 | 2018-2022 ACS, DP04 |
| | Renter | 18,012 | 57,588 | 75,600 | |
| Elderly Persons (65 years+) | | 27,556 | 51,808 | 79,364 | 2018-2022 ACS, DP05 |
| Persons with Disabilities | | 23,903 | 50,823 | 74,726 | 2018-2022 ACS, S1810 |
| Persons with HIV/AIDS | | 176 | 485 | 661 | Texas DSHS, 2022 |
| Incidents of Family Violence | | 1,119 | 5,164 | 6,283 | Texas DPS, 2024 |
| Veterans | | 8,026 | 19,917 | 27,943 | 2018-2022 ACS, S2101 |
| Youth Aging out of Foster Care | | 8 | 8 | 16 | Texas DFPS, 2024 |
| Individuals Below 125% Poverty | | 35,382 | 71,424 | 106,806 | 2018-2022 ACS, S1701 |
| Households by Income Group | 0-30% AMFI | 8,073 | 19,780 | 27,853 | 2017-2021 CHAS, 8 |
| | 30-50% AMFI | 8,138 | 17,450 | 25,588 | |
| | 50-80% AMFI | 10,268 | 27,750 | 38,018 | |
| | 80-100% AMFI | 5,360 | 17,774 | 23,134 | |
| | Over 100% AMFI | 32,715 | 86,465 | 119,180 | |
| Number of Units Lacking Kitchen and/or Plumbing by Income Category | 0-30% AMFI | 172 | 545 | 717 | 2017-2021 CHAS, 3 |
| | 30-50% AMFI | 178 | 445 | 623 | |
| | 50-80% AMFI | 113 | 234 | 347 | |
| | 80-100% AMFI | 14 | 105 | 119 | |
| | Over 100% AMFI | 369 | 460 | 829 | |
| Number of Households with Housing Cost Burden by Income Category | 0-30% AMFI | 5,064 | 13,584 | 18,648 | 2017-2021 CHAS, 8 |
| | 30-50% AMFI | 3,410 | 10,244 | 13,654 | |
| | 50-80% AMFI | 2,560 | 11,150 | 13,710 | |
| | 80-100% AMFI | 503 | 3,515 | 4,018 | |
| | Over100% AMFI | 569 | 2,940 | 3,509 | |
| Number of Households Experiencing Overcrowding by Income Category | 0-30% AMFI | 466 | 1,070 | 1,536 | 2017-2021 CHAS, 10 |
| | 30-50% AMFI | 222 | 1,035 | 1,257 | |
| | 50-80% AMFI | 487 | 1,525 | 2,012 | |
| | 80-100% AMFI | 194 | 1,019 | 1,213 | |
| | Over 100% AMFI | 1,150 | 3,565 | 4,715 | |
| Physical Housing Characteristics for Housing Units (Number of Units in Structure) | Total | 81,660 | 187,772 | 269,432 | 2018-2022 ACS, DP04 |
| | 1 unit | 62,624 | 124,532 | 187,156 | |
| | 2 units | 1,240 | 2,264 | 3,504 | |
| | 3 or 4units | 1,889 | 5,514 | 7,403 | |
| | 5 to 19 units | 2,301 | 18,825 | 21,126 | |
| | 20+ units | 1,562 | 13,800 | 15,362 | |
| | Mobile home | 11,720 | 21,600 | 33,320 | |
| | Other | 324 | 1,237 | 1,561 | |
| Housing Occupancy | Occupied Units | 66,855 | 171,390 | 238,245 | 2018-2022 ACS, DP04 |
| | Vacant Units | 14,805 | 16,382 | 31,187 | |
| | Total | 3,717 | 8,814 | 12,531 | |
| Subsidized Multifamily Units | TDHCA Units | 1,451 | 4,559 | 6,010 | TDHCA Central Database, 2024 |
| | HUD Units | 361 | 1,269 | 1,630 | HUD, 2024 |
| | PHA Units | 757 | 287 | 1,044 | HUD, 2024 |
| | USDA Units | 512 | | 512 | USDA, 2024 |
| | HCVs | 636 | 2,699 | 3,335 | HUD, 2024 |
| Foreclosures | | 41 | 431 | 472 | RealtyTrac, 2024 |

Appendix B: Housing Analysis Regional Tables

| Region 13 | | Rural | Urban | Total | Source | |
|---|----------------|--------|---------|---------|----------------------|------------------------------|
| Individuals | | 23,124 | 863,832 | 886,956 | 2018-2022 ACS, DP05 | |
| Households | Owner | 6,714 | 184,338 | 191,052 | 2018-2022 ACS, DP04 | |
| | Renter | 3,133 | 108,242 | 111,375 | | |
| Elderly Persons (65 years+) | | 5,336 | 108,308 | 113,644 | 2018-2022 ACS, DP05 | |
| Persons with Disabilities | | 3,679 | 116,288 | 119,967 | 2018-2022 ACS, S1810 | |
| Persons with HIV/AIDS | | 31 | 398 | 429 | Texas DSHS, 2022 | |
| Incidents of Family Violence | | 35 | 4,108 | 4,143 | Texas DPS, 2024 | |
| Veterans | | 1,319 | 47,565 | 48,884 | 2018-2022 ACS, S2101 | |
| Youth Aging out of Foster Care | | - | 16 | 16 | Texas DFPS, 2024 | |
| Individuals Below 125% Poverty | | 6,349 | 217,422 | 223,771 | 2018-2022 ACS, S1701 | |
| Households by Income Group | 0-30% AMFI | 1,955 | 42,400 | 44,355 | 2017-2021 CHAS, 8 | |
| | 30-50% AMFI | 1,185 | 36,925 | 38,110 | | |
| | 50-80% AMFI | 1,870 | 49,810 | 51,680 | | |
| | 80-100% AMFI | 869 | 28,480 | 29,349 | | |
| | Over 100% AMFI | 3,540 | 130,575 | 134,115 | | |
| Number of Units Lacking Kitchen and/or Plumbing by Income Category | 0-30% AMFI | 135 | 670 | 805 | 2017-2021 CHAS, 3 | |
| | 30-50% AMFI | 8 | 355 | 363 | | |
| | 50-80% AMFI | 23 | 725 | 748 | | |
| | 80-100% AMFI | - | 210 | 210 | | |
| | Over 100% AMFI | 8 | 605 | 613 | | |
| Number of Households with Housing Cost Burden by Income Category | 0-30% AMFI | 1,125 | 30,605 | 31,730 | 2017-2021 CHAS, 8 | |
| | 30-50% AMFI | 488 | 23,740 | 24,228 | | |
| | 50-80% AMFI | 169 | 21,455 | 21,624 | | |
| | 80-100% AMFI | 130 | 6,535 | 6,665 | | |
| | Over100% AMFI | 60 | 6,110 | 6,170 | | |
| Number of Households Experiencing Overcrowding by Income Category | 0-30% AMFI | 79 | 2,795 | 2,874 | 2017-2021 CHAS, 10 | |
| | 30-50% AMFI | 123 | 2,960 | 3,083 | | |
| | 50-80% AMFI | 14 | 3,545 | 3,559 | | |
| | 80-100% AMFI | 44 | 1,105 | 1,149 | | |
| | Over 100% AMFI | 84 | 5,550 | 5,634 | | |
| Physical Housing Characteristics for Housing Units (Number of Units in Structure) | Total | 13,214 | 317,665 | 330,879 | 2018-2022 ACS, DP04 | |
| | 1 unit | 9,124 | 226,738 | 235,862 | | |
| | 2 units | 228 | 7,929 | 8,157 | | |
| | 3 or 4 units | 553 | 14,130 | 14,683 | | |
| | 5 to 19 units | 363 | 31,891 | 32,254 | | |
| | 20+ units | 300 | 18,436 | 18,736 | | |
| | Mobile home | 2,518 | 18,201 | 20,719 | | |
| Housing Occupancy | Other | 128 | 340 | 468 | 2018-2022 ACS, DP04 | |
| | Occupied Units | 9,847 | 292,580 | 302,427 | | |
| | Vacant Units | 3,367 | 25,085 | 28,452 | | |
| Subsidized Multifamily Units | Total | 689 | 28,620 | 29,309 | 2018-2022 ACS, DP04 | |
| | TDHCA Units | 235 | 14,359 | 14,594 | | TDHCA Central Database, 2024 |
| | HUD Units | | 6,994 | 6,994 | | HUD, 2024 |
| | PHA Units | 85 | 253 | 338 | | HUD, 2024 |
| | USDA Units | 146 | 110 | 256 | | USDA, 2024 |
| Foreclosures | HCVs | 223 | 6,904 | 7,127 | HUD, 2024 | |
| | | - | 719 | 719 | RealtyTrac, 2024 | |

APPENDIX C: RACIAL AND ETHNIC COMPOSITION OF HOUSEHOLDS AND INDIVIDUALS RECEIVING ASSISTANCE THROUGH COMMUNITY AFFAIRS PROGRAMS OR HOMELESSNESS PROGRAMS

TDHCA's Community Affairs programs and Homelessness programs allocate funding to subrecipient entities with service areas that span two or more uniform TDHCA state service regions, so racial data for these programs are reported by entity rather than by region. For the purpose of this report, all counties served will be grouped by subrecipients.

Due to the data reporting techniques of the Weatherization Assistance Program (WAP), Comprehensive Energy Assistance Program (CEAP) and Community Services Block Grant (CSBG) race and ethnicity are combined into one category, and Asian and Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander are also combined into one category. Note that some entities may have served a slightly different set of counties under different contracts and may have served the same county in different periods within the fiscal year.

Negative amounts in the following tables reflect adjustments from figures previously submitted from Subrecipients to TDHCA in monthly and annual performance reports.

Appendix C: Subrecipient Tables for Community Affairs Programs and Homelessness Programs

Racial and Ethnic Composition of Households Receiving WAP Assistance by Subrecipient Statewide, SFY 2024

| WAP Subrecipient | Counties Served | Expended | Households Served | American Indian/Alaskan Native | Asian/Pacific Islander | Black | White | Unknown | Other | Hispanic |
|---|--|----------------|-------------------|--------------------------------|------------------------|-------|-------|---------|-------|----------|
| Alamo Area Council of Governments | ATASCOSA,BANDERA,BEXAR,COMAL,FRI O,GILLESPIE,GUADALUPE,KARNES,KEND ALL,KERR,MEDINA,WILSON | \$ 840,688.28 | 157 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 17 | 0 | 1 | 127 |
| BakerRipley | HARRIS | \$1,322,479.36 | 241 | 0 | 15 | 139 | 57 | 0 | 24 | 3 |
| Brazos Valley Community Action Programs | BRAZOS,BURLESON,GRIMES,LEON,MADI SON,MONTGOMERY,ROBERTSON,WALK ER,WALLER,WASHINGTON | \$ 143,087.40 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Brazos Valley Community Action Programs | BRAZOS,BURLESON,GRIMES,LEON,MADI SON,ROBERTSON,WALKER,WALLER,WAS HINGTON | \$ 440.49 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| City of Fort Worth | TARRANT | \$ 396,914.43 | 76 | 1 | 0 | 28 | 18 | 0 | 2 | 27 |
| Combined Community Action, Inc. | AUSTIN,BASTROP,BLANCO,CALDWELL,C OLORADO,FAYETTE,FORT BEND,HAYS,LEE | \$ 189,588.58 | 41 | 0 | 4 | 15 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 8 |
| Community Action Committee of Victoria, Texas | ARANSAS,BEE,BRAZORIA,CALHOUN,DE WITT,GOLIAD,GONZALES,JACKSON,LAVA CA,LIVE OAK,MATAGORDA,MCMULLEN,REFUGIO, VICTORIA,WHARTON | \$ 246,934.60 | 39 | 0 | 2 | 11 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 17 |
| Community Action Corporation of South Texas | BROOKS,CAMERON,DUVAL,HIDALGO,JIM HOGG,JIM WELLS,KENEDY,KLEBERG,SAN PATRICIO,STARR,WEBB,WILLACY,ZAPATA BREWSTER,CRANE,CULBERSON,DIMMIT ,EDWARDS,HUDSPETH,JEFF DAVIS,KINNEY,LA SALLE,MAVERICK,PECOS,PRESIDIO,REA L,TERRELL,UVALDE,VAL VERDE,ZAVALA COKE,COLEMAN,CONCHO,CROCKETT,IRI ON,KIMBLE,MCCULLOCH,MENARD,REAG AN,RUNNELS,SCHLEICHER,STERLING,SU TTON,TOM GREEN | \$1,150,867.17 | 308 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 1 | 301 |
| Community Council of South Central Texas, Inc. | DAVIS,KINNEY,LA SALLE,MAVERICK,PECOS,PRESIDIO,REA L,TERRELL,UVALDE,VAL VERDE,ZAVALA COKE,COLEMAN,CONCHO,CROCKETT,IRI ON,KIMBLE,MCCULLOCH,MENARD,REAG AN,RUNNELS,SCHLEICHER,STERLING,SU TTON,TOM GREEN | \$ 356,301.15 | 63 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 55 |
| Concho Valley Community Action Agency | DAVIS,KINNEY,LA SALLE,MAVERICK,PECOS,PRESIDIO,REA L,TERRELL,UVALDE,VAL VERDE,ZAVALA COKE,COLEMAN,CONCHO,CROCKETT,IRI ON,KIMBLE,MCCULLOCH,MENARD,REAG AN,RUNNELS,SCHLEICHER,STERLING,SU TTON,TOM GREEN | \$ 159,906.14 | 29 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 6 | 0 | 2 | 19 |
| Dallas County Department of Health and Human Services | DALLAS | \$1,029,754.76 | 105 | 1 | 1 | 34 | 5 | 0 | -2 | 66 |

Appendix C: Subrecipient Tables for Community Affairs Programs and Homelessness Programs

| | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|--|---------------|-----|---|---|----|-----|---|---|----|
| Economic Opportunities Advancement Corporation of PR XI | BOSQUE, ELLIS, FALLS, FREESTONE, HILL, JOHNSON, LIMESTONE, MCLENNAN, NAVARRRO | \$ 238,979.61 | 32 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 19 | 0 | 1 | 4 |
| El Paso Community Action Program, Project Bravo, Inc. | EL PASO | \$ 554,585.18 | 133 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 133 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Greater East Texas Community Action Program (GETCAP) | ANDERSON, ANGELINA, CHAMBERS, CHEROKEE, GALVESTON, GREGG, HARDIN, HARRISON, HENDERSON, HOUSTON, JASPER, JEFFERSON, KAUFMAN, LIBERTY, NACOGDOCHES, NEWTON, ORANGE, PANOLA, POLK, RUSK, SABINE, SAN AUGUSTINE, SAN JACINTO, SHELBY, SMITH, TRINITY, TYLER, UPSHUR, VAN ZANDT, WOOD | \$ 932,445.83 | 196 | 0 | 0 | 74 | 109 | 3 | 3 | 2 |
| Hill Country Community Action Association, Inc. | BELL, BURNET, CORYELL, ERATH, HAMILTON, LAMPASAS, LLANO, MASON, MILAM, MILLS, SAN SABA, SOMERVELL, WILLIAMSON | \$ 198,396.46 | 49 | 0 | 2 | 19 | 24 | 0 | 3 | 1 |
| Nueces County Community Action Agency | NUECES | \$ 163,541.12 | 50 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 43 |
| Panhandle Community Services | ARMSTRONG, BRISCOE, CARSON, CASTRO, CHILDRESS, COLLINGSWORTH, DALLAM, DEAF SMITH, DONLEY, GRAY, HALL, HANSFORD, HARTLEY, HEMPHILL, HUTCHINSON, LIPSCOMB, MOORE, OCHILTREE, OLDHAM, PARMER, POTTER, RANDALL, ROBERTS, SHERMAN, SWISHER, WHEELER | \$ 118,543.62 | 60 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 31 |
| Rolling Plains Management Corporation | ARCHER, BAYLOR, BROWN, CALLAHAN, CLAY, COMANCHE, COTTLE, EASTLAND, FORD, HARDEMAN, HASKELL, HOOD, JACK, JONES, KENT, KNOX, MONTAGUE, PALOPINTO, PARKER, SHACKELFORD, STEPHENS, STONEWALL, TAYLOR, THROCKMORTON, WICHITA, WILBARGER, WISE, YOUNG | \$ 730,195.99 | 94 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 59 | 1 | 3 | 23 |
| South Plains Community Action Association, Inc. | BAILEY, COCHRAN, CROSBY, DICKENS, FLOYD, GARZA, HALE, HOCKLEY, KING, LAMB, LUBBOCK, LYNN, MOTLEY, TERRY, YOAKUM | \$ 368,336.26 | 43 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 33 |
| Texoma Council of Governments | BOWIE, CAMP, CASS, COLLIN, COOKE, DELTA, DENTON, FANNIN, FRANKLIN, GRAYSON, HOPKINS, HUNT, LAMAR, MARION, MORRIS, RAINS, RED RIVER, ROCKWALL, TITUS | \$ 526,988.98 | 87 | 1 | 3 | 28 | 52 | 0 | 0 | 3 |

Appendix C: Subrecipient Tables for Community Affairs Programs and Homelessness Programs

| | | | | | | | | | | |
|--------------------------------|--|---------------|----|---|---|---|---|---|---|----|
| Travis County | TRAVIS | \$ 40,614.03 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 7 |
| West Texas Opportunities, Inc. | ANDREWS,BORDEN,DAWSON,ECTOR,FISHER,GAINES,GLASSCOCK,HOWARD,LOVING,MARTIN,MIDLAND,MITCHELL,NOLAN,REEVES,SCURRY,UPTON,WARD,WINKLER | \$ 273,337.92 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 11 |

*The WAP program does not report race and ethnicity separately. This may result in a lower number of Hispanic households served reported.

Appendix C: Subrecipient Tables for Community Affairs Programs and Homelessness Programs

Racial and Ethnic Composition of Households Receiving CEAP Assistance by Subrecipient Statewide, FY 2024

| CEAP Subrecipient | Counties Served | Expended | Households Served | American Indian/Alaskan Native | Asian/Pacific Islander | Black | Hispanic | White | Other |
|--|---|------------------|-------------------|--------------------------------|------------------------|-------|----------|-------|-------|
| Aspermont Small Business Development Center, Inc. | HASKELL,JONES,KENT,KNOX,STONEWALL,THROCKMORTON | \$ 1,521,971.00 | 893 | 7 | 3 | 80 | 407 | 380 | 16 |
| Baker Ripley | BRAZORIA,GALVESTON,HARRIS | \$ 18,319,750.00 | 10,742 | 20 | 1,540 | 6,249 | 218 | 1,753 | 962 |
| Bexar County Community Resources | BEXAR | \$ 12,257,486.00 | 5,863 | - | 20 | 746 | 4,511 | 414 | 172 |
| Brazos Valley Community Action Programs | BRAZOS,BURLESON,GRIMES,LEON,MADISON,MONTGOMERY,ROBERTSON,WALKER,WALLER,WASHINGTON | \$ 6,349,110.00 | 6,219 | 11 | 37 | 3,297 | 912 | 1,961 | 1 |
| Central Texas Opportunities, Inc. dba Cornerstone Community AA | BROWN,CALLAHAN,COLEMAN,COMANCHE,EASTLAND,MCCULLOCH,RUNNELS | \$ 2,266,222.00 | 1,210 | 7 | 5 | 98 | 292 | 767 | 41 |
| City of Fort Worth | TARRANT | \$ 7,662,204.00 | 4,849 | 31 | 104 | 2,725 | 717 | 1,083 | 189 |
| City of Lubbock | LUBBOCK | \$ 2,095,145.00 | 1,053 | 7 | 2 | 371 | 491 | 162 | 20 |
| Combined Community Action, Inc. | AUSTIN,BASTROP,COLORADO,FAYETTE,FORT BEND,LEE | \$ 3,297,860.00 | 2,242 | 1 | 45 | 1,249 | - | 874 | 73 |
| Community Action Committee of Victoria, Texas | ARANSAS,CALHOUN,DEWITT,GOLIAD,GONZALES,JACKSON,LAVACA,REFUGIO,VICTORIA | \$ 3,291,563.00 | 2,037 | 10 | 8 | 597 | - | 1,346 | 76 |
| Community Action Corporation of South Texas | BEE,BROOKS,CAMERON,DUVAL,JIM WELLS,SAN PATRICIO,WILLACY | \$ 7,326,818.00 | 4,704 | 18 | 9 | 22 | 4,569 | 38 | 48 |

Appendix C: Subrecipient Tables for Community Affairs Programs and Homelessness Programs

| | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|---|----|--------------|-------|----|----|-------|-------|-------|----|
| Community Action Inc. of Central Texas | BLANCO,CALDWELL, HAYS | \$ | 1,447,281.00 | 1,336 | 9 | 11 | 167 | 82 | 1,025 | 42 |
| Community Council of South Central Texas, Inc. | ATASCOSA,BANDERA ,BREWSTER,COMAL, CULBERSON,DIMMIT ,EDWARDS,FRIO,GIL LESPIE,GUADALUPE, HUDSPETH, JEFF DAVIS, KARNES, KENDALL, KERR, KINNEY,LA SALLE, LIVE OAK, MAVERICK, MCMULLEN, MEDINA, PRESIDIO, REAL,UVALDE,VAL VERDE, WILSON,ZAVALA | \$ | 8,153,556.00 | 5,564 | 11 | 5 | 150 | 4,741 | 624 | 33 |
| Community Council of South Central Texas, Inc. | ATASCOSA,BANDERA ,COMAL,DIMMIT,ED WARDS,FRIO,GILLES PIE,GUADALUPE,KAR NES,KENDALL,KERR ,KINNEY,LA SALLE, LIVE OAK, MAVERICK, MCMULLEN, MEDINA, REAL,UVALDE,VAL VERDE, WILSON,ZAVALA | \$ | 3,600,560.00 | 1,650 | 1 | 2 | 42 | 1,467 | 131 | 7 |
| Community Council of South Central Texas, Inc. | BREWSTER,CULBER SON,HUDSPETH,JEF F DAVIS,PRESIDIO | \$ | 669,384.00 | 229 | 1 | - | 3 | 205 | 16 | 4 |
| Community Services of Northeast Texas, Inc. | BOWIE,CAMP,CASS, DELTA,FRANKLIN,HO PKINS,LAMAR,MARI ON,MORRIS,RAINS,R ED RIVER,TITUS | \$ | 3,980,122.00 | 1,825 | 10 | 3 | 1,226 | 8 | 552 | 26 |

Appendix C: Subrecipient Tables for Community Affairs Programs and Homelessness Programs

| | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|----|---------------|--------|----|-----|-------|-------|-------|-----|
| Concho Valley Community Action Agency | COKE, CONCHO, CROCKETT, IRION, KIMBLE, MENARD, REAGAN, SCHLEICHER, STERLING, SUTTON, TOM GREEN | \$ | 2,777,074.00 | 1,527 | - | - | 113 | 866 | 502 | 46 |
| County of Hidalgo Community Services Agency | HIDALGO | \$ | 1,936,342.00 | 1,507 | 1 | - | 5 | - | 1,489 | 12 |
| Dallas County Department of Health and Human Services | DALLAS | \$ | 17,983,013.00 | 10,170 | 32 | 174 | 8,054 | 5 | 1,853 | 52 |
| Economic Action Committee of the Gulf Coast | MATAGORDA, WHARTON | \$ | 1,298,507.00 | 844 | 1 | 3 | 467 | - | 368 | 5 |
| Economic Opportunities Advancement Corporation of PR XI | BOSQUE, ELLIS, FALLS, FREESTONE, HILL, LIMESTONE, MCLENNAN, NAVARRO | \$ | 4,421,505.00 | 3,352 | 4 | 8 | 2,042 | 414 | 802 | 82 |
| El Paso Community Action Program, Project Bravo, Inc. | EL PASO | \$ | 8,479,547.00 | 8,216 | 32 | 30 | 159 | 7,830 | 110 | 55 |
| Fort Worth, City of | TARRANT | \$ | 706,784.00 | 1,365 | 1 | 13 | 657 | 262 | 359 | 73 |
| Greater East Texas Community Action Program (GETCAP) | ANDERSON, ANGELINA, CHAMBERS, CHEROKEE, GREGG, HARDIN, HENDERSON, HOUSTON, JEFFERSON, KAUFMAN, LIBERTY, NACOGDOCHES, ORANGE, POLK, RUSK, SAN JACINTO, SMITH, TRINITY, VAN ZANDT, WOOD | \$ | 13,624,078.00 | 7,734 | 24 | 89 | 5,685 | - | 1,815 | 121 |

Appendix C: Subrecipient Tables for Community Affairs Programs and Homelessness Programs

| | | | | | | | | | |
|--|---|-----------------|-------|----|----|-----|-------|-------|-----|
| Hidalgo County, Texas-County of Hidalgo Community Service Agency | HIDALGO | \$ 8,827,178.00 | 7,236 | - | 20 | 17 | - | 7,149 | 50 |
| Hill Country Community Action Association | BELL,CORYELL,HAMILTON,LAMPASAS,LLANO,MASON,MILAM,MILLS,SAN SABA | \$ 3,349,333.00 | 2,084 | 8 | 14 | 675 | - | 1,258 | 129 |
| Kleberg County Human Services | KENEDY,KLEBERG | \$ 1,135,482.00 | 636 | - | - | 28 | 582 | 19 | 7 |
| Lubbock, City of | LUBBOCK | \$ 471,283.00 | 806 | 4 | 1 | 305 | 399 | 84 | 13 |
| Nueces County Community Action Agency | NUECES | \$ 3,112,482.00 | 2,670 | 3 | 3 | 323 | 2,185 | 140 | 16 |
| Opportunities for Williamson & Burnet Counties | BURNET,WILLIAMSON | \$ 1,616,969.00 | 1,150 | 3 | 23 | 296 | 24 | 666 | 138 |
| Panhandle Community Services | ARMSTRONG,BRISCOE,CARSON,CASTRO,CHILDRESS,COLLINGSWORTH,DALLAM,DEAF SMITH,DONLEY,GRAY,HALL,HANSFORD,HARTLEY,HEMPHILL,HUTCHINSON,LIPSCOMB,MOORE,OCHILTREE,OLDHAM,PARMER,POTTER,RANDALL,ROBERTS,SHERMAN,SWISHER,WHEELER | \$ 3,823,189.00 | 2,251 | 9 | 9 | 388 | 974 | 851 | 20 |
| Pecos County Community Action Agency | CRANE,PECOS,TERRILL | \$ 919,861.00 | 591 | 3 | 3 | 7 | 529 | 46 | 3 |
| Rolling Plains Management Corporation | ARCHER,BAYLOR,CLAY,COTTLE,FOARD,HARDEMAN,JACK,MONTAGUE,SHACKELFORD,STEPHENS,TAYLOR,WICHITA,WILBARGER,YOUNG | \$ 4,472,077.00 | 2,729 | 14 | 9 | 684 | 281 | 1,642 | 99 |

Appendix C: Subrecipient Tables for Community Affairs Programs and Homelessness Programs

| | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|--|----|--------------|-------|----|-----|-------|-------|-------|-----|
| Rolling Plains Management Corporation | ARCHER,BAYLOR,CLAY,COTTLE,FOARD,HARDEMAN,JACK,MONTAGUE,SHACKELFORD,STEPHENS,TAYLOR,WICHITA,WILBARGER,YOUNG | \$ | 99,255.00 | (5) | - | - | (7) | 3 | (2) | 1 |
| South Plains Community Action Assoc. Inc. | BAILEY,COCHRAN,CROSSBY,DICKENS,FLOYD,GARZA,HALE,HOCKLEY,KING,LAMB,LYNN,MOTLEY,TERRY,YOAKUM | \$ | 3,591,407.00 | 2,018 | 2 | 2 | 160 | 3 | 1,843 | 8 |
| South Texas Development Council | JIM HOGG,STARR,ZAPATA | \$ | 580,345.00 | 43 | - | - | - | 5 | 38 | - |
| Texas Neighborhood Services | ERATH,HOOD,JOHNSON,PALOPINTO,PARKER,SOMERVELL,WISE | \$ | 3,058,689.00 | 1,663 | 10 | 4 | 124 | - | 1,490 | 35 |
| Texoma Council Of Governments | COLLIN,COOKE,DENTON,FANNIN,GRAYSON,HUNT,ROCKWALL | \$ | 7,099,388.00 | 5,048 | 46 | 219 | 2,283 | 391 | 1,858 | 251 |
| Travis County Health and Human Services | TRAVIS | \$ | 4,645,498.00 | 5,482 | 25 | 73 | 2,149 | 1,154 | 1,735 | 346 |
| Tri-County Community Action Inc. | HARRISON,JASPER,NEWTON,PANOLA,SABINE, SAN AUGUSTINE,SHELBY, TYLER,UPSHUR | \$ | 3,300,941.00 | 2,814 | 1 | 1 | 1,989 | 8 | 753 | 62 |
| Webb County Community Action Agency | WEBB | \$ | 3,022,300.00 | 2,575 | - | - | 1 | 2,569 | 2 | 3 |
| West Texas Opportunities | ANDREWS,BORDEN,DAWSON,ECTOR,FISHER,GAINES,GLASSCOCK,HOWARD,LOVING,MARTIN,MIDLAND,MITCHELL,NOLAN, | \$ | 5,540,650.00 | 3,978 | 13 | 6 | 692 | 2,421 | 785 | 61 |

Appendix C: Subrecipient Tables for Community Affairs Programs and Homelessness Programs

REEVES, SCURRY, UP
TON, WARD, WINKLE
R

*The CEAP program does not report race and ethnicity separately. This may result in a lower number of Hispanic households served reported.

Appendix C: Subrecipient Tables for Community Affairs Programs and Homelessness Programs

Racial and Ethnic Composition of Individuals Receiving CSBG Assistance by Subrecipient, Statewide FY 2024

| CSBG Subrecipient | Counties Served | Expended | Individuals Served | American Indian/Alaskan Native | Asian/Pacific Islander | Black | White | Other/Unknown | Hispanic | Non-Hispanic | Ethnicity Unknown |
|---|---|----------------|--------------------|--------------------------------|------------------------|-------|-------|---------------|----------|--------------|-------------------|
| Adults and Youth United Development Association, Inc. | EL PASO | \$ 24,508.20 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - |
| Aspermont Small Business Development Center, Inc. | HASKELL,JONES,KENT,KNOX,STONE WALL,THROCKMORTON | \$ 169,597.20 | 1,819 | 11 | 4 | 145 | 1,223 | 345 | 919 | 898 | 2 |
| Brazos Valley Community Action Programs | BRAZOS,BURLESON,CHAMBERS,GRIEMES,LEON,LIBERTY,MADISON,MONTGOMERY,ROBERTSON,WALKER,WALLER,WASHINGTON | \$1,333,715.53 | 9,056 | 15 | 66 | 4,798 | 3,872 | 63 | 1,758 | 7,297 | 1 |
| Cameron and Willacy Counties Community Projects, Inc. | CAMERON,WILLACY | \$1,019,106.88 | 1,840 | 3 | 8 | 10 | 1,817 | - | 1,780 | 60 | - |

Appendix C: Subrecipient Tables for Community Affairs Programs and Homelessness Programs

| | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|---|----------------|--------|-----|-----|-------|--------|-------|--------|--------|-----|
| Central Texas Opportunities, Inc. dba Cornerstone Community AA | BROWN,CALLAHAN, COLEMAN,COMANCHE,EASTLAND,MCCULLOCH,RUNNELS | \$ 251,959.03 | 2,905 | 9 | 15 | 239 | 2,463 | 79 | 925 | 1,961 | 19 |
| City of Austin, Austin Public Health | TRAVIS | \$1,226,316.39 | 1,649 | - | 34 | 689 | 750 | 113 | 797 | 852 | - |
| City of Fort Worth | TARRANT | \$2,156,589.56 | 15,292 | 63 | 763 | 8,966 | 3,286 | 1,349 | 2,764 | 12,155 | 373 |
| City of San Antonio, The Department of Human Services | BEXAR | \$1,664,883.77 | 43,607 | 466 | 395 | 6,587 | 29,595 | 3,942 | 34,439 | 9,166 | 2 |
| Combined Community Action, Inc. | AUSTIN,BASTROP,COLORADO,FAYETTE,FORT BEND,LEE,WHARTON | \$ 788,504.59 | 4,338 | 4 | 88 | 2,273 | 1,687 | 133 | 1,044 | 3,294 | - |
| Community Action Committee of Victoria, Texas | ARANSAS,CALHOUN,DE WITT,GOLIAD,GONZALES,JACKSON,LAVACA,REFUGIO,VICTORIA | \$ 267,343.58 | 4,904 | 15 | 227 | 1,058 | 3,243 | 149 | 3,174 | 1,713 | 17 |
| Community Action Corporation of South Texas | BEE,BROOKS,DUVAL,JIM WELLS,KENEDY,KLEBERG,SAN PATRICIO | \$ 332,764.01 | 11,146 | 40 | 18 | 88 | 10,717 | 232 | 10,820 | 326 | - |

Appendix C: Subrecipient Tables for Community Affairs Programs and Homelessness Programs

| | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|--|----------------|--------|----|----|-------|-------|----|-------|-------|---|
| Community Action Inc. of Central Texas | BLANCO,CALDWELL,HAYS | \$ 290,993.74 | 3,440 | 17 | 22 | 454 | 2,776 | 2 | 2,420 | 1,020 | - |
| Community Action Social Services & Education, Inc. | MAVERICK | \$ 152,658.55 | 317 | - | - | - | 317 | - | 317 | - | - |
| Community Council of Greater Dallas, Inc. | DALLAS | \$3,094,271.32 | 1,851 | 3 | 8 | 1,156 | 581 | 71 | 517 | 1,332 | 2 |
| Community Council of South Central Texas, Inc. | ATASCOSA,BANDERA,BREWSTER,COMAL,CULBERSON,DIMMIT,EDWARDS,FRIO,GILLESPIE,GUADALUPE,HUDSPETH,JEFF DAVIS,KARNES,KENDALL,KERR,KINNEY,LA SALLE,LIVE OAK,MCMULLEN,MEDINA,PRESIDIO,REAL,UVALDE,VALVERDE,WILSON,ZAVALA | \$ 459,982.12 | 10,297 | 27 | 10 | 339 | 9,804 | - | 8,947 | 1,350 | - |
| Community Council of South Central Texas, Inc. | ATASCOSA,BANDERA,COMAL,DIMMIT,EDWARDS,FRIO,GILLESPIE,GUADALUPE,KARNES,KENDALL, | \$ 599,328.80 | 2,318 | 7 | 2 | 85 | 2,203 | 2 | 2,073 | 245 | - |

Appendix C: Subrecipient Tables for Community Affairs Programs and Homelessness Programs

KERR,KINNEY,LA
 SALLE,LIVE
 OAK,MCMULLEN,M
 EDINA,REAL,UVALD
 E,VAL
 VERDE,WILSON,ZA
 VALA

| | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|--|--------------|----|---|---|---|----|---|----|---|---|
| Community Council of South Central Texas, Inc. | BREWSTER,CULBE RSON,HUDSPETH,J EFF DAVIS,PRESIDIO | \$ 95,214.00 | 10 | - | - | - | 10 | - | 10 | - | - |
|--|--|--------------|----|---|---|---|----|---|----|---|---|

| | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|---|---------------|-------|----|---|-------|-------|----|-----|-------|---|
| Community Services of Northeast Texas, Inc. | BOWIE,CAMP,CASS ,DELTA,FRANKLIN, HOPKINS,LAMAR,M ARION,MORRIS,RAI NS,RED RIVER,TITUS | \$ 433,607.41 | 3,595 | 10 | 3 | 2,341 | 1,087 | 64 | 217 | 3,375 | 3 |
|--|---|---------------|-------|----|---|-------|-------|----|-----|-------|---|

Appendix C: Subrecipient Tables for Community Affairs Programs and Homelessness Programs

| | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|--|----------------|--------|-----|----|-------|--------|----|--------|-------|----|
| Community Services, Inc. | ANDERSON,COLLIN, DENTON,ELLIS,HE NDERSON,HUNT,K AUFMAN,NAVARRO, ROCKWALL,VAN ZANDT | \$1,552,131.63 | 929 | - | 1 | 373 | 418 | 23 | 126 | 721 | 82 |
| Concho Valley Community Action Agency | COKE,CONCHO,CR OCKETT,IRION,KIM BLE,MENARD,REAG AN,SCHLEICHER,ST ERLING,SUTTON,TO M GREEN | \$ 256,539.13 | 3,927 | 2 | 8 | 292 | 3,338 | 12 | 2,611 | 1,316 | - |
| Economic Action Committee of The Gulf Coast | MATAGORDA | \$ 202,326.76 | 1,761 | - | 20 | 935 | 788 | 1 | 694 | 1,067 | - |
| Economic Opportunities Advancement Corporation of PR XI | BOSQUE,FALLS,FR EESTONE,HILL,LIM ESTONE,MCLENNA N | \$ 373,671.83 | 5,541 | 14 | 22 | 3,567 | 1,602 | 55 | 1,167 | 4,340 | 34 |
| El Paso Community Action Program, Project Bravo, Inc. | EL PASO | \$1,182,201.71 | 22,612 | 140 | 99 | 548 | 21,559 | 30 | 21,679 | 933 | - |

Appendix C: Subrecipient Tables for Community Affairs Programs and Homelessness Programs

| | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|--|----------------|--------|----|-----|--------|--------|-----|--------|--------|-----|
| Family Service Association of San Antonio, Inc. | ATASCOSA,BANDERA,BEXAR,COMAL,DIAMMIT,FRIO,KARNE S,KENDALL,LASALLE,MEDINA,REAL,UVALDE,WILSON,ZAVALA | \$ 66,896.36 | 70 | - | - | - | 65 | - | 70 | - | - |
| Greater East Texas Community Action Program (GETCAP) | ANGELINA,CHEROKEE,GREGG,HOUSTON,NACOGDOCHES,POLK,RUSK,SAN JACINTO,SMITH,TRINITY,WOOD | \$1,042,654.54 | 17,191 | 39 | 187 | 12,459 | 3,819 | 156 | 1,278 | 15,913 | - |
| Guadalupe Economic Services Corp | LUBBOCK | \$ 576,444.98 | 2,021 | 20 | 17 | 194 | 1,591 | 31 | 1,656 | 202 | 163 |
| Gulf Coast Community Services Association, Inc. | BRAZORIA,GALVESTON,HARRIS | \$4,936,435.69 | 1,835 | 6 | 16 | 1,077 | 558 | 111 | 664 | 1,170 | 1 |
| Hidalgo County, Texas-County of Hidalgo Community Service Agency | HIDALGO | \$1,354,580.84 | 19,765 | 6 | 28 | 63 | 19,520 | 128 | 19,508 | 257 | - |

Appendix C: Subrecipient Tables for Community Affairs Programs and Homelessness Programs

| | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|--|---------------|-------|----|-----|-------|-------|-----|-------|-------|-----|
| Hill Country Community Action Association, Inc. | BELL,CORYELL,HAMILTON,LAMPASAS,LLANO,MASON,MILAM,MILLS,SAN SABA | \$ 601,339.54 | 4,578 | 12 | 65 | 1,681 | 2,401 | 233 | 1,152 | 3,426 | - |
| Nueces County Community Action Agency | NUECES | \$ 417,802.14 | 3,517 | 14 | 5 | 375 | 3,065 | 22 | 2,970 | 541 | 6 |
| Opportunities for Williamson and Burnet Counties | BURNET,WILLIAMSON | \$ 308,149.92 | 3,533 | 13 | 100 | 1,045 | 1,748 | 253 | 1,229 | 2,145 | 159 |
| Opportunity Center for the Homeless | EL PASO | \$ 89,092.59 | 245 | - | - | - | 245 | - | 244 | 1 | - |
| Panhandle Community Services | ARMSTRONG,BRISCOE,CARSON,CASTRO,CHILDRESS,COLLINGSWORTH,DALLAM,DEAF SMITH,DONLEY,GRAY,HALL,HANSFORD,HARTLEY,HEMPHILL,HUTCHINSON,LIPSCOMB,MOORE,O | \$ 854,491.61 | 8,742 | 57 | 66 | 1,550 | 6,857 | 58 | 4,374 | 4,370 | (2) |

Appendix C: Subrecipient Tables for Community Affairs Programs and Homelessness Programs

| | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|--|---------------|-------|----|----|-------|-------|-----|-------|-------|----|
| | CHILTREE,OLDHAM ,PARMER,POTTER, RANDALL,ROBERTS ,SHERMAN,SWISHE R,WHEELER | | | | | | | | | | |
| Pecos County Community Action Agency | CRANE,PECOS,TER RELL | \$ 200,068.97 | 860 | - | - | 6 | 845 | - | 759 | 95 | 6 |
| Rolling Plains Management Corporation | ARCHER,BAYLOR,C LAY,COTTLE,FOARD ,HARDEMAN,JACK, MONTAGUE,SHACK ELFORD,STEPHENS ,TAYLOR,WICHITA, WILBARGER,YOUN G | \$ 618,860.68 | 6,054 | 34 | 48 | 1,408 | 3,450 | 700 | 2,065 | 3,947 | 42 |
| South East Texas Regional Planning Commission | HARDIN,JEFFERSO N,ORANGE | \$ 537,595.16 | 486 | 2 | 5 | 268 | 186 | 4 | 35 | 448 | 3 |
| South Plains Community Action Association, Inc. | BAILEY,COCHRAN,C ROSBY,DICKENS,F LOYD,GARZA,HALE, HOCKLEY,KING,LA MB,LYNN,MOTLEY, TERRY,YOAKUM | \$ 370,971.58 | 6,352 | 3 | 9 | 414 | 5,832 | 21 | 5,050 | 1,298 | 4 |

Appendix C: Subrecipient Tables for Community Affairs Programs and Homelessness Programs

| | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-----------------------------------|--|---------------|-------|----|-----|-------|-------|-----|-------|-------|-----|
| South Texas Development Council | JIM HOGG, STARR, ZAPATA | \$ 136,131.71 | 206 | - | - | - | 202 | 4 | 204 | 2 | - |
| Texas Native Health | COLLIN, DALLAS, DEBENTON, ELLIS, HOOD, JOHNSON, KAUFMAN, PARKER, ROCKWALL, TARRANT, WISE | \$ 88,644.71 | 52 | 24 | - | 6 | - | - | 13 | 39 | - |
| Texas Neighborhood Services | ERATH, HOOD, JOHNSON, PALO PINTO, PARKER, SOMERVELL, WISE | \$ 436,851.99 | 4,275 | 19 | 11 | 408 | 2,961 | - | 559 | 2,909 | 807 |
| Texoma Council of Governments | COOKE, FANNIN, GRAYSON | \$ 147,784.91 | 9,752 | 83 | 395 | 4,760 | 3,695 | 312 | 1,079 | 8,626 | 47 |
| Tri-County Community Action, Inc. | HARRISON, JASPER, NEWTON, PANOLA, SABINE, SAN AUGUSTINE, SHELBY, TYLER, UPSHUR | \$ 321,499.13 | 4,731 | 6 | 4 | 3,220 | 1,232 | 214 | 214 | 4,517 | - |

Appendix C: Subrecipient Tables for Community Affairs Programs and Homelessness Programs

| | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|------|---------------|-------|---|---|---|-------|---|-------|----|-----|
| Webb County Community Action Agency | WEBB | \$ 707,816.71 | 9,313 | - | 9 | 3 | 8,830 | 6 | 8,793 | 23 | 497 |
|---|------|---------------|-------|---|---|---|-------|---|-------|----|-----|

| | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-----------------------------------|--|---------------|-------|----|----|-------|-------|---|-------|-------|---|
| West Texas Opportunities, Inc. | ANDREWS,BORDE N,DAWSON,ECTOR, FISHER,GAINES,GL ASSCOCK,HOWARD ,LOVING,MARTIN,M IDLAND,MITCHELL, NOLAN,REEVES,SC URRY,UPTON,WAR D,WINKLER | \$ 695,616.84 | 9,462 | 22 | 22 | 1,575 | 7,316 | 8 | 6,322 | 3,140 | - |
|-----------------------------------|--|---------------|-------|----|----|-------|-------|---|-------|-------|---|

Appendix C: Subrecipient Tables for Community Affairs Programs and Homelessness Programs

Racial and Ethnic Composition of Individuals Receiving ESG Assistance by Subrecipient Statewide, FY 2024

| ESG Subrecipient | Counties Served | Amount Drawn* | Beneficiaries | American Indian/Alaskan Native | Asian | Black | Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander | White | Race Unknown | Hispanic | Non Hispanic | Ethnicity Unknown |
|---|--|---------------|---------------|--------------------------------|-------|-------|----------------------------------|-------|--------------|----------|--------------|-------------------|
| Abigail's Arms Cooke County Family Crisis Center | COOKE | \$ 21,866.69 | 14 | 1 | - | - | - | 12 | 1 | 6 | 8 | - |
| Advocacy Outreach | BASTROP | \$ 126,694.14 | 240 | - | - | 91 | - | 146 | 3 | 97 | 140 | 3 |
| Alliance of Community Assistance Ministries, Inc. | FORT BEND, HARRIS, MONTGOMERY | \$ 175,163.12 | 70 | 1 | - | 51 | - | 18 | - | 16 | 54 | - |
| Ark-Tex Council of Governments | CASS, HOPKINS, FRANKLIN, BOWIE, LAMAR, RED RIVER, TITUS, DELTA | \$ 86,810.77 | 72 | - | - | 61 | - | 10 | 1 | - | 72 | - |
| Bay Area Turning Point, Inc. | FORT BEND, HARRIS, MONTGOMERY | \$ 193,090.20 | 253 | 17 | - | 137 | - | 58 | 41 | 60 | 191 | 2 |
| Beat AIDS Coalition Trust | BEXAR | \$ 86,930.58 | 53 | - | - | 39 | - | 14 | - | 5 | 48 | - |
| Bridge Steps dba The Bridge | COLLIN, DALLAS | \$ 750,839.00 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Bridge Steps dba The Bridge | DALLAS | \$ 540,122.00 | 67 | 2 | - | 57 | - | 8 | - | 4 | 63 | - |
| Catholic Charities of the Rio Grande Valley | CAMERON | \$ 14,321.41 | 14 | - | - | - | - | 14 | - | 14 | - | - |
| Center for Transforming Lives | TARRANT | \$ 70,924.67 | 36 | - | - | 26 | - | 10 | - | 7 | 29 | - |
| City House, Inc. | COLLIN, DALLAS | \$ 6,975.20 | 7 | - | 1 | 4 | - | 2 | - | 1 | 6 | - |
| City House, Inc. | DALLAS | \$ 59,900.00 | 20 | - | 1 | 14 | - | 5 | - | 3 | 17 | - |
| City of Amarillo | ARMSTRONG, RANDALL, POTTER | \$ 127,250.63 | 158 | 3 | - | 37 | - | 120 | - | 77 | 81 | - |
| CitySquare | DALLAS | \$ 15,800.87 | 186 | 8 | 2 | 105 | 1 | 76 | 1 | 23 | 163 | - |
| Community Action Committee of Victoria, Texas | DE WITT, GOLIAD, REFUGIO, ARANSAS, GONZALES, CALHOUN, JACKSON, | \$ 97,587.73 | 154 | - | - | 36 | - | 118 | - | 100 | 54 | - |

Appendix C: Subrecipient Tables for Community Affairs Programs and Homelessness Programs

| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|--|---------------|-------|----|----|-----|---|-----|----|-----|-------|----|
| | VICTORIA, LAVACA | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Community Action Committee of Victoria, Texas | REFUGIO, DE WITT, GOLIAD, ARANSAS, GONZALES, VICTORIA, CALHOUN, JACKSON, LAVACA | \$ 291,631.29 | 287 | - | 5 | 119 | - | 163 | - | 115 | 172 | - |
| Concho Valley Community Action Agency | KIMBLE, STERLING, MENARD, SUTTON, CROCKETT, CONCHO, IRION, SCHLEICHER, TOM GREEN, COKE | \$ 120,034.51 | 1 | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | - |
| Concho Valley Community Action Agency | KIMBLE, STERLING, MENARD, SUTTON, CROCKETT, TOM GREEN, CONCHO, IRION, SCHLEICHER, COKE | \$ 7,603.37 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Corpus Christi Hope House, Inc. | NUECES | \$ 77,983.00 | 135 | - | 1 | 9 | - | 126 | - | 92 | 43 | - |
| Covenant House Texas | HARRIS | \$ 98,523.37 | 165 | - | - | 119 | - | 46 | - | 29 | 136 | - |
| Denton County Friends of the Family, Inc. | DENTON | \$ 291,921.34 | 206 | 3 | 3 | 95 | - | 57 | 48 | 36 | 120 | 50 |
| El Paso Human Services, Inc. | EL PASO | \$ 221,058.82 | 156 | 1 | 6 | 19 | - | 130 | - | 132 | 24 | - |
| Families In Crisis, Inc. | BELL | \$ 336,783.60 | 1,271 | 12 | 5 | 708 | 7 | 530 | 9 | 194 | 1,072 | 5 |
| Family Crisis Center, Inc. | CAMERON, WILLACY | \$ 69,618.15 | 43 | - | - | - | - | 43 | - | 39 | 4 | - |
| Family Eldercare, Inc. | TRAVIS | \$ 351,333.35 | 75 | 1 | - | 40 | - | 34 | - | 18 | 57 | - |
| Family Violence Prevention Services, Inc. | BEXAR | \$ 215,003.50 | 1,132 | 5 | 11 | 163 | 1 | 952 | - | 817 | 315 | - |

Appendix C: Subrecipient Tables for Community Affairs Programs and Homelessness Programs

| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|--|---------------|--------|----|----|-------|----|-------|-------|-------|-------|-----|
| Foundation For The Homeless | TRAVIS | \$ 147,559.57 | 10 | - | - | 7 | 3 | - | - | 3 | 7 | - |
| Friendship of Women, Inc. | CAMERON | \$ 154,067.85 | 180 | - | - | 3 | - | 177 | - | 169 | 11 | - |
| Haven for Hope of Bexar County | BEXAR | \$ 608,435.80 | 11,667 | 78 | 68 | 2,846 | 21 | 2,578 | 6,076 | 5,853 | 5,767 | 47 |
| Hope's Door | COLLIN, DALLAS | \$ 56,000.00 | 257 | - | 3 | 160 | - | 82 | 12 | 64 | 193 | - |
| Hope's Door | DALLAS | \$ 111,260.41 | 285 | - | 3 | 162 | 4 | 99 | 17 | 73 | 212 | - |
| Houston Area Women's Center, Inc. | FORT BEND, HARRIS, MONTGOMERY | \$ 65,282.13 | 15 | - | - | 13 | - | 2 | - | - | 15 | - |
| Houston Area Women's Center, Inc. | HARRIS | \$ 8,575.55 | 34 | 2 | - | 23 | - | 9 | - | 9 | 25 | - |
| HTX H.O.P.E. Haven | FORT BEND, HARRIS, MONTGOMERY | \$ 43,182.54 | 730 | 10 | 11 | 337 | 2 | 370 | - | 93 | 609 | 28 |
| La Posada Providencia | CAMERON, HIDALGO | \$ 188,932.48 | 924 | - | 11 | 33 | - | 880 | - | 889 | 35 | - |
| Loaves and Fishes of the Rio Grande Valley, Inc. | CAMERON, WILLACY | \$ 290,079.95 | 713 | - | 48 | 19 | 30 | 616 | - | 519 | 194 | - |
| Magnificat Houses, Inc. | HARRIS | \$ 94,363.00 | 1,217 | 7 | 15 | 725 | - | 329 | 141 | 51 | 1,064 | 102 |
| Maurice Barnett Geriatric Wellness Center, Inc. | COLLIN | \$ 1,004.19 | 1 | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | 1 | - |
| Mid-Coast Family Services, Inc. | DE WITT, GOLIAD, REFUGIO, GONZALES, CALHOUN, JACKSON, VICTORIA, LAVACA | \$ 50,438.55 | 76 | 1 | - | 26 | - | 49 | - | 31 | 45 | - |
| Mid-Coast Family Services, Inc. | DE WITT, GOLIAD, REFUGIO, GONZALES, VICTORIA, CALHOUN, JACKSON, LAVACA | \$ 443,142.66 | 953 | 7 | 2 | 247 | - | 697 | - | 512 | 441 | - |
| Mid-Coast Family Services, Inc. | REFUGIO, DE WITT, GOLIAD, GONZALES, | \$ 268,995.30 | 257 | 1 | - | 96 | - | 160 | - | 145 | 112 | - |

Appendix C: Subrecipient Tables for Community Affairs Programs and Homelessness Programs

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|---|--------------|-----|---|---|----|---|----|---|----|----|---|--|
| | CALHOUN, JACKSON, VICTORIA, LAVACA | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| NorTex Regional Planning Commission | THROCKMORTON, BAYLOR, CHILDRESS, MONTAGUE, CLAY, FOARD, HARDEMAN, PALO PINTO, ARCHER, STEPHENS, JACK, COTTLE | \$ 30,226.02 | 47 | - | - | 25 | - | 22 | - | 8 | 39 | - | |
| NorTex Regional Planning Commission | THROCKMORTON, WICHITA, BAYLOR, CHILDRESS, MONTAGUE, CLAY, FOARD, HARDEMAN, PALO PINTO, STEPHENS, ARCHER, JACK, COTTLE | \$ 85,015.94 | 132 | - | - | 50 | - | 82 | - | 37 | 95 | - | |
| Panhandle Community Services | LIPSCOMB, PARMER, ARMSTRONG, SHERMAN, SWISHER, HUTCHINSON, HANSFORD, DONLEY, BRISCOE, CASTRO, COLLINGSWORTH, MOORE, OLDHAM, HALL, HARTLEY, GRAY, POTTER, OCHILTREE, HEMPHILL, | \$ 53,891.38 | 18 | - | - | - | - | 18 | - | 13 | 5 | - | |

Appendix C: Subrecipient Tables for Community Affairs Programs and Homelessness Programs

| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|---|---------------|-------|----|----|-------|----|-------|-----|-----|-------|----|
| | DALLAM, DEAF SMITH, CARSON, WHEELER | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Project Vida | EL PASO | \$ 121,470.82 | 86 | - | 1 | 8 | 1 | 76 | - | 78 | 8 | - |
| SafeHaven of Tarrant County | TARRANT | \$ 89,476.02 | 2,730 | 49 | 31 | 1,846 | 6 | 845 | 75 | 504 | 2,204 | 22 |
| Salvation Army (Amarillo) | RANDALL | \$ 16,053.45 | 4 | - | - | - | - | 4 | - | 2 | 2 | - |
| Salvation Army (Arlington) | TARRANT | \$ 102,958.10 | 171 | - | - | 148 | - | 23 | - | 10 | 161 | - |
| Salvation Army (Coastal Bend) | SAN PATRICIO, JIM WELLS, NUECES, LIVE OAK, BEE, KLEBERG | \$ 10,547.33 | 142 | - | 2 | 20 | - | 120 | - | 72 | 70 | - |
| Salvation Army (Coastal Bend) | SAN PATRICIO, NUECES, JIM WELLS, LIVE OAK, BEE, KLEBERG | \$ 67,732.00 | 538 | 4 | 2 | 71 | 36 | 425 | - | 332 | 206 | - |
| Salvation Army (Coastal Bend) | SAN PATRICIO, NUECES, JIM WELLS, LIVE OAK, KLEBERG, BEE | \$ 250,263.53 | 635 | 3 | 5 | 90 | 6 | 531 | - | 378 | 250 | 7 |
| Salvation Army (Fort Worth) Mabee Center | TARRANT | \$ 395,375.52 | 402 | - | 1 | 236 | - | 165 | - | 63 | 339 | - |
| Salvation Army (Grayson County) | COOKE, FANNIN, GRAYSON | \$ 47,631.16 | 592 | 9 | - | 218 | - | 360 | 5 | 108 | 484 | - |
| Salvation Army (Houston) | FORT BEND, HARRIS, MONTGOMERY | \$ 170,888.57 | 141 | - | - | 101 | 1 | 17 | 22 | 18 | 94 | 29 |
| Salvation Army (Sherman) | COOKE, FANNIN, GRAYSON | \$ 200,118.79 | 2,103 | 7 | - | 712 | - | 1,370 | 14 | 267 | 1,835 | 1 |
| Salvation Army (Temple) | HAMILTON, CORYELL, BELL, LAMPASAS | \$ 570,444.70 | 725 | 4 | - | 383 | 4 | 208 | 126 | 72 | 606 | 47 |
| Salvation Army (Waco) | MCLENNAN | \$ 96,112.41 | 814 | 20 | 1 | 326 | 2 | 463 | 2 | 168 | 644 | 2 |
| San Antonio Metropolitan Ministry Inc. | BEXAR | \$ 120,584.01 | 94 | 1 | - | 8 | - | 85 | - | 70 | 24 | - |
| Sarah's House | HARRIS | \$ 196,610.34 | 171 | 7 | 2 | 97 | 1 | 62 | 2 | 43 | 128 | - |
| SEARCH Homeless Services | HARRIS | \$ 7,601.02 | 12 | - | - | 12 | - | - | - | - | 12 | - |

Appendix C: Subrecipient Tables for Community Affairs Programs and Homelessness Programs

| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|--|-----------------|-------|----|----|-------|---|-----|-----|-----|-------|-----|
| Shared Housing Center, Inc. | DALLAS | \$ 5,970.00 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Shelter Ministries of Dallas, dba Austin Street Center | DALLAS | \$ 337,832.19 | 1,779 | 17 | 16 | 1,110 | - | 438 | 198 | 158 | 1,468 | 153 |
| Spring Branch Community Health Center | FORT BEND, HARRIS, MONTGOMERY | \$ 78,202.28 | 97 | - | 4 | 63 | - | 12 | 18 | 18 | 70 | 9 |
| Tarrant County Hands of Hope | TARRANT | \$ 48,369.91 | 301 | - | - | 185 | - | 116 | - | 42 | 259 | - |
| Tarrant County Homeless Coalition | PARKER, TARRANT | \$ 15,601.07 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Texoma Family Shelter, Inc. | COOKE, GRAYSON | \$ 121,428.33 | 134 | 4 | - | 53 | - | 63 | 14 | 19 | 113 | 2 |
| The Bridge Over Troubled Water, Inc. | HARRIS | \$ 62,544.38 | 67 | 1 | - | 25 | - | 33 | 9 | 22 | 45 | - |
| The Family Place, Inc. | DALLAS | \$ 53,995.09 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| The Other Ones Foundation Inc. | TRAVIS | \$ 2,854,972.07 | 213 | 1 | 1 | 47 | 1 | 68 | 95 | 33 | 90 | 90 |
| The SAFE Alliance | TRAVIS | \$ 347,827.05 | 616 | 2 | 12 | 235 | - | 329 | 38 | 327 | 283 | 6 |
| Thrive Youth Center, Inc | BEXAR | \$ 70,725.47 | 87 | 1 | 1 | 24 | - | 57 | 4 | 27 | 60 | - |
| Twin City Mission, Inc. | BRAZOS, BURLESON, LEON, ROBERTSON, GRIMES, MILAM, MADISON | \$ 134,649.95 | 1,375 | 43 | 9 | 598 | 8 | 663 | 54 | 302 | 1,055 | 18 |
| Under 1 Roof | COLLIN, DALLAS | \$ 21,607.33 | 13 | - | - | 13 | - | - | - | - | 13 | - |
| Under 1 Roof | DALLAS | \$ 168,731.45 | 85 | - | 1 | 74 | - | 10 | - | 10 | 75 | - |
| Wesley Community Center, Inc. | FORT BEND, HARRIS, MONTGOMERY | \$ 10,421.30 | 9 | - | - | - | - | 9 | - | 9 | - | - |
| West Houston Assistance Ministries, Inc. | HARRIS | \$ 51,977.49 | 171 | 7 | - | 128 | 7 | 29 | - | 33 | 138 | - |
| Youth and Family Alliance dba Lifeworks | TRAVIS | \$ 500,633.47 | 64 | 2 | - | 31 | - | 23 | 8 | 39 | 22 | 3 |

*ESG subrecipients report based on the amount drawn. The amount of money drawn in a state fiscal year does not directly correlate to the number of individuals served during the same state fiscal year.

Appendix C: Subrecipient Tables for Community Affairs Programs and Homelessness Programs

Racial and Ethnic Composition of Individuals Receiving HHSP Assistance by Subrecipient Statewide, FY 2024

| HHSP Subrecipient | Counties Served | Expended | Individuals Served | American Indian or Alaskan Native | Asian | Black | Pacific Islander or Native Hawaiian | White | Unknown /Other | Hispanic | Non Hispanic | Ethnicity Unknown |
|--------------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------|--------------------|-----------------------------------|-------|-------|-------------------------------------|-------|----------------|----------|--------------|-------------------|
| City of Amarillo | POTTER | \$ 8,714.95 | 28 | 2 | - | 5 | - | 21 | 2 | - | 9 | 19 |
| City of Arlington | TARRANT | \$ 290,840.31 | 95 | - | - | 72 | - | 23 | - | - | 6 | 89 |
| City of Austin | WILLIAMSON, HAYS, TRAVIS | \$ 495,062.49 | 285 | - | 1 | 140 | 6 | 135 | 11 | 4 | 151 | 132 |
| City of Corpus Christi | NUECES | \$ 211,905.07 | 16 | - | - | 1 | - | 15 | - | - | 14 | 2 |
| City of Dallas | COLLIN, DALLAS, DENTON | \$ 1,056,526.10 | 756 | 13 | 10 | 482 | 7 | 167 | 107 | 77 | 60 | 696 |
| City of El Paso | EL PASO | \$ 614,271.01 | 395 | 1 | 1 | 40 | - | 336 | 19 | 17 | 334 | 52 |
| City of Fort Worth | PARKER, TARRANT, DENTON | \$ 551,570.99 | 377 | - | - | 248 | - | 129 | - | - | 76 | 301 |
| City of Houston | FORT BEND, HARRIS | \$ 1,904,032.69 | 1,883 | 5 | 8 | 1,378 | 2 | 300 | 205 | 190 | 164 | 1,687 |
| City of Plano | COLLIN | \$ 131,474.41 | 55 | - | - | 51 | - | 4 | - | - | - | 55 |
| City of San Antonio | COMAL, ATASCOSA, BEXAR | \$ 341,674.24 | 583 | 18 | 4 | 216 | 3 | 262 | 105 | 80 | 260 | 321 |
| Haven for Hope of Bexar County | BEXAR, COMAL, ATASCOSA | \$ 967,046.00 | 6,304 | 98 | 50 | 1,727 | 14 | 3,072 | 1,505 | 1,343 | 3,348 | 2,937 |

APPENDIX D: BIBLIOGRAPHY

Bureau of Justice Statistics. (2024). Criminal Victimization, 2023. Retrieved from:

<https://bjs.ojp.gov/document/cv23.pdf>

Bureau of Labor Statistics. (2016). Urban and rural household spending in 2015. Retrieved from:

<https://www.bls.gov/opub/ted/2016/urban-and-rural-household-spending-in-2015.htm>

Casey Family Programs. (2016). Investing in Hope: Signature Report 2016. Retrieved from:

<http://www.casey.org/media/signature-report-2016.pdf>.

Cullhane, D. Tregalia, D. Bryrne, T. Metraux, S. Kuhn, R. Doran, K. Johns, E. Schretzman, M. (2019).

The Emerging Crisis of Aged Homelessness. University of Pennsylvania Actionable Intelligence for Social Policy. Retrieved from: <https://www.aisp.upenn.edu/aginghomelessness/>

Federal Reserve Bank of Dallas. (2015, April). Las Colonias in the 21st Century: Progress Along the Texas-Mexico Border. Retrieved from:

<http://dallasfed.org/assets/documents/cd/pubs/lascalonias.pdf>.

Formby, B. Cameron, D. Essig, C. (2018, October). As Texas grows, an affordable housing crisis looms. Here are six things to know. Texas Tribune. Retrieved from:

<https://www.texastribune.org/2018/10/05/affordable-housing-texas-things-know/>

Freddie Mac. (2018, December). The Major Challenge of Inadequate Housing Supply. Freddie Mac

Insight. Retrieved from: <http://www.freddiemac.com/fmac-resources/research/pdf/201811-Insight-06.pdf>

Freddie Mac. (2019, February). While Seniors Age in Place, Millennials Wait Longer and May Pay More for their First Homes. Freddie Mac Insight. Retrieved from:

http://www.freddiemac.com/research/insight/20190206_seniors_age_millennials_wait.page?

Immergluck, Daniel (2016). Foreclosures and Neighborhoods: The Shape and Impacts of the U.S. Mortgage Crisis. Retrieved from:

https://scholarworks.gsu.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=1006&context=urban_studies_institute.

Mathematica Policy Research. (2015, May). Housing for Youth Aging out of Foster Care. Retrieved

from: <https://www.mathematica-mpr.com/download-media?MediaItemId=%7B56079F32-E3DA-4F8A-AB96-1DD7859568EA%7D>

Narendorf, S., Santa Maria, D. & Cooper, J. (2015). YouthCount 2.0!: Full report of findings. Houston, TX. Retrieved from:

http://www.uh.edu/socialwork/New_research/projects/Youth%20Count%202.0/.

National Network to End Domestic Violence. (2023). Domestic Violence Counts: National Summary.

Retrieved from: <https://nnedv.org/content/domestic-violence-counts-17th-annual/>

Texas Department of Public Safety. (2024) 2024 Family Violence Statistics. Received from

<https://www.dps.texas.gov/section/crime-records/uniform-crime-reporting-program-ucr-overview>.

Texas Department of State Health Services (Advanced Copy). (2022, November). Texas HIV surveillance report: 2021 Annual Report. Retrieved from:

<http://www.dshs.state.tx.us/hivstd/reports/>.

Texas Office of the Secretary of State Colonia Initiatives Program. (2014, December 1). Update to the 84th Regular Legislative Session: Tracking the Progress of State-Funded Projects that Benefit Colonias. Retrieved from: www.sos.state.tx.us/border/forms/2014-progress-legislative-report.pdf.

Texas Veterans Commission. (2014, June). Agency Strategic Plan for Fiscal Years 2017-2021. Retrieved from: http://www.tvc.texas.gov/documents/TVC_Strategic%20Plan_2014.pdf.

Texas Water Development Board. (2003, October). Assessment of water and Wastewater Facility Needs for EDAP Counties. Retrieved from: http://www.twdb.texas.gov/publications/reports/contracted_reports/doc/2002483473.pdf.

Texas Workforce Commission. (2023). Report on Texas Growth Occupations - 2022. Retrieved from: <https://lmci.state.tx.us/shared/PDFs/High-Growth-Annual-Report-Final-Review-full-2022.pdf>.

Texas Workforce Commission. (2024, November). Industry Profiles. Retrieved from: <https://texaslmi.com/EconomicProfiles/IndustryProfiles>.

U.S. Census Bureau. (2024). 2018-2022 American Community Survey. Retrieved from: <https://data.census.gov/cedsci/>

U.S. Census Bureau, Geography Division. (2024). TIGER/Line Shapefiles: Counties (and equivalent), Texas. Retrieved from: <https://www.census.gov/geographies/mapping-files/time-series/geo/tiger-line-file.2022.html#list-tab-790442341>.

U.S. Department of Agriculture. (2023). Multi-Family Section 514 and 515 Management. Retrieved from: https://www.sc.egov.usda.gov/data/MFH_section_515.html.

U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. (2024). 2024 HHS Poverty Guidelines. Retrieved from: <https://aspe.hhs.gov/topics/poverty-economic-mobility/poverty-guidelines>.

U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development. (2010, November). Capital Needs in the Public Housing Program. Retrieved from: https://www.hud.gov/sites/documents/PH_Capital_Needs.pdf

U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development. (2024). Picture of Subsidized Households. Retrieved from: <https://www.huduser.gov/portal/datasets/assthsg.html>.

U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development. (2023, December). The 2023 Annual Homeless Assessment Report (AHAR) to Congress. Retrieved from: <https://www.huduser.gov/portal/sites/default/files/pdf/2023-ahar-part-1.pdf>.

U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development, Office of Policy Development and Research. (2024, September). Comprehensive Housing Affordable Strategy (CHAS) Data, 2017-2021. Retrieved from: <https://www.huduser.gov/portal/datasets/cp.html>.

U.S. Department of Veteran Affairs. (2015, May). Veteran Poverty Trends. Retrieved from: https://www.va.gov/vetdata/docs/SpecialReports/Veteran_Poverty_Trends.pdf.

Viveiros, J. and Brennan, B. (2014, March). Aging in Every Place: Supportive Service Programs for High and Low Density Communities. Retrieved from: Center for Housing Policy at <http://www2.nhc.org/media/AgingInEveryPlace.pdf>.

Ward, P.M., Way, H.K., Wood, L. (2012, August). The contract for deed prevalence project: A final report to the Texas department of housing and community affairs. Retrieved from: <http://www.tdhca.state.tx.us/housing-center/docs/CFD-Prevalence-Project.pdf>.

APPENDIX E: ACRONYMS

| ACRONYM | NAME |
|----------|--|
| ACS | American Community Survey |
| AFFH | Affirmatively Furthering Fair Housing |
| AI | Analysis of Impediments to Fair Housing Choice |
| AIDS | Acquired Immunodeficiency Syndrome |
| AMFI | Area Median Family Income |
| AMI | Area Median Income |
| ARP | American Rescue Plan |
| AYBR | Amy Young Barrier Removal |
| BRB | Bond Review Board |
| CAA | Community Action Agencies |
| CAA | Consolidated Appropriations Act |
| CARES/CV | Coronavirus Aid, Relief, and Economic Security Act |
| CDBG | Community Development Block Grant |
| CEAP | Comprehensive Energy Assistance Program |
| CFD | Contract for Deed |
| CHAS | Comprehensive Housing Affordability Strategy |
| CHDO | Community Housing Development Organization |
| CoC | Continuum of Care |
| CPD | Community Planning and Development |
| C-RAC | Colonia Resident Advisory Committee |
| CRCG | Community Resource Coordination Groups |
| CSBG | Community Service Block Grant |
| DAW | Disability Advisory Workgroup |
| DFPS | Texas Department of Family Protective Services |
| DOE | U.S. Department of Energy |
| DSHS | Texas Department of State Health Services |
| EDA | Economically Distressed Areas |
| EDAP | Economically Distressed Areas Program |
| EH Fund | Ending Homelessness Fund |
| EHCV | Emergency Housing Choice Voucher |
| ELI | Extremely Low Income |
| ENTERP | Emergency Nutrition and Temporary Emergency Relief Program |
| ESG | Emergency Solutions Grant |
| ERA | Emergency Rental Assistance Program |
| FY | Fiscal Year (referring to State Fiscal Year) |
| FHA | Federal Housing Administration |
| FHAP | Fair Housing Assistance Program |
| FHIP | Fair Housing Initiative Program |

| | |
|-------------|--|
| Fannie Mae | Federal National Mortgage Association |
| Freddie Mac | Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation |
| FMR | Fair Market Rent |
| FYSB | Family and Youth Services Bureau |
| GOBPP | Governor's Office of Budget, Planning and Policy |
| HAF | Homeowner Assistance Fund |
| HAMFI | HUD Area Median Family Income |
| HANC | Homebuyer Assistance with New Construction |
| HB | House Bill |
| HBA | Homebuyer Assistance |
| HCV | Section 8 Housing Choice Voucher |
| HERA | Housing and Economic Recovery Act |
| HFC | Housing Finance Corporation |
| HH | Household |
| HHSCC | Housing and Health Services Coordination Council |
| HHSP | Homeless Housing and Services Program |
| HIC | Housing Inventory Count |
| HICP | Homeless Individual Camping Plans |
| HIV | Human Immunodeficiency Virus |
| HMIS | Homeless Management Information Systems |
| HOME | HOME Investment Partnerships Program |
| HOME-ARP | HOME American Rescue Plan |
| HOPWA | Housing Opportunities for Persons with AIDS |
| HRA | Homeowner Rehabilitation Assistance |
| HSR | Housing Sponsor Report |
| HSS | Housing Stability Services |
| HTC | Housing Tax Credit |
| HTF | Housing Trust Fund |
| HUD | U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development |
| IA | Individual Assistance |
| ICE | Federal Immigration and Customs Enforcement |
| IND | Individual |
| IRS | Internal Revenue Service |
| JHSWG | Joint Housing Solutions Working Group |
| LBB | Legislative Budget Board |
| LEP | Limited English Proficiency |
| LI | Low Income |
| LIHEAP | Low Income Home Energy Assistance Program |
| LIHTC | Low Income Housing Tax Credit |
| LIHWAP | Low Income Household Water Assistance Program |
| MCC | Mortgage Credit Certificate |
| MCTH | My Choice Texas Home |

| | |
|-----------------|---|
| MF Bond | Multifamily Bond |
| MF Direct Loan | Multifamily Direct Loan |
| MFTH | My First Texas Home |
| MI | Moderate Income |
| MSA | Metropolitan Statistical Areas |
| MSFW | Migrant Seasonal Farmworker |
| NHTF | National Housing Trust Fund |
| NOFA | Notice of Funding Availability |
| NOHP | Nonprofit Owner-Builder Housing Provider |
| NSP | Neighborhood Stabilization Program |
| NSP1 PI | Neighborhood Stabilization Program Round 1 Program Income |
| OCI | Office of Colonia Initiatives |
| OMB | U.S. Office of Management and Budget |
| PAB | Private Activity Bond |
| PAL | Preparation for Adult Living |
| PHA | Public Housing Authority |
| PMSA | Primary Metropolitan Statistical Area |
| PWD | Persons with Disabilities |
| PY | HUD Program Year (2/1 - 1/31) |
| QAP | Qualified Allocation Plan |
| RAF | Regional Allocation Formula |
| RF | Repayment Funds |
| RFP | Request for Proposal |
| SEH | Service-Enriched Housing |
| SB | Senate Bill |
| SBHCC | Statewide Behavioral Health Coordinating Council |
| Section 811 PRA | Section 811 Project Rental Assistance |
| SFD | Single Family Development |
| SFY | State Fiscal Year |
| SHC | Self-Help Center |
| SILC | Texas State Independent Living Council |
| SLIHP | State Low Income Housing Plan and Annual Report |
| TAC | Texas Administrative Code |
| TBRA | Tenant-Based Rental Assistance |
| TCAP | Tax Credit Assistance Program |
| TCAP RF | Tax Credit Assistance Program Repayment Funds |
| TDA | Texas Department Agriculture |
| TDCJ | Texas Department of Criminal Justice |
| TDHCA | Texas Department of Housing and Community Affairs |
| TEDP | Texas Eviction Diversion Program |
| TEMAP | Texas Emergency Mortgage Assistance Program |
| TERAP | Texas Emergency Rental Assistance Program |

| | |
|-----------|---|
| Texas HHS | Texas Health and Human Services |
| THN | Texas Homeless Network |
| TICH | Texas Interagency Council for the Homeless |
| TRRP | Texas Rent Relief Program |
| TSAHC | Texas State Affordable Housing Corporation |
| TSHEP | Texas Statewide Homebuyer Education Program |
| TVC | Texas Veterans Commission |
| TWC | Texas Workforce Commission |
| TWDB | Texas Water Development Board |
| TXHBU | Texas Homebuyer U |
| TX MCC | Texas Mortgage Credit Certificate |
| USDA | U.S. Department of Agriculture |
| USHHS | U.S. Department of Health and Human Services |
| VA | U.S. Department of Veterans Affairs |
| VASH | Veterans Affairs Supportive Housing |
| VAWA | Violence Against Women Act |
| VLI | Very Low Income |
| WAP | Weatherization Assistance Program |
| WAP PAC | Weatherization Assistance Program Planning Advisory Committee |