

2012 Housing Trust Fund Regional Allocation Formula

Table 1. Regional, Rural, and Urban Funding Amounts

The table below shows the allocation of funds to the 13 State Service Regions and the corresponding rural and urban/exurban distribution within each region.

Region	Place for Geographical Reference	Regional Funding Amount	Regional Funding %	Rural Funding Amount	Rural Funding %	Urban Funding Amount	Urban Funding %
1	Lubbock	\$173,055	4.3%	\$59,696	34.5%	\$113,359	65.5%
2	Abilene	\$88,147	2.2%	\$43,091	48.9%	\$45,056	51.1%
3	Dallas/Fort Worth	\$784,040	19.6%	\$75,764	9.7%	\$708,276	90.3%
4	Tyler	\$221,339	5.5%	\$144,292	65.2%	\$77,047	34.8%
5	Beaumont	\$104,776	2.6%	\$66,957	63.9%	\$37,819	36.1%
6	Houston	\$869,773	21.7%	\$36,594	4.2%	\$833,179	95.8%
7	Austin/Round Rock	\$213,207	5.3%	\$14,647	6.9%	\$198,560	93.1%
8	Waco	\$240,412	6.0%	\$24,286	10.1%	\$216,126	89.9%
9	San Antonio	\$233,733	5.8%	\$29,873	12.8%	\$203,860	87.2%
10	Corpus Christi	\$180,641	4.5%	\$53,331	29.5%	\$127,309	70.5%
11	Brownsville/Harlingen	\$640,299	16.0%	\$215,780	33.7%	\$424,519	66.3%
12	San Angelo	\$87,436	2.2%	\$32,217	36.8%	\$55,219	63.2%
13	El Paso	\$163,143	4.1%	\$21,119	12.9%	\$142,024	87.1%
	Total	\$4,000,000	100.0%	\$817,648	20.4%	\$3,182,352	79.6%

2012 Housing Trust Fund Regional Allocation Formula

Table 2. Regional Measures of Affordable Housing Need

Total RAF Funding: \$ 4,000,000

Region	Poverty			Rental Cost Burden			Overcrowding			Substandard Housing			Combined Need Measures	
	Measure Weight		Measure Funding Amount	Measure Weight		Measure Funding Amount	Measure Weight		Measure Funding Amount	Measure Weight		Measure Funding Amount		
	50%		\$ 2,000,000	36%		\$ 1,440,000	12%		\$ 480,000	2%		\$ 80,000		
	# of Persons	Region's Measure %	Region's Measure Funding Amount	# of Households	Region's Measure %	Region's Measure Funding Amount	# of Households	Region's Measure %	Region's Measure Funding Amount	# of Households	Region's Measure %	Region's Measure Funding Amount	Region's Need Based Funding Amount	Region's Need Based %
1	122,991	4%	\$ 78,901	48,575	4%	\$ 52,040	10,472	2%	\$ 10,791	1,669	3%	\$ 2,159	\$ 143,891	3.6%
2	77,647	2%	\$ 49,812	29,490	2%	\$ 31,593	4,276	1%	\$ 4,406	1,136	2%	\$ 1,470	\$ 87,280	2.2%
3	588,688	19%	\$ 377,654	373,018	28%	\$ 399,621	120,131	26%	\$ 123,789	11,450	19%	\$ 14,817	\$ 915,881	22.9%
4	152,036	5%	\$ 97,534	62,014	5%	\$ 66,437	10,677	2%	\$ 11,002	3,090	5%	\$ 3,999	\$ 178,971	4.5%
5	120,585	4%	\$ 77,357	43,873	3%	\$ 47,002	8,010	2%	\$ 8,254	2,139	3%	\$ 2,768	\$ 135,381	3.4%
6	656,239	21%	\$ 420,989	300,914	22%	\$ 322,376	131,954	28%	\$ 135,972	11,819	19%	\$ 15,296	\$ 894,631	22.4%
7	145,060	5%	\$ 93,058	124,556	9%	\$ 133,440	27,207	6%	\$ 28,036	4,141	7%	\$ 5,358	\$ 259,892	6.5%
8	149,480	5%	\$ 95,894	67,782	5%	\$ 72,616	12,671	3%	\$ 13,057	2,407	4%	\$ 3,115	\$ 184,682	4.6%
9	267,118	9%	\$ 171,361	114,623	9%	\$ 122,797	36,541	8%	\$ 37,653	4,660	8%	\$ 6,030	\$ 337,842	8.4%
10	132,214	4%	\$ 84,818	40,001	3%	\$ 42,854	11,861	3%	\$ 12,222	2,239	4%	\$ 2,897	\$ 142,791	3.6%
11	455,366	15%	\$ 292,125	69,588	5%	\$ 74,551	65,778	14%	\$ 67,781	12,918	21%	\$ 16,718	\$ 451,174	11.3%
12	85,063	3%	\$ 54,569	27,230	2%	\$ 29,172	7,137	2%	\$ 7,354	1,411	2%	\$ 1,826	\$ 92,921	2.3%
13	165,122	5%	\$ 105,929	42,474	3%	\$ 45,503	19,102	4%	\$ 19,684	2,740	4%	\$ 3,546	\$ 174,662	4.4%
Ttl.	3,117,609	100%	\$ 2,000,000	1,344,137	100%	\$ 1,440,000	465,818	100%	\$ 480,000	61,818	100%	\$ 80,000	\$ 4,000,000	100%

2012 Housing Trust Fund Regional Allocation Formula

Table 3. Regional Distribution of Available Housing Resources

Region	HTF	HOPWA	PHA Capital	S8	USDA MF	USDA RA	HTC	MF Bond	HTC w/ Bond	USDA SF	SF Bond	HOME	Region's Resource Total	Region's Resource %
1	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 2,159,632	\$ 15,602,918	\$ -	\$ 253,334	\$ 21,014,200	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 2,003,528	\$ 5,464,535	\$ 6,061,126	\$ 52,559,273	2.9%
2	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 4,758,851	\$ 8,453,433	\$ -	\$ 297,354	\$ 18,092,440	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 3,913,536	\$ -	\$ 4,072,625	\$ 39,588,239	2.2%
3	\$ 508,120	\$ 2,587,718	\$ 11,697,607	\$ 200,228,469	\$ 1,500,000	\$ 670,343	\$ 121,487,576	\$ 7,840,994	\$ -	\$ 7,126,645	\$ 112,444,320	\$ 13,894,080	\$ 479,985,872	26.2%
4	\$ 154,480	\$ -	\$ 3,652,691	\$ 14,710,647	\$ -	\$ 466,645	\$ 24,755,600	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 10,256,750	\$ 496,763	\$ 8,087,070	\$ 62,580,646	3.4%
5	\$ 120,000	\$ -	\$ 3,875,927	\$ 32,299,968	\$ -	\$ 271,167	\$ 30,700,760	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 2,192,436	\$ 3,008,608	\$ 3,573,540	\$ 76,042,406	4.1%
6	\$ 125,000	\$ 5,017,561	\$ 7,766,303	\$ 128,554,405	\$ -	\$ 465,270	\$ 87,443,128	\$ 13,320,665	\$ -	\$ 4,657,298	\$ 146,926,323	\$ 26,963,554	\$ 421,239,507	23.0%
7	\$ 382,000	\$ 861,467	\$ 4,532,831	\$ 58,909,651	\$ -	\$ 169,717	\$ 16,193,112	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 2,390,171	\$ 53,434,723	\$ 3,576,694	\$ 140,450,366	7.7%
8	\$ 316,023	\$ -	\$ 5,010,303	\$ 24,793,543	\$ -	\$ 447,033	\$ 20,206,376	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 3,077,013	\$ 3,390,796	\$ 1,834,338	\$ 59,075,425	3.2%
9	\$ 157,440	\$ 610,326	\$ 10,354,560	\$ 105,575,972	\$ -	\$ 136,160	\$ 21,694,864	\$ 17,665,842	\$ -	\$ 4,411,495	\$ 32,175,900	\$ 9,685,144	\$ 202,467,703	11.0%
10	\$ 54,000	\$ -	\$ 5,529,085	\$ 18,867,967	\$ -	\$ 204,752	\$ 10,705,608	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 2,725,069	\$ 3,553,848	\$ 6,436,050	\$ 48,076,379	2.6%
11	\$ 664,998	\$ -	\$ 9,550,236	\$ 57,891,374	\$ 1,078,139	\$ 447,119	\$ 18,870,992	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 14,665,234	\$ 11,685,868	\$ 5,197,246	\$ 120,051,207	6.6%
12	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 1,437,613	\$ 10,117,884	\$ -	\$ 107,459	\$ 27,181,776	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 2,001,864	\$ 667,601	\$ 3,568,140	\$ 45,082,337	2.5%
13	\$ 434,985	\$ -	\$ 9,242,822	\$ 32,641,170	\$ -	\$ 55,024	\$ 24,449,744	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 3,238,448	\$ 11,556,314	\$ 3,674,956	\$ 85,293,463	4.7%
Total	\$ 2,917,046	\$ 9,077,072	\$ 79,568,461	\$ 708,647,401	\$ 2,578,139	\$ 3,991,378	\$ 442,796,176	\$ 38,827,500	\$ -	\$ 62,659,487	\$ 384,805,598	\$ 96,624,563	\$ 1,832,492,821	100%
% of Total Resources	0.2%	0.5%	4.3%	38.7%	0.1%	0.2%	24.2%	2.1%	0.0%	3.4%	21.0%	5.3%		

% of Resources not Regionally Allocated: 100.0%

2012 Housing Trust Fund Regional Allocation Formula

Table 4. Regional Available Housing Resources Funding Adjustment

Region	Difference between Resources and Need			Over Allocated Regional Resource Differences						Under Allocated Regional Resource Differences		
	Region's Resource %	Region's Need Based %	Resource Difference	Over Allocated Resource Differences	Over Allocation %	Base Resource Funding Adjustment	Region's Need Based Funding Amount	Maximum Resource Funding Adjustment	Resource Funding Adjustment	Under Allocated Resource Differences	Under Allocation %	Base Resource Funding Adjustment
1	2.9%	3.6%	-0.7%	0.0%	0.0%	-	143,891	-	-	-0.7%	-8.2%	\$ 29,164
2	2.2%	2.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	-	87,280	-	-	0.0%	-0.2%	\$ 866
3	26.2%	22.9%	3.3%	3.3%	37.1%	(131,841)	915,881	(915,881)	(131,841)	0.0%	0.0%	\$ -
4	3.4%	4.5%	-1.1%	0.0%	0.0%	-	178,971	-	-	-1.1%	-11.9%	\$ 42,369
5	4.1%	3.4%	0.8%	0.8%	8.6%	(30,605)	135,381	(135,381)	(30,605)	0.0%	0.0%	\$ -
6	23.0%	22.4%	0.6%	0.6%	7.0%	(24,858)	894,631	(894,631)	(24,858)	0.0%	0.0%	\$ -
7	7.7%	6.5%	1.2%	1.2%	13.1%	(46,685)	259,892	(259,892)	(46,685)	0.0%	0.0%	\$ -
8	3.2%	4.6%	-1.4%	0.0%	0.0%	-	184,682	-	-	-1.4%	-15.7%	\$ 55,731
9	11.0%	8.4%	2.6%	2.6%	29.3%	(104,109)	337,842	(337,842)	(104,109)	0.0%	0.0%	\$ -
10	2.6%	3.6%	-0.9%	0.0%	0.0%	-	142,791	-	-	-0.9%	-10.7%	\$ 37,849
11	6.6%	11.3%	-4.7%	0.0%	0.0%	-	451,174	-	-	-4.7%	-53.3%	\$ 189,124
12	2.5%	2.3%	0.1%	0.1%	1.5%	(5,485)	92,921	(92,921)	(5,485)	0.0%	0.0%	\$ -
13	4.7%	4.4%	0.3%	0.3%	3.2%	(11,519)	174,662	(174,662)	(11,519)	0.0%	0.0%	\$ -
Ttl.	100.0%	100.0%	0.0%	8.9%	100.0%	(355,103)	4,000,000	(2,811,211)	(355,103)	-8.9%	-100.0%	\$ 355,103

State Resource Difference: 8.9%

State Under Allocated Resource Amount

Total RAF Funding: 4,000,000

State Over Allocated Resource Amount: (355,103)

Regional Resource Funding Adjustment Limit: 100.0%

2012 Housing Trust Fund Regional Allocation Formula

Table 5. Regional Funding Amounts

Region	Place for Geographic Reference	Region's Need Based Funding Amount	Region's Resource Funding Adjustment	Region's Funding Amount	Region's Funding Percentage
1	Lubbock	\$ 143,891	\$ 29,164	\$ 173,055	4.3%
2	Abilene	\$ 87,280	\$ 866	\$ 88,147	2.2%
3	Dallas/Fort Worth	\$ 915,881	\$ (131,841)	\$ 784,040	19.6%
4	Tyler	\$ 178,971	\$ 42,369	\$ 221,339	5.5%
5	Beaumont	\$ 135,381	\$ (30,605)	\$ 104,776	2.6%
6	Houston	\$ 894,631	\$ (24,858)	\$ 869,773	21.7%
7	Austin/Round Rock	\$ 259,892	\$ (46,685)	\$ 213,207	5.3%
8	Waco	\$ 184,682	\$ 55,731	\$ 240,412	6.0%
9	San Antonio	\$ 337,842	\$ (104,109)	\$ 233,733	5.8%
10	Corpus Christi	\$ 142,791	\$ 37,849	\$ 180,641	4.5%
11	Brownsville/Harlingen	\$ 451,174	\$ 189,124	\$ 640,299	16.0%
12	San Angelo	\$ 92,921	\$ (5,485)	\$ 87,436	2.2%
13	El Paso	\$ 174,662	\$ (11,519)	\$ 163,143	4.1%
Ttl.		\$ 4,000,000	\$ (0)	\$ 4,000,000	100%

2012 Housing Trust Fund Regional Allocation Formula

Table 6. Measuring Affordable Housing Need in Rural and Urban/Exurban Places

Poverty Measure

Measure Weight: 50%

Region	Region's Funding Amount	Measure Funding Amount	# of Rural Persons	Measure Rural %	Rural Measure Funding Amount	# of Urban Persons	Measure Urb. %	Urban Measure Funding
1	\$ 173,055	\$ 86,527	49,785	41%	\$ 35,142	72795	59%	\$ 51,385
2	\$ 88,147	\$ 44,073	37,625	53%	\$ 23,243	33719	47%	\$ 20,830
3	\$ 784,040	\$ 392,020	72,886	9%	\$ 35,740	726566	91%	\$ 356,280
4	\$ 221,339	\$ 110,670	61,119	60%	\$ 66,595	40451	40%	\$ 44,075
5	\$ 104,776	\$ 52,388	49,572	56%	\$ 29,276	39134	44%	\$ 23,112
6	\$ 869,773	\$ 434,886	58,763	9%	\$ 38,225	609782	91%	\$ 396,661
7	\$ 213,207	\$ 106,603	22,730	12%	\$ 12,891	165237	88%	\$ 93,712
8	\$ 240,412	\$ 120,206	35,131	22%	\$ 26,017	127184	78%	\$ 94,189
9	\$ 233,733	\$ 116,867	31,061	11%	\$ 12,724	254228	89%	\$ 104,143
10	\$ 180,641	\$ 90,320	49,255	43%	\$ 38,644	65866	57%	\$ 51,676
11	\$ 640,299	\$ 320,149	152,797	33%	\$ 106,406	306932	67%	\$ 213,744
12	\$ 87,436	\$ 43,718	23,944	34%	\$ 14,833	46625	66%	\$ 28,885
13	\$ 163,143	\$ 81,571	17,796	9%	\$ 7,691	170951	91%	\$ 73,880
Ttl.	\$ 4,000,000	\$ 2,000,000	662,464	100%	\$ 447,428	0	0%	\$ 1,552,572

Cost Burden Measure

Measure Weight: 36%

Region	Region's Funding Amount	Measure Funding Amount	# of Rural Households	Measure Rural %	Rural Measure Funding Amount	# of Urban Households	Measure Urb. %	Urban Measure Funding
1	\$ 173,055	\$ 62,300	13,694	31%	\$ 19,477	6.4746714546	69%	\$ 42,822
2	\$ 88,147	\$ 31,733	11,613	47%	\$ 14,845	11.470769742	53%	\$ 16,888
3	\$ 784,040	\$ 282,254	27,418	8%	\$ 22,348	70.134519935	92%	\$ 259,907
4	\$ 221,339	\$ 79,682	21,882	58%	\$ 46,082	5.1658168206	42%	\$ 33,600
5	\$ 104,776	\$ 37,719	16,454	55%	\$ 20,614	2.8237068121	45%	\$ 17,105
6	\$ 869,773	\$ 313,118	18,962	8%	\$ 26,110	3428.3856696	92%	\$ 287,008
7	\$ 213,207	\$ 76,754	9,695	9%	\$ 7,151	0.7678098015	91%	\$ 69,603
8	\$ 240,412	\$ 86,548	10,383	18%	\$ 15,570	3.7678105963	82%	\$ 70,978
9	\$ 233,733	\$ 84,144	12,358	12%	\$ 10,514	6.9595343482	88%	\$ 73,630
10	\$ 180,641	\$ 65,031	12,066	34%	\$ 22,374	3.6589946869	66%	\$ 42,656
11	\$ 640,299	\$ 230,508	17,233	28%	\$ 64,618	0.4907434586	72%	\$ 165,889
12	\$ 87,436	\$ 31,477	6,324	27%	\$ 8,451	2.1337090789	73%	\$ 23,026
13	\$ 163,143	\$ 58,731	3,019	7%	\$ 4,360	51.974357309	93%	\$ 54,372
Ttl.	\$ 4,000,000	\$ 1,440,000	181,100	100%	\$ 282,515	0	0%	\$ 1,157,485

2012 Housing Trust Fund Regional Allocation Formula

Over Crowding Measure

Measure Weight: 12%

Region	Region's Funding Amount	Measure Funding Amount	# of Rural Households	Measure Rural %	Rural Measure Funding Amount	# of Urban Households	Measure Urb. %	Urban Measure Funding
1	\$ 173,055	\$ 20,767	4,210	45%	\$ 9,395	5,236	55%	\$ 11,371
2	\$ 88,147	\$ 10,578	1,783	49%	\$ 5,147	10,092	51%	\$ 5,431
3	\$ 784,040	\$ 94,085	5,677	5%	\$ 4,636	31,242	95%	\$ 89,449
4	\$ 221,339	\$ 26,561	3,865	58%	\$ 15,289	45,399	42%	\$ 11,272
5	\$ 104,776	\$ 12,573	2,786	50%	\$ 6,261	47,919	50%	\$ 6,312
6	\$ 869,773	\$ 104,373	6,033	6%	\$ 5,798	30,961	94%	\$ 98,575
7	\$ 213,207	\$ 25,585	2,242	10%	\$ 2,557	2,635	90%	\$ 23,028
8	\$ 240,412	\$ 28,849	2,310	21%	\$ 6,190	44,222	79%	\$ 22,660
9	\$ 233,733	\$ 28,048	2,907	9%	\$ 2,531	3,510	91%	\$ 25,517
10	\$ 180,641	\$ 21,677	4,127	40%	\$ 8,735	22,680	60%	\$ 12,942
11	\$ 640,299	\$ 76,836	17,240	31%	\$ 23,924	7,605	69%	\$ 52,912
12	\$ 87,436	\$ 10,492	1,935	32%	\$ 3,369	5,976	68%	\$ 7,123
13	\$ 163,143	\$ 19,577	1,640	9%	\$ 1,782	7,017	91%	\$ 17,795
Ttl.	\$ 4,000,000	\$ 480,000	56,755	100%	\$ 95,614	0	0%	\$ 384,386

Substandard Measure

Measure Weight: 2%

Region	Region's Funding Amount	Measure Funding Amount	# of Rural Households	Measure Rural %	Rural Measure Funding Amount	# of Urban Households	Measure Urb. %	Urban Measure Funding
1	\$ 173,055	\$ 3,461	542	38%	\$ 1,322	6,614	62%	\$ 2,139
2	\$ 88,147	\$ 1,763	450	52%	\$ 924	7,460	48%	\$ 839
3	\$ 784,040	\$ 15,681	1,176	11%	\$ 1,799	15,383	89%	\$ 13,882
4	\$ 221,339	\$ 4,427	857	57%	\$ 2,521	4,095	43%	\$ 1,906
5	\$ 104,776	\$ 2,096	587	52%	\$ 1,091	5,303	48%	\$ 1,005
6	\$ 869,773	\$ 17,395	766	8%	\$ 1,465	17,052	92%	\$ 15,930
7	\$ 213,207	\$ 4,264	484	16%	\$ 673	4,458	84%	\$ 3,591
8	\$ 240,412	\$ 4,808	467	31%	\$ 1,503	3,040	69%	\$ 3,305
9	\$ 233,733	\$ 4,675	579	17%	\$ 778	4,744	83%	\$ 3,897
10	\$ 180,641	\$ 3,613	812	51%	\$ 1,827	5,902	49%	\$ 1,786
11	\$ 640,299	\$ 12,806	4,690	49%	\$ 6,273	12,007	51%	\$ 6,533
12	\$ 87,436	\$ 1,749	298	27%	\$ 480	4,745	73%	\$ 1,268
13	\$ 163,143	\$ 3,263	458	20%	\$ 640	6,452	80%	\$ 2,622
Ttl.	\$ 4,000,000	\$ 80,000	12,168	100%	\$ 21,295	0	0%	\$ 58,705

2012 Housing Trust Fund Regional Allocation Formula

Overall Measure of Affordable Housing Need

Region	Region's Funding Amount				Rural Need Based Funding Amount	Rural Need Based %		Urban Need Based Funding Amount	Urban Need Based %
1	\$ 173,055				\$ 65,337	37.8%		\$ 107,718	62.2%
2	\$ 88,147				\$ 44,159	50.1%		\$ 43,988	49.9%
3	\$ 784,040				\$ 64,523	8.2%		\$ 719,517	91.8%
4	\$ 221,339				\$ 130,487	59.0%		\$ 90,853	41.0%
5	\$ 104,776				\$ 57,242	54.6%		\$ 47,534	45.4%
6	\$ 869,773				\$ 71,599	8.2%		\$ 798,174	91.8%
7	\$ 213,207				\$ 23,273	10.9%		\$ 189,934	89.1%
8	\$ 240,412				\$ 49,280	20.5%		\$ 191,132	79.5%
9	\$ 233,733				\$ 26,546	11.4%		\$ 207,187	88.6%
10	\$ 180,641				\$ 71,581	39.6%		\$ 109,060	60.4%
11	\$ 640,299				\$ 201,221	31.4%		\$ 439,078	68.6%
12	\$ 87,436				\$ 27,134	31.0%		\$ 60,302	69.0%
13	\$ 163,143				\$ 14,473	8.9%		\$ 148,670	91.1%
Ttl.	\$ 4,000,000				\$ 846,853	21.2%		\$ 3,153,147	78.8%

2012 Housing Trust Fund Regional Allocation Formula

Table 7. Measuring Available Housing Resources in Rural and Urban Places

Region	Reg All Res Rur	Reg All Res Urb	Reg All Res	Rural Resource %	Urban Resource %
1	\$ 20,114,246	\$ 28,927,315	\$ 49,041,560	41.0%	59.0%
2	\$ 20,304,101	\$ 19,268,768	\$ 39,572,870	51.3%	48.7%
3	\$ 32,204,026	\$ 441,674,629	\$ 473,878,655	6.8%	93.2%
4	\$ 31,983,153	\$ 28,687,783	\$ 60,670,937	52.7%	47.3%
5	\$ 34,493,165	\$ 41,549,240	\$ 76,042,406	45.4%	54.6%
6	\$ 50,456,633	\$ 361,218,192	\$ 411,674,825	12.3%	87.7%
7	\$ 20,477,339	\$ 116,389,161	\$ 136,866,500	15.0%	85.0%
8	\$ 18,250,473	\$ 40,823,495	\$ 59,073,969	30.9%	69.1%
9	\$ 20,088,757	\$ 182,134,387	\$ 202,223,144	9.9%	90.1%
10	\$ 23,100,293	\$ 23,352,486	\$ 46,452,779	49.7%	50.3%
11	\$ 34,980,066	\$ 85,010,573	\$ 119,990,638	29.2%	70.8%
12	\$ 11,082,459	\$ 32,863,472	\$ 43,945,931	25.2%	74.8%
13	\$ 4,088,655	\$ 81,124,414	\$ 85,213,069	4.8%	95.2%
Ttl.	\$ 321,623,367	\$ 1,483,023,914	\$ 1,804,647,281	17.8%	82.2%

2012 Housing Trust Fund Regional Allocation Formula

Table 8. Rural and Urban Resource Adjustment

Region	Region's Funding Amount	Rural Areas							Urban Areas						
		Area's Resource %	Area's Need %	Area's Resource Difference	Base Resource Funding Adjustment	Region's Need Based Funding Amount	Maximum Resource Funding Adjustment	Resource Funding Adjustment	Area's Resource %	Area's Need %	Area's Resource Difference	Base Resource Funding Adjustment	Region's Need Based Funding Amount	Maximum Resource Funding Adjustment	Resource Funding Adjustment
1	\$ 173,055	41.0%	37.8%	3.3%	\$ (5,641)	\$ 65,337	\$ (65,337)	\$ (5,641)	59.0%	62.2%	-3.3%	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
2	\$ 88,147	51.3%	50.1%	1.2%	\$ (1,068)	\$ 44,159	\$ (44,159)	\$ (1,068)	48.7%	49.9%	-1.2%	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
3	\$ 784,040	6.8%	8.2%	-1.4%	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	93.2%	91.8%	1.4%	\$ (11,241)	\$ 719,517	\$ (660,304)	\$ (11,241)
4	\$ 221,339	52.7%	59.0%	-6.2%	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	47.3%	41.0%	6.2%	\$ (13,806)	\$ 90,853	\$ (37,292)	\$ (13,806)
5	\$ 104,776	45.4%	54.6%	-9.3%	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	54.6%	45.4%	9.3%	\$ (9,715)	\$ 47,534	\$ (21,565)	\$ (9,715)
6	\$ 869,773	12.3%	8.2%	4.0%	\$ (35,005)	\$ 71,599	\$ (71,599)	\$ (35,005)	87.7%	91.8%	-4.0%	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
7	\$ 213,207	15.0%	10.9%	4.0%	\$ (8,626)	\$ 23,273	\$ (23,273)	\$ (8,626)	85.0%	89.1%	-4.0%	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
8	\$ 240,412	30.9%	20.5%	10.4%	\$ (24,994)	\$ 49,280	\$ (49,280)	\$ (24,994)	69.1%	79.5%	-10.4%	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
9	\$ 233,733	9.9%	11.4%	-1.4%	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	90.1%	88.6%	1.4%	\$ (3,327)	\$ 207,187	\$ (183,656)	\$ (3,327)
10	\$ 180,641	49.7%	39.6%	10.1%	\$ (18,249)	\$ 71,581	\$ (71,581)	\$ (18,249)	50.3%	60.4%	-10.1%	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
11	\$ 640,299	29.2%	31.4%	-2.3%	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	70.8%	68.6%	2.3%	\$ (14,559)	\$ 439,078	\$ (301,093)	\$ (14,559)
12	\$ 87,436	25.2%	31.0%	-5.8%	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	74.8%	69.0%	5.8%	\$ (5,084)	\$ 60,302	\$ (41,589)	\$ (5,084)
13	\$ 163,143	4.8%	8.9%	-4.1%	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	95.2%	91.1%	4.1%	\$ (6,645)	\$ 148,670	\$ (135,480)	\$ (6,645)
Ttl.	\$ 4,000,000	17.8%	21.2%	-3.3%	(93,582)	325,227	(325,227)	(93,582)	82.2%	78.8%	3.3%	(64,377)	\$ 1,713,141	\$ (1,380,979)	(64,377)

Resource Funding Adjustment Limit:

2012 Housing Trust Fund Regional Allocation Formula

Table 9. Rural and Urban Area Funding Amount

Region	Region's Funding Amount	Rural Areas					Urban Areas				
		Area's Need %	Area's Need Based Funding Amount	Area's Resource Funding Adjustment	Area's Funding Amount	Allocation % Rural	Area's Need %	Area's Need Based Funding Amount	Area's Resource Funding Adjustment	Area's Funding Amount	Allocation % Urban
1	\$ 173,055	37.8%	65,337	\$ (5,641)	\$ 59,696	34.5%	62.2%	\$ 107,718	\$ 5,641	\$ 113,359	65.5%
2	\$ 88,147	50.1%	44,159	\$ (1,068)	\$ 43,091	48.9%	49.9%	\$ 43,988	\$ 1,068	\$ 45,056	51.1%
3	\$ 784,040	8.2%	64,523	\$ 11,241	\$ 75,764	9.7%	91.8%	\$ 719,517	\$ (11,241)	\$ 708,276	90.3%
4	\$ 221,339	59.0%	130,487	\$ 13,806	\$ 144,292	65.2%	41.0%	\$ 90,853	\$ (13,806)	\$ 77,047	34.8%
5	\$ 104,776	54.6%	57,242	\$ 9,715	\$ 66,957	63.9%	45.4%	\$ 47,534	\$ (9,715)	\$ 37,819	36.1%
6	\$ 869,773	8.2%	71,599	\$ (35,005)	\$ 36,594	4.2%	91.8%	\$ 798,174	\$ 35,005	\$ 833,179	95.8%
7	\$ 213,207	10.9%	23,273	\$ (8,626)	\$ 14,647	6.9%	89.1%	\$ 189,934	\$ 8,626	\$ 198,560	93.1%
8	\$ 240,412	20.5%	49,280	\$ (24,994)	\$ 24,286	10.1%	79.5%	\$ 191,132	\$ 24,994	\$ 216,126	89.9%
9	\$ 233,733	11.4%	26,546	\$ 3,327	\$ 29,873	12.8%	88.6%	\$ 207,187	\$ (3,327)	\$ 203,860	87.2%
10	\$ 180,641	39.6%	71,581	\$ (18,249)	\$ 53,331	29.5%	60.4%	\$ 109,060	\$ 18,249	\$ 127,309	70.5%
11	\$ 640,299	31.4%	201,221	\$ 14,559	\$ 215,780	33.7%	68.6%	\$ 439,078	\$ (14,559)	\$ 424,519	66.3%
12	\$ 87,436	31.0%	27,134	\$ 5,084	\$ 32,217	36.8%	69.0%	\$ 60,302	\$ (5,084)	\$ 55,219	63.2%
13	\$ 163,143	8.9%	14,473	\$ 6,645	\$ 21,119	12.9%	91.1%	\$ 148,670	\$ (6,645)	\$ 142,024	87.1%
Ttl.	\$ 4,000,000	21.2%	846,853	\$ (29,205)	\$ 817,648	20.4%	78.8%	\$ 3,153,147	\$ 29,205	\$ 3,182,352	79.6%